



Distributed Systems

Winter Term 2024/25

Roland Wismüller
Universität Siegen
roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de
Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: November 28, 2024



Distributed Systems

Winter Term 2024/25

5 Process Management



Contents

- ➔ Distributed process scheduling
- ➔ Code migration

Literature

- ➔ Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 3
- ➔ Stallings: Ch. 14.1



5.1 Distributed Process Scheduling

- ➔ Typical: middleware component that
 - ➔ decides on which node a process is executed
 - ➔ and probably migrates processes between nodes
- ➔ Goals:
 - ➔ balance the load between nodes
 - ➔ maximize the system performance (average response time)
 - ➔ also: minimize the communication between nodes
 - ➔ meet special hardware / resource requirements
- ➔ Load: typically the length of the process queue (ready queue)
 - ➔ sometimes resource consumption and communication volume are considered, too



Approaches to distributed scheduling

- ➔ Static scheduling
 - mapping of processes to nodes is defined before execution
 - NP-complete, therefore heuristic methods
- ➔ Dynamic load balancing, two variants:
 - execution location of a process is defined during creation and is not changed later
 - execution location of a process can be changed at runtime (several times, if necessary)
 - preemptive dynamic load balancing, **process migration**



5.1.1 Static Scheduling

- ➔ Procedure dependent on the structure / the modelling of a job
 - jobs always consist of several processes
 - differences in communication structure
- ➔ Examples:
 - communicating processes: graph partitioning
 - non-communicating tasks with dependencies: list scheduling

5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...




(Animated slide)

Scheduling through graph partitioning

$$\Sigma = 30$$

- ➔ Given: process system with
 - CPU / memory requirements
 - specification of communication load between each pair of processesusually represented as a graph
- ➔ Wanted: partitioning of the graph in such a way that
 - CPU and memory requirements are met for each node
 - partitions are about the same size (load balancing)
 - weighted sum of cut edges is minimal
 - i.e. as little communication as possible between nodes
- ➔ NP-complete, therefore many heuristic procedures




5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...



List scheduling

- ➔ Tasks with dependencies, but without communication during execution
 - tasks work on results of other tasks
- ➔ Modelling
 - program represented as a DAG
 - nodes: tasks with execution times
 - edges: communication with transfer time



Distributed Systems

Winter Term 2024/25

21.11.2024

Roland Wismüller
Universität Siegen
roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de
Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: November 28, 2024

5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...



Method


- ➡ Create prioritized list of all tasks
 - ➡ many different heuristics to determine the priorities, e.g. according to:
 - ➡ length of the longest path (without communication) from the node to the end of the DAG (*High Level First with Estimated Time*, HLFET).
 - ➡ earliest possible start time (*Earliest Task First*, ETF)
- ➡ Process the list as follows:
 - ➡ assign the first task to the node that allows the earliest start time
 - ➡ remove the task from the list
- ➡ Creation and processing of the list can also be interleaved

5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...



(Animated slide)


Example: List Scheduling with HLFET



List:

A	C	B	E	D	F	G
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Schedule with 3 nodes:



➡ Assumption: local communication does not cost any time

5.1 Distributed Process Scheduling ...



5.1.2 Dynamic Load Balancing

- ➡ Components of a load balancing system
 - ➡ *Information policy* – when is load balancing triggered?
 - ➡ on demand, periodically, in case of state changes, ...
 - ➡ *Transfer policy* – under which condition is load shifted?
 - ➡ often: decision with the help of threshold values
 - ➡ *Location policy* – how is the receiver (or sender) found?
 - ➡ polling of some nodes, broadcast, ...
 - ➡ *Selection policy* – which tasks are moved?
 - ➡ new tasks, long tasks, location-independent tasks, ...



Typical approaches to dynamic load balancing

- ➔ Sender initiated load balancing
 - new process usually start on the local node
 - if node is overloaded: determine load of other nodes and start process on low-loaded node
 - e.g. ask randomly selected nodes for their load, send process if load \leq threshold, otherwise: next node
 - disadvantage: additional work for already overloaded node!
- ➔ Receiver initiated load balancing
 - when scheduling a process: check whether the node has still enough work (processes)
 - if not: ask other nodes for work
- ➔ Similar also for preemptive dynamic load balancing

5 Process Management ...



5.2 Code Migration

[Tanenbaum/Steen, 3.4]

- ➔ In distributed systems, in addition to data also programs are transferred between nodes
 - partly also during their execution
- ➔ Motivation: performance and flexibility
 - preemptive dynamic load balancing
 - optimization of communication (move code to data or highly interactive code to client)
 - increased availability (migration before system maintenance)
 - use of special HW or SW resources
 - use / evacuation of unused workstation computers
 - avoid code installation on client machines (dynamic loading of code from server)



Models for Code Migration

- ➔ Conceptual model: a process consists of three “segments”:
 - ➔ code segment
 - ➔ the executable program code of the process
 - ➔ execution segment
 - ➔ complete execution status of the process
 - ➔ virtual address space (data, heap, stack)
 - ➔ processor register (incl. instruction counter)
 - ➔ process / thread control block
 - ➔ resource segment
 - ➔ contains references to external resources required by the process
 - ➔ e.g. files, devices, other processes, mailboxes, ...



Models for Code Migration ...

- ➔ **Weak mobility**
 - ➔ only the code segment is transferred
 - ➔ including initialization data if necessary
 - ➔ program is always started from initial state
 - ➔ examples: remotely loaded classes in Java, Java Script
- ➔ **Strong mobility**
 - ➔ code and execution segment are transferred
 - ➔ migration of a process in execution
 - ➔ examples: process migration, agents
- ➔ Sender- or receiver-initiated migration



Code Migration Issues and Solutions

- ➔ Security: target computer executes unknown code
 - restricted environment (sandbox)
 - signed code
- ➔ Heterogeneity: code and execution segment depend on CPU and operating system
 - use of virtual machines (e.g. JVM, XEN)
 - migration points at which state can be stored and read in a portable way (possibly supported by compiler)
- ➔ Access to (local) resources
 - remote access with a global reference
 - move or copy the resource
 - new binding to resource of the same type



Process migration

[Stallings, 14.1]

- ➔ Migration of a process that is already running
 - triggered by OS or the process itself
 - mostly for dynamic load balancing
- ➔ Sometimes combined with *checkpoint/restart* function
 - instead of transferring the status of the process, it can also be stored persistently
- ➔ Design goals of migration procedures:
 - low communication effort
 - only short blocking of the migrated process
 - no dependency on source computer after migration



Process Flow of a Process Migration

- ➔ Creating a new process on the target system
- ➔ Transfer the code and execution segment (process address space, process control block), initialization of the target process
 - ➔ required: identical CPU and OS or virtual machine
- ➔ Update all connections to other processes
 - ➔ communication links, signals, ...
 - ➔ during migration: buffering at source
 - ➔ then: forwarding to target computer
- ➔ Delete the original process
 - ➔ if necessary, retain a “shadow process” for redirected system calls, e.g. file accesses



Transferring the process address space

- ➔ **Eager (all)**: transfer the entire address space
 - ➔ no traces of the process remain on source nodes
 - ➔ very expensive for large address space (especially if not all pages are used)
 - ➔ often together with checkpoint/restart function
- ➔ **Precopy**: process continues to run on source node during transfer
 - ➔ to minimize time in which the process is blocked
 - ➔ pages modified while the migration is in progress must be sent again

Transferring the process address space ...

- ➔ **Eager (dirty)**: transfer only modified pages that are in main memory
 - ➔ all other pages are only transferred when accessed
 - ➔ integration with virtual memory management
 - ➔ motivation: quickly “flush” main memory of the source node
 - ➔ source node may remain involved until the end of the process
- ➔ **Copy-on-reference**: transfer each page only when accessed
 - ➔ variation of *eager (dirty)*
 - ➔ lowest initial costs
- ➔ **Flushing**: move all pages to disk before migration
 - ➔ after that: *copy-on-reference*
 - ➔ advantage: main memory of the source node is relieved