

# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

0 Organisation

#### **About Myself**



- Studies in Computer Science, Techn. Univ. Munich
  - Ph.D. in 1994, state doctorate in 2001
- Since 2004 Prof. for Operating Systems and Distributed Systems
- Research: Secure component based systems; Pattern recognition in network data; Parallel and distributed systems
- Mentor for Bachelor Studies in Computer Science with secondary field Mathematics (PO 2012); Head of Examination Board
- E-mail: roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de
- ► Tel.: 0271/740-4050
- ► **Room:** H-B 8404
- → Office Hour: Mon., 14:15-15:15





#### Andreas Hoffmann

andreas.hoffmann@uni-... 0271/740-4047 H-B 8405



#### **Felix Breitweiser**

felix.breitweiser@uni-... 0271/740-4719 H-B 8406



#### Sven Jacobs

sven.jacobs@uni-... 0271/740-2533 H-B 8407

- E-assessment and e-labs
- ➡ IT security
- ➡ Web technologies
- ➡ Mobile applications
- Operating systems
- Programming languages
- ➡ Virtual machines

- E-assessment and e-labs
- ➡ Generative artificial intelligence
- Web technologies



#### Lectures/Labs

- Rechnernetze I, 6 CP (Bachelor, summer term)
- Rechnernetze Praktikum, 6 CP (Bachelor, winter term)
- Rechnernetze II, 6 CP (Master, summer term)
- Betriebssysteme und nebenläufige Programmierung, 6 CP (Bachelor, summer term)
- Parallel processing, 6 CP (Master, winter term)
- Distributed systems, 6 CP (Bachelor, winter term)

### Teaching ...



#### **Project Groups**

- ► e.g., secure cooperation of software components
- ► e.g., concepts for secure management of Linux-based thin clients

#### **Theses (Bachelor, Master)**

Topic areas: secure virtual machine, parallel computing, pattern recognition in sensor data, e-assessment, ...

#### **Seminars**

- Topic areas: IT security, programming languages, pattern recognition in sensor data, ...
- Procedure: block seminar (30 min. talk, 5000 word paper)
- ► Master: attend the lecture "Scientific Working" beforehand!
  - block course end of Feb. / beginning of March



#### ➡ Lecture:

➡ Thursday, 08:30 - 10:00, room H-C 6321

#### **Exercises**:

- → Thursday, 10:15-11:45, room H-C 6321
- ➡ start: 24.10.2024
- includes programming exercises using Java



#### **Information, Slides and Announcements**

➡ On the course's webpage:

http://www.bs.informatik.uni-siegen.de/lehre/vs

If necessary, updates/supplements shortly before the lecture

- look at the date!
- Exercise sheets will be put online as PDF
  - please print and process them yourself!
- ➡ There is also a moodle course
  - submission of mandatory exercise solutions
  - lecture recordings from the summer term 2021(!)

## Registration for "Course Achievement" (Studienleistung

- For exam regulations 2019 and newer: passing the course requires successful completion of homework:
  - 10 exercise sheets with two mandatory exercises
  - 6 exercise sheets must be successfully processed
- You must register for "4INFBA303-S Coursework Distributed Systems" before you can submit a solution! (do it right now!)
  - independent of the registration to the course and the lab!
  - if you cannot complete the course work: deregister again!



#### **Examination**



#### Oral examination

- duration about 30-40 minutes
- Registration:
  - first register at the campus management system (unisono)
    - at least 1 week before the exam date (better 3-4 weeks)
  - → then fix a date with my secretary (Ms. Zetzsche, H-B 8403)
    - at least 1 week before the exam date (better 3-4 weeks)
    - phone: -4048
    - email: bsvs.zetzsche@eti.uni-siegen.de
  - cancellation is possible up to 7 days before the exam
    - 🗢 via unisono
    - please inform me, too!

Ú

- Introduction
- Middleware
- Distributed programming with Java RMI
- Name services
- Process management
- Time and global state
- Coordination
- Replication and consistency
- Distributed file systems
- ➡ Fault tolerance

#### Learning targets



- Understand the properties of distributed systems
  - absence of a global state
  - problems with synchronization and with consistency of replicated data
- Understand the approaches to solve the problems and be able to apply them to given challenges
- Distinguish architecture models for distributed systems as well as different types and tasks of middleware and be able to assess their usability for given problems
- Be able to develop simple distributed programs with Java RMI

#### Literature



- Andrew S. Tanenbaum, Marten van Steen. Verteilte Systeme, Grundlagen und Paradigmen. Pearson Studium, 2003.
   (English: Distributed Systems: Principles and Paradigms, 2nd Edition. Pearson Education, 2016. Available online.)
- Ulrike Hammerschall. Verteilte Systeme und Anwendungen. Pearson Studium, 2005.
- George Coulouris, Jean Dollimore, Tim Kindberg. Verteilte Systeme, Konzepte und Design, 3. Auflage. Pearson Studium, 2002. (English: Distributed Systems: Concepts and Design, 5th Edition. Pearson Education, 2012.)
- Andrew S. Tanenbaum. Moderne Betriebssysteme, 2. Auflage. Pearson Studium, 2003.
- William Stallings. Betriebssysteme Prinzipien und Umsetzung,
  4. Auflage. Pearson Studium, 2003.

#### Literature ...



- Jim Farley, William Crawford, David Flanagan. Java Enterprise in a Nutshell. O'Reilly 2002.
- Cay S. Horstmann, Gary Cornell. Core Java 2, Band 2 Expertenwissen. Sun Microsystems Press / Addison Wesley, 2008.
- Robert Orfali, Dan Harkey. Client/Server-Programming with Java and Corba. John Wiley & Sons, 1998.
- Torsten Langner. Verteilte Anwendungen mit Java. Markt + Technik, 2002.



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

**1** Introduction

## 1 Introduction ...

#### Contents

- What is a distributed system?
- Software architecture
- Architecture models
- Cluster

#### Literature

- Hammerschall: 1
- 🛏 Tanenbaum, van Steen: 1
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: 1, 2
- ➡ Stallings: 13.4





#### **1.1 What is a distributed system?**

In a distributed system, components located on different computers work together to coordinate their actions by exchanging messages. *G. Coulouris* 

A distributed system is a set of independent computers that appear to the user as a single, coherent system. *A. Tanenbaum* 

A distributed system is a collection of processors that neither share main memory nor a clock. *A. Silberschatz* 

A distributed system is one on which I can't do any work because some machine I've never heard of has crashed. *L. Lamport* 

## 1.1 What is a distributed system? ...



- ► A distributed system is **a system** 
  - in which hardware and software components are based on networked computers, and
  - communicate and coordinate their actions only via the exchange of messages.
- The boundaries of the distributed system are defined by a common application
- Best known example: Internet
  - communication via the standardized Internet protocols
    - IP and TCP / UDP (IS lecture Computer Networks)
  - users can use services / applications, regardless of the present location



#### What is a distributed application?

- Application that uses a distributed system to create a self-contained functionality
- Application logic distributed among several, largely independent components
- Components often executed on different machines
- Examples:
  - simple internet applications (e.g. WWW, FTP, email)
  - distributed information systems (e.g. flight booking)
    - SW intensive, data centered, interactive, highly concurrent
  - distributed embedded systems (e.g. in the car)
  - distributed mobile applications (e.g. for handhelds)

#### A typical distributed system



#### Why distribution?

- Central, non-distributed applications are
  - generally safer and more reliable
  - generally more performant
- Main reason for distribution: sharing of resources
  - hardware resources (printer, scanner, ...)
    - cost saving
  - ➡ data and information (file server, database, …)
    - information exchange, data consistency
  - functionality (centralization)
    - 🗢 error avoidance, reuse

### **1.2 Characteristics of distributed systems**

- Ú
- Resources (e.g. computers, data, users, ...) are distributed
  - sometimes worldwide
- Cooperation via message exchange
- Concurrency
  - but: parallel processing of a single request is not the primary goal
- ➡ No global clock (more precisely: no global time)
- Distributed status information
  - no uniquely determined global state
- Partial errors are possible (independent failures)



#### Parallel vs. distributed systems

- ➡ Parallel system:
  - motivation: higher performance through parallel execution
  - multiple tasks (processes/threads) working on one job
  - tasks are fine-grained: frequent communication
  - tasks work simultaneously (parallel)
  - homogeneous hardware / OSs, regular network structure

#### Distributed system:

- motivation: distributed resources (computers, data, users)
- multiple tasks (processes/threads) working on one or many jobs
- tasks are coarse grained: communication less frequent
- tasks work synchronized (usually one after the other)
- inhomogeneous (processors, networks, OSs, ...)

## **1.3 Challenges and Goals of Distributed Systems**



- Heterogeneity: computer hardware, networks, OSs, programming languages, implementations by different developers, ...
  - solution: middleware
    - software layer that hides heterogeneity by providing a unified programming model
    - e.g. CORBA: distributed objects, remote method invocation
    - e.g. web services: remote procedure calls (services)
- Openness: easy extensibility (with new services)
  - ➡ requirements:
    - key interfaces are published / standardized
    - uniform communication mechanisms / protocols
    - components must conform to standards

[Coulouris, 1.4]



#### Security

- information: confidentiality, integrity, availability
  - esp. with mobile code
- users: authentication, authorization
- Scalability: number of resources or users can grow without negative impact on performance and cost

#### Error handling (partial errors)

- error detection (e.g. checksums)
- error masking (e.g. retransmission of a message)
- error tolerance (e.g. browser: "server not available")
- recovery (of data) after errors
- redundancy (of hardware and software)

#### Concurrency

- synchronization, consistency of replicated data
- lack of global time / global state

#### Transparency

- $\blacktriangleright$  access $\sim$ : local and remote accesses identical
- → location $\sim$ : no need to know the location
- $\blacktriangleright$  mobility $\sim$ : transparent relocation of resources
- replication  $\sim$ : transparent replication of resources
- $\blacktriangleright$  concurrency $\sim$ : shared use of resources without disruptions
- $\blacktriangleright$  error~: hiding errors due to component failure
- performance~: performance is largely independent of the load
- $\blacktriangleright$  scaling~: system scales without negative impact on users

Distributed Systems (1/15)







#### **Types of Operating Systems for Distributed Systems**

- Network operating system:
  - traditional OS, extended by support for network applications (API for sockets, RPC, ...)
  - each computer has its own OS, but can use services of other computers (file system, email, ssh, ...)
  - the existence of the other computers is visible
- Distributed operating system:
  - uniform OS for a network of computers
  - transparent for the user
  - requires cooperation of the OS kernels
  - so far mainly research projects



#### Typical layers in a distributed system



[Coulouris, 2.2.1]



# **Distributed Systems**

#### Winter Term 2024/25

#### 17.10.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



#### Eine Kooperation von



Aufgabenstellung aus den Bereichen

Energy Harvesting, Connectivity, Construction Automation.

Kick-off

# HACKATHON House of the future

Problemlösungen finden in interdiszpilinären Teams!

#### Sensors, Smart Home, Sustainable Materials, uvm. Termine

31. Oktober 2024 07. November 2024 14. November 2024

#### 28. November 2024 Studiengänge Maschinenbau, Elektrotechnik, BWL,

Wirtschaftsingenieurwesen, Wirtschaftsinformatik, Informatik

Preisgelder für die ersten drei Plätze



Jetzt Anmeldung mit Name, Studiengang und Semesteranzahl per E-Mail senden an lstahlschmidt@siegerlandfonds.de

#### Creativity is ntelligence having Fun"

Albert Einstein

#### **Middleware**

#### Tasks:

- hiding of distribution and heterogeneity
- providing a common programming model / API
- provision of general services

#### ► Functions e.g:

- communication services: remote method calls, group communication, event notifications
- replication of shared data
- security services
- Examples: CORBA, EJB, .NET, Web Services, ...

### **1.5 Architectural Models**



- ► An architecture model characterizes:
  - roles of an application component within the distributed application
  - relationships between application components
- ➡ Role defined by the type of process the component is running in:
  - client process
    - short-lived (for the duration of use by the user)
    - acts as initiator of interprocess communication (IPC)
  - server process
    - lives 'unlimited'
    - acts as a service provider for an IPC
  - peer process
    - short-lived (for the duration of use by the user)
    - acts as initiator and service provider



#### **Peer-to-Peer Model**

- Collaboration of peer processes for a distributed activity
  - each process manages a local part of the resources
  - distributed coordination and synchronization of actions at application level



E.g.: file sharing applications, routers, ...

#### **Client/Server Model**

[Coulouris, 2.2.2]

- Asymmetric model: Servers provide services that can be used by (multiple) clients.
  - servers usually manage resources (centralized)



Most common model for distributed applications (ca. 80 %)



#### **Client/Server Model ...**

 Usually concurrent requests from several client processes to the server process



Examples: file server, web server, database server, DNS server,



#### **Client/Server Model ...**

 Usually concurrent requests from several client processes to the server process



Examples: file server, web server, database server, DNS server,
- Example: Domain Name Server (DNS)
  - if server cannot determine address: request is transparently forwarded to another server
- Replicated servers
  - replicas of server processes are provided
    - transparent replicas (often in clusters)
      - requests are automatically distributed to the servers
    - public replicas (e.g. mirror servers)
    - goals: better performance, reliability

# **1.5 Architectural Models ...**

# Variants of the client/server model





# 1.5 Architectural Models ...

# Variants of the client/server model ...

- Proxy-Server / Caches
  - proxy is a delegate for the server
  - task often is caching of data / results
  - e.g. web proxy
- Mobile code
  - executable server code migrates to client on request
  - code is executed by the client
  - best-known example: JavaScript / WebAssembly in the WWW
- Mobile agents
  - agent contains code and data, moves through the network and performs actions on local resources Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme 35





## **n-Tier Architectures**

- Refinements of Client/Server Architecture
- Models for distributing an application to the nodes of a distributed system
- Mainly used in information systems
- Tier (german: Schicht/Stufe) denotes an independent process space within a distributed application
  - process space can, but does not have to, correspond to a physical host
  - several process spaces on one computer are possible



### **The Tier Model**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Typical tasks in an information system:
  - presentation interface to the user
  - application logic actual functionality
  - data storage storage of data in a database
- ► The tier model determines:
  - assignment of tasks to application components
  - distribution of application components on tiers
- Architectures:
  - 2-tier architectures
  - 3-tier architectures
  - 4-or-more-tier architectures



## **2-Tier Architecture**

- Client and server tier
- No own tier for the application logic



- ► Advantage: simple, high performance
- Disadvantage: difficult to maintain, poorly scalable

## **3-Tier Architecture**



- Standard distribution model for simple web applications:
  - client tier: web browser for display
  - middle tier: web server with JSP / ASP / PHP ...
  - server tier: database server
- Advantages: central administration of application logic, scalable



#### **4-or-more-Tier Architectures**

- → Difference to 3-tier architecture:
  - application logic distributed across multiple tiers
- Motivation:
  - minimization of complexity (divide and conquer)
  - better protection of individual application parts
  - reusability of components
- Many distributed information systems have 4-or-more-tier architectures



### **Example: Typical Internet Application**





#### **Example: Typical Internet Application**



# Ú

## Thin and fat clients

- Characterizes complexity of the application component on the client tier
- Ultra-thin client
  - client tier only for presentation: pure display of dialogs
  - presentation component: web browser
  - only possible with 3-or-more-tier architectures
- Thin client
  - client tier for presentation only: display of dialogs, preparation of data for display
- Fat client
  - parts of the application logic on the client tier
  - usually with 2-tier architectures



## **Distinction from Enterprise Application Integration (EAI)**

- ► EAI: integration of different applications
  - communication, exchange of data
- → Goals similar to distributed applications / middleware
  - middleware is often used for EAI as well
- Differences:
  - distributed applications: application components, high degree of coupling, usually little heterogeneity
  - EAI: complete applications, low degree of coupling, mostly great heterogeneity (different technologies, systems, programming languages, ...)

# 1.6 Cluster



- Cluster: group of networked computers that acts as a unified computing resource
  - ➡ i.e. multicomputer system
  - nodes usually standard PCs or blade server
- Application mainly as high performance server
- Motivation:

[Stallings, 13.4]

- ➡ (step-by-step) scalability
- ➡ high availability
- good price/performance ratio







### **Uses for Clusters**

- → High availability (HA) clusters
  - improved reliability
  - when a node is faulty: services are migrated to other nodes (failover)
- Load balancing cluster
  - incoming requests are distributed to different nodes of the cluster
    - usually by a (redundant) central instance
  - frequently with WWW or email servers
- High performance computing cluster
  - cluster as parallel computer

Ú

## **Cluster configurations**

- Passive standby (no actual cluster)
  - processing of all requests by primary server
  - secondary server takes over tasks (only) in case of failure
- Active standby
  - all servers process requests
  - enables load balancing and improved reliability
  - problem: access to data of other / failed server
  - alternatives:
    - replication of data (a lot of communication)
    - shared hard disk system (usually mirrored disks or RAID system for fail-safe operation)

Ú

### **Active Standby Configurations**

- Separate servers with data replication
  - separate disks, data is continuously copied to secondary servers
- Server with shared hard disks
  - shared nothing cluster
    - separate partitions for each server
    - in case of server failure: reconfiguration of the partitions
  - shared disc cluster
    - simultaneous use by all servers
    - requires lock manager software to lock files or records

# 1.7 Summary



## Distributed system

- HW and SW components on networked computers
- no shared memory, no global time
- motivation: use of distributed resources
- Challenges
  - heterogeneity, openness, security, scalability
  - error handling, concurrency, transparency
- Software architecture: middleware
- Architectural models:
  - peer-to-peer, client/server
  - n-tier models
- Cluster: high availability, load balancing



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

# 2 Middleware

# Content

- Communication in distributed systems
- Communication-oriented middleware
- Application-oriented middleware

# Literature

- Hammerschall: Ch. 2, 6
- ➡ Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 2
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Ch. 4.4







- DA uses DS for communication between its components
- DSs generally only offer simple communication services
  - direct use: network programming
- ➡ Middleware offers more intelligent interfaces
  - hides details of network programming





- DA uses DS for communication between its components
- DSs generally only offer simple communication services
  - direct use: network programming
- ➡ Middleware offers more intelligent interfaces
  - hides details of network programming

# 2 Middleware ...



- Middleware is the interface between distributed application and distributed system
- Goal: hide distribution aspects from application
  - ➡ transparency (I 1.3)
- Middleware can also provide additional services for applications
  - huge differences in existing middleware
- ➡ Distinction:
  - ➡ communication-oriented middleware (INF 2.2)
    - (only) abstraction from network programming
  - ⇒ application-oriented middleware (№ 2.3)
    - besides communication, the focus is on support of distributed applications

# 2 Middleware ...



- 2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems
  - Basis: interprocess communication (IPC)
    - exchange of messages between processes (
      BS\_I: 3.2)
      - on the same or on different nodes
      - 🗢 e.g. via ports, mailboxes, streams, ...
  - For distribution: network protocols (<sup>ISF</sup> RN\_I)
    - relevant topics etc: addressing, reliability, guaranteed ordering, timeouts, acknowledgements, marshalling
  - Interface for network programming: sockets (<sup>INST</sup> RN\_II)
    - datagrams (UDP) and streams (TCP)

## 2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems ...

#### **Synchronous Communication**

- Sender and receiver block when calling a send or receive operation
  - receiver is waiting for a request
  - sender is waiting for the reply
- Tight coupling between sender and receivers
  - advantage: easy to understand model
  - disadvantage: strong dependency, especially in case of error

### Prerequisites:

- reliable and fast network connection
- receiver process is available



#### **Asynchronous Communication**

- Sender is not blocked, can continue immediately after sending the message
- Incoming messages are buffered at the receiver
- Answers are optional
  - receiver can reply asynchronously to the sender
- More complex implementation and use as with synchronous communication, but usually more efficient
- Only loose coupling between the processes
  - receiver does not have to be ready for reception
  - less dependent in case of errors



**Distributed Systems (2/15)** 

55





# Ú

## **Client/Server Communication**



- Mostly synchronous: client blocked until response arrives
- → Variants: asynchronous (non blocking), one way (without answer)

### **Client/Server Communication**



- Mostly synchronous: client blocked until response arrives
- → Variants: asynchronous (non blocking), one way (without answer)

# 2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems ...



# **Client/Server Communication: Request/Response Protocol**

# ► Typical operations:

- doOperation() send request and wait for result
- getRequest() wait for request
- sendReply() send result
- Typical message structure:

messageType
requestID
objectReference
methodID
arguments

request / reply ? unique ID of request (usually int) reference to remote object (if needed) method to be called (int / String) arguments (usually as Byte array)

- request ID + sender ID result in unique message ID
  - e.g. to map an answer to its query



# **Distributed Systems**

## Winter Term 2024/25

24.10.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



## **Client/Server Communication: Error Handling**

- Request and/or response messages may be lost
- Client sets a timeout when sending a request
  - after expiration, request is usually sent again
  - after a few repetitions: termination with exception
- Server discards duplicate requests if request has already been / is still being processed
- ➡ For lost response messages:
  - idempotent operations can be executed again
  - otherwise: save results of operations in a history
    - for repeated request: only resend the result
    - delete history entries when next request arrives; if necessary confirmations for results can also be used

# 2.2 Communication-oriented Middleware

- Focus: provision of a communication infrastructure for distributed applications
- 🗕 Tasks:
  - communication
  - dealing with heterogeneity
  - error handling



### Communication

- Provision of a middleware protocol
- Localization and identification of communication partners
- Integration with process and thread management

Application protocol

**Middleware protocol** 

Transport protocol (e.g. TCP)

Lower layers of the protocol stack



#### Heterogeneity

- Problem with data transmission:
  - heterogeneity in distributed systems
- Heterogeneous hardware and operating systems
  - different byte order
    - little endian vs. big endian
  - different character encoding
    - e.g.. ASCII / Unicode / UTF-8 / UTF-16
- Heterogeneous programming languages
  - different representation of simple and complex data types in the main memory



## **Heterogeneity: Solutions (** RN\_I)

- → Use of generic, standardized data formats
  - known to all communication partners and middleware
  - platform-specific formats for middleware (e.g. CDR for CORBA) or external formats, e.g. XML
- Heterogeneity of hardware and operating system
  - ➡ is handled transparently for the applications by the middleware
- Heterogeneity of programming languages
  - applications need to convert data to higher-level format and back (marshaling / unmarshaling)
    - necessary code is usually generated automatically
      - client stub / server skeleton

# Ú

#### **Error Handling**

- Possible errors due to distribution
  - ➡ incorrect transmission (incl. loss of messages)
    - handled by the protocols of the distributed system:
      - checksums, CRC
      - retransmission of packets (e.g. TCP)
  - ➡ failure of components (network, hardware, software)
    - handled by middleware or application:
      - acceptance of the error
      - retransmission of messages
      - replication of components (error avoidance)
      - controlled termination of the application



## 2.2.2 Programming Models

- ➡ Programming model defines two concepts:
  - communication model
    - synchronous vs. asynchronous
  - 🗢 programming paradigm
    - object-oriented vs. procedural
- Three common programming models for middleware:
  - message-oriented model (asynchronous / arbitrary)
  - remote procedure call (synchronous / procedural)
  - remote method invocation (synchronous / object-oriented)

#### **Message-Oriented Model**

Sender puts message in receiver's queue



- Receiver accepts message as soon as he is ready
- Extensive decoupling of transmitter and receiver
- No method or procedure calls
  - data is packed and sent by the application
  - no automatic reply message


#### **Remote Procedure Call (RPC)**

► Allows a client to call a procedure in a remote server process



Communication according to request / response principle

#### **Remote Method Invocation (RMI)**

- Allows an object to call methods of a remote object
- ➡ In principle very similar to RPC



#### **Common Basic Concepts of Remote Calls**

- Client and server are decoupled by interface definition
  - defines names of calls, parameters and return values
- Introduction of client stubs and server skeletons as an access interface
  - ► are automatically generated from interface definition
    - IDL compiler (IDL = interface definition language)
  - are responsible for marshaling / unmarshaling as well as for the actual communication
  - realize access and location transparency



#### How Client Stub and Server Skeleton Work (RPC)





#### **Basis of RMI: The Proxy Pattern**

- Client works with a deputy object (proxy) of the actual server object
  - proxy and server object implement the same interface
  - client only knows / uses this interface





#### **Flow of a Remote Method Call**





#### **Creation of a Client/Server Program**



Applies in principle to all realizations of remote calls



#### 2.2.3 Middleware Technologies

- ► Realize (at least) one of the programming models
  - rely on open standards / standardized interfaces
- Remote procedure call
  - ➡ SUN RPC, DCE RPC, Web Services, …
- Remote method invocation
  - ➡ Java RMI (INST 3), CORBA, ...
- Message-oriented middleware technologies
  - ➡ MOM: message oriented middleware, messaging systems
  - mainly for EAI
  - ➡ Java Message Service, WebSphereMQ (MQSeries), …



#### 2.2.4 Message Oriented Middleware (MOM)

- Middleware technology for the message-oriented model
- In addition to message exchange also other services, especially queue management





#### **Message Queue Infrastructure**

- Access to queues is only possible locally
  - local: same computer or same subnet
- Transport of messages across subnet boundaries by queue administrators (routers)





#### Variants of message exchange

- Point-to-point communication
  - communication between two defined processes
  - simplest model: asynchronous communication
  - enhancement: request/reply model
    - enables synchronous communication via asynchronous middleware
- Broadcast communication
  - Message is sent to all reachable receivers
  - one implementation: publish/subscribe model
    - publishers publish messages/news on a topic
    - subscribers subscriber to certain topics
    - mediation via a broker

# Ú

#### **Example: Java Message Service**

- ➡ Part of the Java Enterprise Edition (Java EE)
- Unified Java interface for MOM services
- Distinguishes two roles:
  - JMS provider: the respective MOM server
  - ➡ JMS client: sender or receiver of messages
- ➡ JMS supports:
  - asynchronous point-to-point communication
  - request/reply model
  - publish/subscribe model
- JMS defines corresponding access objects and methods

#### 2.2.5 Summary

- Tasks: Communication, dealing with heterogeneity, error handling
- Programming models:
  - message-oriented model (asynchronous)
    - basis: message queues
    - refinements:
      - request/reply model (synchronous)
      - publish/subscribe model (broadcast)
  - remote procedure or method calls
    - synchronous: request and response
    - generated stubs for (un-)marshaling

# 2.3 Application-oriented Middleware

- Based on communication-oriented middleware
- Extends it by:
  - runtime environment
  - services
  - component model



# 2.3.1 Runtime environment



- Based on node operating systems of the distributed system
  - Operating system (OS) manages processes, memory, I/O, …
  - provides basic functionality
    - starting / stopping processes, scheduling, ...
    - ➡ interprocess communication, synchronization, ...
- Runtime environment extends functionality of the OS:
  - improved resource management
    - e.g. concurrency, connection management
  - improved availability
  - improved security mechanisms



#### **Resource management**

- ➡ Middleware goes beyond simple OS functionality
  - e.g. independently managed main memory areas with individual security criteria
  - pooling of processes, threads, connections
    - are created for stock and made available as required
  - possible, since middleware is specific to certain classes of applications
- ➡ Goal: improved performance, scalability and availability

# Ú

#### Concurrency

- Concurrency in this context:
  - isolated parallel processing of requests
- Concurrency can be implemented via processes or threads
  - threads (lightweight processes): concurrent activities within processes
    - threads in the same process share all resources
  - advantages and disadvantages:
    - processes: high resource requirements, not well scalable, good protection, with low concurrency
    - threads: well scalable, no mutual protection, with high concurrency

#### **Concurrency** ...

- Middleware takes over automatic generation / administration of threads in the case of concurrent orders, e.g.
  - single-threaded
    - only one thread, sequential processing
  - thread-per-request
    - a new thread is created for each request
  - thread-per-session
    - a new thread is created for each session (client)
  - ➡ thread pool
    - fixed number of threads, incoming requests are distributed automatically
      - saves thread generation costs
      - limits resource consumption



#### **Connection management**

- Connection here means: endpoints of communication channels
  - occur at tier boundaries (between process spaces)
    - e.g. client/server interface, database access
  - are assigned to a process/thread, if in the active state
  - require resources (memory, processor time)
  - opening and closing connections is costly
- ➡ To save resources: pooling of connections
  - connections are initialized to stock and placed in pool
  - each thread/process receives a connection on demand
  - after use: return connection to pool



#### **Availability**

- Requirement to the application, but mainly implemented by the runtime environment
- Downtimes are caused by
  - failure of a hardware or software component
  - overload of a hardware or software component
  - maintenance of a hardware or software component
- Frequent technology for ensuring availability: cluster
  - replication of hardware and software
  - cluster appears externally as one unit
  - two types: fail-over cluster / load-balancing cluster

### **Security**

- Distributed applications are vulnerable due to their distribution
- Middleware supports different security models
- Security requirements:
  - authentication:
    - proves the identity of the user / a component
    - e.g. by password query (for users) or cryptographic techniques and certificates (for components)
  - ➡ authorization:
    - definition of access rights for users to specific services
      - or more fine grained: methods and attributes
    - requires secure authentication

# Ú

#### Security ...

► Security requirements ...:

### confidentiality

- information cannot be intercepted during transmission in the network
- technique: encryption

## integrity

- transmitted data cannot be changed without being noticed
- techniques: cryptographic checksum (message digest, fingerprint), digital signature
  - digital signature also ensures authenticity of the sender

# Security ...

- Security mechanisms:
  - encryption
    - symmetric (e.g. AES, IDEA)
      - same key for encryption and decryption
    - asymmetric (public key algorithms, e.g. RSA)
      - public key for encryption
      - private key for decrypting
  - digital signature
    - ensures integrity of a message and authenticity of the sender as well as nonrepudiation
  - certificate
    - certifies that public key and person (or component) belong together



#### Name service (directory service) (12 4)

- Publication of available services
  - ➡ in the intranet or Internet
- Assignment of names to references (addresses)
  - name serves as a unique / unchangeable identifier
  - the client can request the address of a service via its name
    - address can change e.g. at restart
  - goal: decoupling of client and server
- Examples: JNDI, RMI registry, CORBA interoperable naming service, UDDI registry, LDAP server, Active Directory, ...



#### **Session management**

- In interactive systems: each instance of a client is assigned its own session
  - deleted when logging out or closing the client
- Session stores all relevant data (in main memory)
  - ► e.g. identification of the user, browser type, "'shopping cart"', ...
  - data stored in the server or in the client
  - transient data: deleted at the end of the session
  - persistent data: is written to a data carrier (database) at the end of the session.
- Middleware implements/supports the assignment of requests to sessions (often transparent)
  - e.g. cookies, HTTP-sessions, session beans, ...



#### **Transaction management (R? 7.4**)

- ► Service for interactive, data-centric applications
  - consistency / integrity of data is important
  - this means that the entire (maybe distributed) dataset must represent a valid state in itself
- Typical sequence in applications:
  - 1. client requests data
  - 2. client changes the data
  - 3. client requests that the data be rewritten
  - problem: steps 1-3 could be performed by two clients at the same time
- Transaction management allows execution of a sequence of actions as an atomic unit



# **Distributed Systems**

#### Winter Term 2024/25

#### 31.10.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



#### **Persistence service**

- Persistence: all measures for the permanent storage of main memory data
- Persistence service: intelligent interface to the database
  - integrated in middleware or as an independent component
  - most important service for data-centered applications besides transaction management
- Most common type: object-relational mapper (OR-Mapper)
  - maps objects in memory to tables in a relational database
    - → class  $\rightarrow$  table
    - → attribute  $\rightarrow$  column
    - → object  $\rightarrow$  row
  - mapping rules are controlled by application developer



#### Persistence service ...



# 2.3.3 Component model



- Components: "large" objects for structuring applications
- ➡ A component model defines:
  - the term "component"
    - structure and properties of the components
    - mandatory and optional interfaces
  - interface contracts
    - how do components interact with each other and with the runtime environment?
  - component runtime environment
    - management of the life cycle of components
    - implicit provision of services: component only specifies its requirements (e.g. persistence)



- Object request broker (ORB)
  - distributed objects, remote method calls
  - variety of services, only basic runtime environment
  - example: CORBA
- Application server
  - ➡ focus: support of application logic (middle tier)
  - services, runtime environment, and component model
  - today only as part of a middleware platform
- Middleware platforms
  - extension of application servers: support of all tiers
    - distributed applications as well as EAI
  - examples: Java EE/EJB, .NET/COM, CORBA 3.0/CCM

## 2.3.5 Summary

Ú

#### **Application-oriented middleware**

- Runtime environment
  - resource management, availability, security
- Services
  - name service, session management, transaction management, persistence service
- Component model
  - definition of components, interface contracts, runtime environment



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

# **3 Distributed Programming with Java RMI**

#### Content

- Introduction
- ➡ Hello World with RMI
- RMI in detail
  - classes and interfaces, stubs, name service, parameter passing, factories, callbacks, ...
- Deployment: loading remote classes
  - Java remote class loader and security manager



#### Literature

- WWW documentation and tutorials from Oracle
  - https://docs.oracle.com/en/java/javase/17/docs/api/ java.rmi/java/rmi/package-summary.html
  - https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/technotes/ guides/rmi
- Hammerschall: Ch.. 5.2
- Farley, Crawford, Flanagan: Ch. 3
- Horstmann, Cornell: Ch. 5
- Orfali, Harkey: Ch. 13
- Peter Ziesche: Nebenläufige & verteilte Programmierung, W3L-Verlag, 2005. Ch. 8

# 3.1 Introduction



- ➡ Java RMI is an integral part of Java
  - allows use of remote objects
- Elements of Java RMI:
  - remote object implementations
  - client interfaces (stubs) to remote objects
  - server skeletons for remote object implementations
  - name service to locate objects in the network
  - service for automatically creating (activating) objects
  - communication protocol
- Java interfaces for the first five elements
  - ➡ in the package java.rmi and its subpackages

# 3.1 Introduction ...

Ú

- Java RMI requires that all objects (i.e., client and server) are programmed in Java.
  - ➡ in contrast to, e.g., CORBA
- Advantage: seamless integration into the language
  - → use of remote objects is (almost!) identical to local objects
  - including distributed garbage collection
- Integration of objects in other programming languages:
  - "wrapping" in Java code via Java Native Interface (JNI)
  - use of RMI/IIOP: interoperability with CORBA
    - direct communication between RMI and CORBA objects


### **Distributed Objects**





- Remote references can be used just like local references
- Objects can occur in client and server roles



### **Distributed Objects**



Iocal reference

*remote* reference

- Remote references can be used just like local references
- Objects can occur in client and server roles



#### 3.1.1 RMI Architecture





## **Stub/Skeleton Layer**

- Stub: local proxy object for the remote object
- Skeleton: receives calls and forwards them to the correct object
- Stub and skeleton classes are automatically generated from an interface definition (Java interface)
- Skeleton class is generic (since JDK 1.2)
  - skeleton uses reflection mechanism of Java to call methods of server object
  - reflection allows you to query the method definitions of a class and to generically call methods at runtime
- Stub classes are created at runtime (since JDK 1.5)
  - with the Java class Proxy



#### **Remote Reference Layer**

- Defines call semantics of RMI
  - unicast, point-to-point
    - call is routed to exactly one existing object
  - activatable objects (since JDK 1.2)
    - object will be (re-)activated first, if necessary
      - new object, state is restored from hard disk
  - also possible: multicast semantics
    - proxy sends request to a set of objects and returns the first response
- Also: connection management, distributed garbage collection



## **Transport Layer**

- Connections between JVMs
  - basis: TCP/IP streams
- Proprietary protocol: Java Remote Method Protocol (JRMP)
  - allows tunneling the connection via HTTP (due to firewalls)
  - allows you to define your own socket factory, e.g. to use Transport Layer Security (TLS or SSL)
- → Alternative: RMI-IIOP (since JDK 1.3)
  - uses IIOP (Internet Inter-ORB Protocol) from CORBA
  - thus: direct interoperability with CORBA objects



## 3.1.2 RMI Services

#### Name service: RMI Registry

- registers remote references to RMI objects under freely selectable unique names
- a client can then get the corresponding reference for a name
  - technical: registry sends serialized proxy object (client stub) to the client.
  - the location of the required class files may also be transferred (see 3.4.1)
- RMI can also be used with other naming services, e.g. via JNDI (Java Naming and Directory Interface)



#### Object Activation Service

- usually: remote reference to RMI object is only valid as long as the object exists
  - if the server or the server JVM crashes: object references become invalid
    - references change on restart!
- RMI Activation Service introduced with JDK 1.2
- starts server objects on request of a client
  - server object must register an activation method with the RMI Activation Daemon



## Distributed Garbage Collection

- automatic garbage collection of Java also works for remote objects
- server-side JVM manages a list of remote references to objects
- references are "leased" for a certain time
- reference counter of the object is decremented, if
  - client deletes the reference (e.g., end of the lifetime of the reference variable), or
  - client does not renew the lease in time
    - reason: remote reference layer cannot explicitly "log off" an object, if the client crashes
    - default setting: 10 min.

### Structure:



#### **Development Process:**

- 1. Design the interface for the server object
- 2. Implement the server class
- 3. Develop the server application to include the server object
- 4. Develop the client application with calls to the server object
- 5. Compile and start the system



## **Designing the Interface for the Server Object**

- ► Specified as normal Java interface
- ➡ Must extend java.rmi.Remote
  - no inheritance of operations, only marking as remote interface
- Each method must declare to raise the exception java.rmi.RemoteException (or a base class of it)
  - base class for all errors that may occur
    - ➡ in the client, during transmission, in the server
- ➡ No restrictions compared to local interfaces
  - but: semantic differences (parameter passing!)

### **Hello-World Interface**

import java.rmi.Remote;

import java.rmi.RemoteException;

public interface Hello extends Remote {
 String sayHello() throws RemoteException;

Marker interface, contains no methods, marks interface as RMI interface RemoteException indicates error in the remote object or during communication



#### **Implementing the Server Class**

- ➡ A class that is to be usable remotely must:
  - implement a given remote interface
  - usually extend java.rmi.server.UnicastRemoteObject
    - defines call semantics: point-to-point
  - ► have a constructor that declares to throw a RemoteException
    - creation of object must be done in a try-catch block
- Methods usually do not need to specify throws RemoteException
  - because they don't throw the exception themselves

### Hello-World Server (1)

```
import java.rmi.*;
import java.rmi.server.UnicastRemoteObject;
public class HelloServer extends UnicastRemoteObject
                         implements Hello {
  public HelloServer() throws RemoteException {
     super();
  public String sayHello() {
     return "Hello World!";
                              Remote method
```



## **Development of the Server Application to Include the Server Object**

- ➡ Tasks:
  - creating a server object
  - registering the object with the name service
    - under a specified public name
  - Typically not a new class, but main method of the server class

## Hello-World Server (2)





## **Development of the Client Application with Calls to the Server Object**

- Client must first use the name service to get a reference to the server object from the name service
  - type cast to the correct type required
- → Then: any method can be called
  - no syntactical differences to local calls
- Note: client can get remote references in other ways as well
  - e.g. as return value of a remote method

### **Hello-World Client**

```
import java.rmi.*;
public class HelloClient {
  public static void main(String args[])
                                              <sup>{</sup>Get object reference
    try {
                                               from name server
       Hello obj =
         (Hello)Naming.lookup("rmi://bspc02/Hello-Server");
       String message = obj.sayHello();
       System.out.println(message);
                                             Call the method
    catch (Exception e) {
                                             on the remote object
```

## **Compiling and Starting the System**

- Compiling the Java sources
  - source files: Hello.java, HelloServer.java, HelloClient.java
  - ➡ invocation: javac \*.java
  - Creates Hello.class, HelloServer.class, HelloClient.class
- For JDK version  $\leq$  1.4: Creating the client stub (proxy object)
  - ➡ invocation: rmic -v1.2 HelloServer
  - creates HelloServer\_Stub.class
  - since JDK 1.5, client creates proxy class at runtime, using java.lang.reflect.Proxy

## Compiling and Starting the System ...





## Compiling and Starting the System ...

- ► Starting the naming service
  - invocation: rmiregistry [port]
  - for security reasons, objects can only be registered on the local host
    - ➡ i.e. RMI registry must run on server computer
  - standard port: 1099
- Starting the server
  - ➡ invocation: java HelloServer
- Starting the client
  - ➡ invocation: java HelloClient















#### **Execution of the Example**



Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme









#### **3.3.1 Classes and Interfaces**





## **Distributed Systems**

### Winter Term 2024/25

#### 07.11.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025

#### Interface Remote

- Every remote object must implement this interface
- Does not provide methods, serves only as a marker

#### **Class** RemoteException

- Superclass for all exceptions that can be triggered by the RMI system, for example, with
  - communication errors (server not reachable, ...)
  - (un-)marshalling errors
  - protocol errors
- Each remote method must specify RemoteException (or a base class of it) in the throws clause

### **Class** RemoteObject

- Base class for all remote objects
- ► Redefines the methods equals, hashCode, and toString
- Static method toStub() returns a reference to the stub object
- 🗭 getRef() returns remote reference (= Java class)
  - used by the stub to call methods via generic invoke method

#### **Class** RemoteServer

- Base class for all server implementations
  - UnicastRemoteObject, Activatable
- Method getClientHost(): host address of the client of the current RMI call
- ➡ setLog() and getLog(): logging of RMI calls



#### **Class** UnicastRemoteObject

- Implements remote object with the following properties:
  - references to the object are only valid as long as server process (JVM) is still running
  - client call is routed to exactly one object (via TCP connection), no replication
- Constructor allows definition of port and socket factories
  - ➡ so that e.g. connections via TLS/SSL can be realized
- Static method exportObject() makes object available via RMI
- Static method unexportObject() cancels availability

#### **Class** RemoteStub

Base class for all client stubs

## Class Naming

- ➡ Allows easy access to RMI registry
- Important methods:
  - bind() / rebind(): registers object under given name
  - lookup(): get object reference to a name
- Names are given in URL format
  - also define the host and port of the RMI registry.
  - structure of the URL:





#### **3.3.2 Special Characteristics of Remote Classes**

- Comparison of remote objects
  - Comparison with == refers only to the stub objects
    - Result is false, even if both stubs refer to the same remote object
  - comparison with equals() returns true if both stubs refer to the same remote object




## Method hashCode()

- used by container classes HashMap, HashSet and others
- Hash code is calculated only from the object identifier of the remote object
  - $\blacktriangleright$  same remote object  $\Rightarrow$  same hash code
  - but the content of the object is ignored
- consistent with behavior of equals()

## Cloning objects

- cloning of the remote object is not possible by calling clone() on the stub
- cloning of stubs neither necessary nor meaningful



#### **3.3.3 Parameter Passing**

- Parameters passed to remote methods
  - either via call-by-value
  - ➡ or via call-by-reference
- The mechanism used depends on the type of the parameter
- ► Final decision may only be made at runtime!
- The return of the result follows the same rules as for parameter passing

Ú

## **Parameter Passing for Local Methods**

- ➡ Java supports two kinds of types:
  - value types: simple data types
    - boolean, byte, char, short, int, long, float, double
    - are passed to local methods by value
    - that is, the method receives a copy of the value
  - reference types: classes (incl. String and arrays)
    - are passed to local methods by reference
    - that is, the method works on the original object and can also change object if required



#### **Parameter Passing for Remote Methods**

- Value types: are always passed by value
- Reference types: dependent on the concrete object
  - object can be serialized: call-by-value
  - object belongs to a class that implements the Remote interface: call-by-reference
  - neither: error (java.rmi.MarshalException)
  - both: ??! (this case is to be avoided!)
  - decision is made only at runtime



## **Call-by-Value** (Serializable Objects)

- Class must implement interface java.io.Serializable
- Serializable objects can be transferred over a network
  - only the data is transferred, the code (class file) must be available at the receiver!
- Default serialization of Java:
  - all attributes of the object are serialized and transferred
  - recursive procedure!
  - prerequisite: all attributes and all base classes can be serialized
- Application specific serialization is possible:
  - implement the methods writeObject and readObject



#### **Passing a Serializable Object**



Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme



## Call-by-Reference (Remote Objects)

- Class of the parameter object must implement an interface that extends Remote
  - parameter type must be this interface
  - class is typically derived from UnicastRemoteObject
- ► A serialized stub object is transferred
  - stub class is created dynamically (since JDK 1.5)
  - (up to JDK 1.4, the stub class must be generated by rmic and must be available at the server)
- ➡ If the server calls methods on the parameter object:
  - calls are routed to the original object using RMI



#### Passing a Remote Object





## **Examples**

- ► See WWW:
  - → Hello-World with call-by-value parameter
  - ► Hello-World with call-by-reference parameter



#### **Arrays and Container Objects**

- Arrays and container objects (from the Java Collection Framework, java.util) can be serialized
  - ➡ i.e., they will be reinstantiated at the receiver
- To the elements of the array / container the same rules apply as to simple parameters
  - for mixed content: elements are passed by value or by reference depending on their actual class



## **3.3.4 Remote Object References as Results**

- Frequently: via RMI registry, the client receives a reference to a remote object, which provides references to other objects
  - the remote object may also create these objects on demand (this is called *factory object* or *factory class*)
- Example: server for bank accounts
  - registration of all account objects with RMI registry not useful
  - instead: registration of a manager object that returns the reference to the account object for a given account number
    - ➡ if necessary, it can create a new object (from a database)
- Note: RMI does not allow remote object creation
  - client cannot create objects on a remote host



## **3.3.5 Client Callbacks**

- ► Frequently: server wants to make calls in the client
  - 🗢 e.g. progress bar, queries, ...
- ► For this: client object must be an RMI object
  - pass this reference to the server method
- In some cases, you cannot inherit from UnicastRemoteObject, e.g. for applets
  - then: export the object using UnicastRemoteObject.exportObject(obj,0);
- Example code: see WWW (*Hello-World* with callback)



## 3.3.6 RMI and Threads

- RMI does not specify how many threads are provided on the server side for method calls
  - ➡ only one thread, one thread per call, ...
- This means that several server methods can be active at the same time
  - requires correct synchronization (synchronized)!
- Client-side locking of a remote object using a synchronized block is not possible
  - only local stub is locked
  - a lock must be implemented using methods of the remote object if necessary

## 3.4 Deployment



- Deployment: distribution, transfer and installation of the components of a distributed application
  - specifically for RMI: which class file has to go where?
- Server, RMI registry and client need the class files for:
  - ➡ the remote interface of the server
  - all classes or interfaces that are used in the server interface (recursively)
  - (up to JDK 1.4 also the stub classes for all used remote interfaces)

## 3.4 Deployment ...



- Client and server additionally need the class files for:
  - their own implementation
  - all classes of serializable objects that they receive
    - as a parameter or a result of method calls
  - (up to JDK 1.4 also the stub classes for all remote objects they receive)
- Problems with static installation of class files for serialized objects (and stubs):
  - dependency between client and server
    - method parameters, result objects
  - change of classes requires new installation
    - nullifies an advantage of distributed applications

# Ú

## **Class loader**

- Class loaders are used for loading classes (and interfaces) at runtime
  - more exactly: for loading class files
- Each class is loaded only once
- Class loaders are Java objects themselves
  - base class: java.lang.ClassLoader
- RMI uses its own class loader
  - java.rmi.RMIClassLoader



## **Remote Loading of Classes**

- RMIClassLoader allows to load classes also from remote computers
  - via HTTP (web server) or FTP
  - → URL is defined via codebase property when the JVM is started
- Allows central storage of the necessary files
  - "automatic" deployment
- ► Restrictions:
  - all classes named in the client code must be available locally
  - client must define its own security manager

## Example



- The class files for BankServer, Account and Entry must be available locally at the client (BankClient)
- EntryImpl can be remotely loaded by client



#### Local and Remote Loadable Classes

- Local loading (via CLASSPATH) must be possible (for client and server) for:
  - all classes (and interfaces) named in the client/server code, all classes mentioned by name in those classes, ...
  - ➡ i.e. everything that is needed to compile the code
- Remotely loadable:
  - subclasses accessed only via polymorphism
    - ➡ i.e. the code only uses a superclass or interface
  - ➡ (stub classes of remote objects)
- The RMI registry can load all required classes remotely



## **Distributed Systems**

## Winter Term 2024/25

#### 14.11.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



## Example: Hello-World with Callback and Result Object

➡ Interfaces (see WWW):

```
public interface Hello extends Remote
{
    HelloObj getHello(AskUser ask) throws RemoteException;
}
public interface AskUser extends Remote
{
    boolean ask(String question) throws RemoteException;
}
```

```
public interface HelloObj
{
    void sayIt();
}
```



## **Example: How are the Classes Loaded?**

- ► Interfaces Hello.class, AskUser.class, HelloObj.class
  - must be available locally at the client
  - can be loaded remotely by the RMI registry
- Implementation HelloObjImpl.class of HelloObj
  - can be loaded remotely by the client
  - ➡ is not required by RMI registry
- Stub classes for the two remote interfaces
  - are generated dynamically (i.e., not loaded) since JDK 1.5
  - ➡ (but could also be loaded remotely)



## **Example: Necessary Changes in the Client**

```
Using the Java security manager:
public static void main(String args[]) {
   System.setSecurityManager(new SecurityManager());
```

Definition of the security policy in the policy file:

```
grant {
   permission java.net.SocketPermission "myserver:1024-",
        "connect,accept";
   permission java.net.SocketPermission "www.bsvs.de:80",
        "connect";
```

};

- grants local classes (client!) the following permissions:
  - connection to/from myserver on non-privileged ports:
    - RMI registry (1099), server and callback object (dyn.)
  - connection to the web server (port 80)

# Ú

## **Example:** *Deployment*

- → All classes to be loaded remotely are packed into one archive
- The archive is made available via a web server
- Start the server with a codebase, e.g:
  - java -Djava.rmi.server.codebase="http://www.bsvs.de/ jars/HelloServer.jar" HelloServer
  - the codebase property specifies the URL to the JVM under which the classes are to be loaded
  - server passes codebase to RMI registry when registering the server object
  - RMI registry passes codebase to client
- Start of the client with specification of the policy file, e.g.

➡ java ... -Djava.security.policy=policy HelloClient

## Ú

#### **Procedure for Transferring Objects**



Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme

**Distributed Systems (6/15)** 

## 3.4.2 Java Security Manager

- Ú
- → JVM can be equipped with a security manager if required
  - via method System.setSecurityManager()
- Security manager checks, among other things, whether the application is allowed to
  - access a local file,
  - establish a network connection,
  - ➡ stop the JVM,
  - create a class loader,
  - read AWT events, ...
- Permissions are specified in a security policy
  - ➡ if the specifications are violated: exception

## **Security Policy**

- Assigns permissions to codes from specific sources
- Code source can be described by two properties:
  - code location: URL where the code was loaded from
  - certificates (for signed code)
- Permissions allow access to certain resources
  - permissions are modeled by objects, but are usually specified in the policy file



## **Hierarchy of Permission Classes (JDK 1.2)**





## **Policy File**

```
grant {
  permission java.net.SocketPermission "www.bsvs.de:80",
    "connect":
};
grant codebase "file:" {
  permission java.io.FilePermission "/home/tom/-",
    "read, write";
  permission java.io.FilePermission "/bin/*", "execute";
};
grant codebase "http://www.bsvs.de/jars/HelloServer.jar" {
  permission java.net.SocketPermission "localhost:1024-",
    "listen, accept, connect";
};
```

## Ú

## Policy File ...

- ➡ All classes are allowed to:
  - establish connections to www.bsvs.de, port 80
- Locally loaded classes may:
  - read and write files in /home/tom or (recursively) a subdirectory of it
  - execute files in the /bin directory
- Classes loaded from http://www.bsvs.de/jars/ HelloServer.jar are allowed to:
  - accept / establish network connections on / to the local computer via non-privileged ports (1024 or higher)



#### **Further Documentation**

General information on policy files: http://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/technotes/guides/ security/PolicyFiles.html

Overview of the permission classes: http://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/technotes/guides/ security/permissions.html

Java API documentation: http://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/

## 3.5 Summary

- ► RMI allows access to remote objects
  - transparent, via proxy objects
  - proxy classes are generated automatically
    - usually at runtime
- Parameter passing semantics
  - *by value*, if parameter object can be serialized
  - *by reference*, if parameter object is an RMI object
- Classes can also be loaded remotely (security manager!)
- Name service: RMI registry
- Security: RMI over TLS/SSL is possible, but not ideal



## **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

4 Name Services



#### Content

- ➡ Basics
- 🛏 Example: JNDI

## Literature

- ➡ Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 4.1
- ► Farley, Crawford, Flanagan: Ch. 7

http://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/jndi/overview



## Names, Addresses and IDs

- ► Name: character or bit sequence that refers to a unit
  - unit: e.g. computer, printer, file, user, website, ...
- Address: name of the entry point of a unit
  - entry point allows access to the unit
  - several entry points per unit are possible
  - entry point may change over time
- A position-independent name identifies a unit independently from its entry point
- ► **ID**: name with the following properties:
  - ➡ ID refers to at most one unit, unit has at most one ID
  - ➡ ID always refers to the same unit (not reused)



#### Namespaces



- leaf node: named unit, with information / status if required
- inner node: directory node
- edges are labeled with names
- Units are named by paths in the graph: Start node: < Label-1, Label-2, ... >
  - absolute path: starting from root (of namespace)
  - relative path: starting from any node
- Example: names in the UNIX file system

n0

steen

Դ4

home

.twmrc

(n6)

max

elke

keys

keys

mbox

"/keys"

"/home/steen/keys"

"/home/steen/mbox"


#### **Aliasing and Linking**

- Alias: alternative name for the same unit
- Possibilities for the realization of aliases:
  - allow several absolute pathnames for one unit
    - ➡ e.g. hard link in Unix
  - ► a (special) leaf node stores pathname of the unit
    - e.g. symbolic link in Unix
- Transparent linking of different namespaces:
  - a (special) directory node stores the ID of a directory node in another namespace
    - e.g. *mounted* file system in Unix



#### **Name Resolution**

- ► Finding the node (or information) that corresponds to a name
  - start at the start node
  - look up first label in directory table
     ID of the next node
  - etc., until the path is completely processed
- Conclusion mechanism: determination of the start node
  - usually implicit
- ➡ Global names: resolution independent of specific context
- Local names: resolution is context-dependent
  - e.g. pathname relative to working directory in Unix

## 4.1 Basics ...

Ú

#### **Implementation of Naming Services**

- Typical operations:
  - bind(name, address, attributes)
  - ► lookup(name, attributes)  $\Rightarrow$  address, attributes
  - unbind(name, address)
- ➡ In distributed systems:
  - namespace is stored distributed (usually hierarchically)
  - for high availability: additionally replicated storage
- Name resolution can be iterative or recursive
  - iterative: Server responds with address of next server
  - recursive: server requests even at next server
- ► Example: *Domain Name Service* (I RN\_I, 9.3)

# 4.2 Example: JNDI



- → JNDI: Java Naming and Directory Interface
- ➡ API for access to different name and directory services
  - directory service also stores attributes of objects



# 4.2 Example: JNDI ...



→ JDNI supports compound namespaces

managed by various name or directory services



Directories are called "contexts"

objects are bound to names within a context



#### The Interface javax.naming.Context for Naming Contexts

- Important methods:
  - bind(), rebind() : bind objects to names
    - bind() throws exception if name already exists
  - unbind() : remove names
  - rename() : rename
  - lookup() : resolve name to object
  - > listBindings() : list of all bindings
  - createSubcontext() : create sub-context
  - destroySubcontext() : delete sub-context



#### The Interface javax.naming.Context for Naming Contexts ...

- Implementation class InitialContext
  - ➡ for initial context (depending on the concrete name service)
    - Context iC = new InitialContext(properties);
  - configuration via Properties Object (Hashtable), among others:
    - "java.naming.factory.initial"
      - factory for InitialContext
    - "java.naming.provider.url"
      - contact information for service provider
    - "java.naming.security.principal" and "java.naming.security.credentials"
      - user name and password for authentication



#### **Example: Accessing the RMI Registry**

```
import javax.naming.*;
```

. . .

```
Properties props = new Properties();
props.put("java.naming.factory.initial",
    "com.sun.jndi.rmi.registry.RegistryContextFactory");
props.put("java.naming.provider.url",
    "rmi://localhost:1099");
Context ctx = new InitialContext(props);
```

```
obj = (Hello)ctx.lookup("Hello-Server");
```

```
message = obj.sayHello();
```



#### **Example: Accessing a Local File System**

```
import javax.naming.*;
```

```
Properties props = <u>new</u> Properties();
props.put("java.naming.factory.initial",
        "com.sun.jndi.fscontext.RefFSContextFactory");
Context ctx = <u>new</u> InitialContext(props);
```

```
for (int i=0; i<args.length-1; i++)
  ctx = (Context)ctx.lookup(args[i]);
NamingEnumeration<Binding> list
  = ctx.listBindings(args[args.length-1]);
while (list.hasMore()) {
  Binding b = list.next();
  System.out.println(b.getName()+": "+b.getClassName());
}
```



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

# **5 Process Management**

#### Contents

- Distributed process scheduling
- Code migration

#### Literature

- Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 3
- ➡ Stallings: Ch. 14.1



#### **5.1 Distributed Process Scheduling**

- ► Typical: middleware component that
  - decides on which node a process is executed
  - and probably migrates processes between nodes
- ➡ Gloals:
  - balance the load between nodes
  - maximize the system performance (average response time)
    - also: minimize the communication between nodes
  - meet special hardware / resource requirements
- Load: typically the length of the process queue (ready queue)
  - sometimes resource consumption and communication volume are considered, too



#### **Approaches to distributed scheduling**

- Static scheduling
  - mapping of processes to nodes is defined before execution
  - NP-complete, therefore heuristic methods
- Dynamic load balancing, two variants:
  - execution location of a process is defined during creation and is not changed later
  - execution location of a process can be changed at runtime (several times, if necessary)
    - preemptive dynamic load balancing, process migration

# Ú

## 5.1.1 Static Scheduling

- Procedure dependent on the structure / the modelling of a job
  - jobs always consist of several processes
  - differences in communication structure
- ► Examples:
  - communicating processes: graph partitioning
  - non-communicating tasks with dependencies: list scheduling



#### Scheduling through graph partitioning

- ➡ Given: process system with
  - CPU / memory requirements
  - specification of communication load between each pair of processes

usually represented as a graph



- Wanted: partitioning of the graph in such a way that
  - CPU and memory requirements are met for each node
  - partitions are about the same size (load balancing)
  - weighted sum of cut edges is minimal
    - i.e. as little communication as possible between nodes
- ► NP-complete, therefore many heuristic procedures

### Scheduling through graph partitioning

- ➡ Given: process system with
  - CPU / memory requirements
  - specification of communication load between each pair of processes

usually represented as a graph



- Wanted: partitioning of the graph in such a way that
  - CPU and memory requirements are met for each node
  - partitions are about the same size (load balancing)
  - weighted sum of cut edges is minimal
    - i.e. as little communication as possible between nodes
- ► NP-complete, therefore many heuristic procedures

= 30

### Scheduling through graph partitioning

- ➡ Given: process system with
  - CPU / memory requirements
  - specification of communication load between each pair of processes

usually represented as a graph



- Wanted: partitioning of the graph in such a way that
  - CPU and memory requirements are met for each node
  - partitions are about the same size (load balancing)
  - weighted sum of cut edges is minimal
    - i.e. as little communication as possible between nodes
- ► NP-complete, therefore many heuristic procedures

5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

 Tasks with dependencies, but without communication during execution

- program represented as a DAG
- nodes: tasks with execution times

tasks work on results of other tasks

 edges: communication with transfer time







# **Distributed Systems**

#### Winter Term 2024/25

#### 21.11.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



#### **Method**

- Create prioritized list of all tasks
  - many different heuristics to determine the priorities, e.g. according to:
    - length of the longest path (without communication) from the node to the end of the DAG (*High Level First with Estimated Time*, HLFET).
    - earliest possible start time (*Earliest Task First*, ETF)
- Process the list as follows:
  - assign the first task to the node that allows the earliest start time
  - remove the task from the list
- Creation and processing of the list can also be interleaved









# Static level (without comm.): 6+5+4 = 15





# Static level (without comm.): 6+5+4 = 15 6+6+4 = 16















Schedule with 3 nodes:











Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:







List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:




#### **Example: List Scheduling with HLFET**



List:



Schedule with 3 nodes:



Assumption: local communication does not cost any time





List:

G

Schedule with 3 nodes:



Assumption: local communication does not cost any time

### **Example: List Scheduling with HLFET**



List:

Schedule with 3 nodes:



Assumption: local communication does not cost any time



#### 5.1.2 Dynamic Load Balancing

- Components of a load balancing system
  - ► Information policy when is load balancing triggered?
    - on demand, periodically, in case of state changes, ...
  - Transfer policy under which condition is load shifted?
    - often: decision with the help of threshold values
  - Location policy how is the receiver (or sender) found?
    - polling of some nodes, broadcast, ...
  - Selection policy which tasks are moved?
    - new tasks, long tasks, location-independent tasks, ...



## **Typical approaches to dynamic load balancing**

- Sender initiated load balancing
  - new process usually start on the local node
  - if node is overloaded: determine load of other nodes and start process on low-loaded node
    - e.g. ask randomly selected nodes for their load, send process if load < threshold, otherwise: next node</p>
  - disadvantage: additional work for already overloaded node!
- Receiver initiated load balancing
  - when scheduling a process: check whether the node has still enough work (processes)
  - ➡ if not: ask other nodes for work
- Similar also for preemptive dynamic load balancing



#### [Tanenbaum/Steen, 3.4]

### 5.2 Code Migration

- In distributed systems, in addition to data also programs are transfered between nodes
  - partly also during their execution
- Motivation: performance and flexibility
  - preemptive dynamic load balancing
  - optimization of communication (move code to data or highly interactive code to client)
  - increased availability (migration before system maintenance)
  - use of special HW or SW resources
  - use / evacuation of unused workstation computers
  - avoid code installation on client machines (dynamic loading of code from server)



#### **Models for Code Migration**

- Conceptual model: a process consists of three "segments":
  - code segment
    - the executable program code of the process
  - execution segment
    - complete execution status of the process
      - virtual address space (data, heap, stack)
      - processor register (incl. instruction counter)
      - process / thread control block
  - resource segment
    - contains references to external resources required by the process
      - e.g. files, devices, other processes, mailboxes, ...

Ú

### Models for Code Migration ...

### ➡ Weak mobility

- only the code segment is transferred
  - including initialization data if necessary
- program is always started from initial state
- examples: remotely loaded classes in Java, Java Script

# Strong mobility

- code and execution segment are transferred
- migration of a process in execution
- examples: process migration, agents
- Sender- or receiver-initiated migration



### **Code Migration Issues and Solutions**

- Security: target computer executes unknown code
  - restricted environment (sandbox)
  - signed code
- Heterogeneity: code and execution segment depend on CPU and operating system
  - use of virtual machines (e.g. JVM, XEN)
  - migration points at which state can be stored and read in a portable way (possibly supported by compiler)
- Access to (local) resources
  - remote access with a global reference
  - move or copy the resource
  - new binding to resource of the same type

#### **Process migration**



#### [Stallings, 14.1]

- ► Migration of a process that is already running
  - triggered by OS or the process itself
  - mostly for dynamic load balancing
- Sometimes combined with *checkpoint/restart* function
  - instead of transferring the status of the process, it can also be stored persistently
- Design goals of migration procedures:
  - Iow communication effort
  - only short blocking of the migrated process
  - no dependency on source computer after migration



#### **Process Flow of a Process Migration**

- Creating a new process on the target system
- Transfer the code and execution segment (process address space, process control block), initialization of the target process
  - required: identical CPU and OS or virtual machine
- Update all connections to other processes
  - communication links, signals, ...
  - during migration: buffering at source
  - then: forwarding to target computer
- Delete the original process
  - if necessary, retain a "shadow process" for redirected system calls, e.g. file accesses



#### **Transferring the process address space**

- ► Eager (all): transfer the entire address space
  - no traces of the process remain on source nodes
  - very expensive for large address space (especially if not all pages are used)
  - often together with checkpoint/restart function
- Precopy: process continues to run on source node during transfer
  - to minimize time in which the process is blocked
  - pages modified while the migration is in progress must be sent again



#### **Transferring the process address space ...**

- Eager (dirty): transfer only modified pages that are in main memory
  - all other pages are only transferred when accessed
    - integration with virtual memory management
  - motivation: quickly "flush" main memory of the source node
  - source node may remain involved until the end of the process
- Copy-on-reference: transfer each page only when accessed
  - variation of eager (dirty)
  - Iowest initial costs
- ► *Flushing*: move all pages to disk before migration
  - → after that: *copy-on-reference* 
    - advantage: main memory of the source node is relieved



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

6 Time and Global State



- Synchronization of physical clocks
- Lamport's happended before relation
- Logical clocks
- 🔶 Global state

#### Literature

- ➡ Tanenbaum, van Steen: Kap. 5.1-5.3
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Kap. 10
- ➡ Stallings: Kap 14.2



# What is the difference between a distributed system and a single/multiprocessor system?

- Single or multiprocessor system:
  - concurrent processes: pseudo-parallel by time sharing or truely parallel
  - global time: all events in the processes can be ordered unambiguously in terms of time
  - global state: at any time a unique state of the system can be determined
- Distributed system
  - ➡ true parallelism
  - 🗢 no global time
  - no unique global state

### 6 Time and Global State ...



#### **Concurrency vs. (true) parallelism**

#### **Example: 4 processes**





Each node / process has its own time line! Events in different processes can truely happen simultaneously.



#### **Global Time**

- ► In a single/multiprocessor system
  - each event can (at least theoretically) be assigned a unique time stamp of the same local clock
  - for multiprocessor systems: synchronization at the shared memory
- In distributed systems:
  - many local clocks (one per node)
  - exact synchronization of clocks is (on principle!) not possible
  - ➡ ⇒ the sequence of events on different nodes can not (always) be determined uniquely
    - (cf. special theory of relativity)

# 6 Time and Global State ...

# Ú

### An effect of distribution

Preliminary remark: events in distributed systems



Scenario: two processes observe two other processes





#### An effect of distribution ...

- → The observers may see the events in different order!
- Problem e.g., if the observers are replicated databases and the events are database updates
  - replicas are no longer consistent!
- Even from time stamps of (local) clocks it is not possible to determine the order of events in a meaningful way
- Hence, in such cases:
  - events with timestamps of logical clocks (INF 6.3)
  - Iogical clocks allow conclusions to be made about causal order



#### 6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks

- Physical clock shows 'real' time
  - based on UTC (Universal Time Coordinated)
- Each computer has its own (physical) clock
  - quartz oscillator with counter in HW and if necessary in SW
- Clocks usually differ from each other (offset)
  - Offset changes over time: clock drift
    - → typ.  $10^{-6}$  for quartz crystals,  $10^{-13}$  for atomic clocks
- ➡ Goal of clock synchronization:
  - keep the offset of the clocks under a given limit
  - clock skew: maximum allowed deviation

# Ú

#### **Cristian's Method**

- Assumption: A and B want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - $\blacktriangleright$  *B* can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)
- Protocol:



# Ú

#### **Cristian's Method**

- Assumption: A and B want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - $\blacktriangleright$  *B* can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)
- Protocol:



#### **Cristian's Method**

- Assumption: A and B want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - $\Rightarrow$  B can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)

Protocol:



- A must take the runtime of the reply message into account
- estimate: runtime
  half the round
  trip time

= 
$$(t1-t0)/2$$

#### **Cristian's Method**

- Assumption: A and B want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - $\Rightarrow$  B can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)

Protocol:



- A must take the runtime of the reply message into account
- estimate: runtime
  half the round
  trip time

= 
$$(t1-t0)/2$$

### **Cristian's Method**

- Assumption: A and B want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - $\Rightarrow$  B can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)

Protocol:



- A must take the runtime of the reply message into account
- estimate: runtime
  half the round
  trip time
  - =(t1-t0)/2



#### **Cristian's Method: Discussion**

- Problem: runtimes of both messages may be different
  - systematic differences (different paths / latencies)
  - statistical fluctuations of the transit time
- $\blacktriangleright$  Accuracy estimate, if minimum transit time (*min*) is known:
  - ▶ B can have determined t at the earliest at time t0 + min, at the latest at time t1 min (measured with A's clock)
  - → thus accuracy  $\pm ((t1 t0)/2 min)$
- ➡ To improve accuracy:
  - execute the message exchange multiple times
  - use the one with minimum round trip time



# **Distributed Systems**

#### Winter Term 2024/25

28.11.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025

# Ú

## **Adjusting the clock**

- ► Turning back is problematic
  - order / uniqueness of time stamps
- Non-monotonous "jumping" of the time also problematic
- Therefore: clock is generally adjusted slowly
  - runs faster / slower, until clock skew has been compensated

### **Further protocols**

- Berkeley algorithm: server calculates mean value of all clocks
- NTP (Network Time Protocol): hierarchy of time servers in the Internet with periodic synchronization
- → IEEE 1588: clock synchronization for automation systems



[Coulouris, 10.4]

### 6.2 Lamport's Happened-Before Relation

- In two cases, the order of events can also be determined without a global clock:
  - → if the events are in the same process, local clock is sufficient
  - the sending of a message is always before its reception
- Definition of the happened-before causality relation  $\rightarrow$  (*causality relation*)
  - ➡ if events a, b are in the same process i and  $t_i(a) < t_i(b)$ (t<sub>i</sub>: time stamp with i's clock), then a → b
  - $\blacktriangleright$  if a is the sending of a message and b its receipt, then  $a \rightarrow b$
  - → if  $a \rightarrow b$  and  $b \rightarrow c$ , then also  $a \rightarrow c$  (transitivity)
- $\blacktriangleright$   $a \rightarrow b$  means, that b may causally depend on a

# 6.2 Lamport's Happened-Before Relation ...



### Examples



➡ Among others, we have here:

- ▶  $b \rightarrow i$  and  $a \rightarrow h$  (events in the same process)
- $\blacktriangleright c \rightarrow d$  and  $e \rightarrow f$  (sending / receiving a message)

 $\blacktriangleright$   $c \rightarrow k$  and  $a \rightarrow i$  (transitivity)

►  $g \not\rightarrow l$  and  $l \not\rightarrow g$ : l and g are concurrent (*nebenläufig*)

# 6.3 Logical Clocks



- Physical clocks cannot be synchronized exactly
  - therefore: unsuitable for determining the order in which events occurred
- Logical clocks
  - refer to the causal order of events (happened-before relation)
  - no fixed relationship to real time
- ► In the following:
  - Lamport timestamps
    - are consistent with the happened-before relation
  - vector timestamps
    - allow sorting of events according to causality (i.e. happened-before relation)



#### Lamport Timestamps

- Lamport timestamps are natural numbers
- $\blacktriangleright$  Each process *i* has a local counter  $L_i$ , that is updated as follows:
  - → at (more precisely: before) each local event:  $L_i = L_i + 1$
  - in each message, the time stamp L<sub>i</sub> of the send event is also sent
  - → at receipt of a message with time stamp t:  $L_i = \max(L_i, t+1)$
- Lamport time stamps are consistent with the causality:
  - ▶  $a \rightarrow b \Rightarrow L(a) < L(b)$ , where L is the Lamport timestamp in the respective process
  - but the reversal does not apply!



#### Lamport Timestamps: Example





#### **Lamport Timestamps: Example**





#### Lamport Timestamps: Example




#### Lamport Timestamps: Example





# Lamport Timestamps: Example



Among others, we have here:

- $\blacktriangleright c \rightarrow k$  and L(c) < L(k)
- $\blacktriangleright g \not\rightarrow j \text{ and } L(g) \not< L(j)$
- ▶  $g \not\rightarrow l$ , but still L(g) < L(l)



#### **Vector Timestamps**

Objective: timestamps that characterize causality

▶  $a \rightarrow b \Leftrightarrow V(a) < V(b)$ , where V is the vector timestamp in the respective process

- A vector clock in a system with N processes is a vector of N integers
  - $\blacktriangleright$  each process has its own vector  $V_i$
  - $\checkmark$   $V_i[i]$ : number of events that have occurred so far in process i
  - ►  $V_i[j], j \neq i$ : number of events in process j, of which i knows
    - i.e. by which it could have been causally influenced



#### **Vector Timestamps ...**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Update of  $V_i$  in process *i*:
  - → before any local event:  $V_i[i] = V_i[i] + 1$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  V<sub>i</sub> is included in every message sent
  - when receiving a message with timestamp t:  $V_i[j] = \max(V_i[j], t[j])$  for all j = 1, 2, ..., N
- Comparison of vector timestamps:

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $V = V' \Leftrightarrow$   $V[j] = V'[j]$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ 

 $\blacktriangleright V \leq V' \Leftrightarrow V[j] \leq V'[j]$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ 

$$\blacktriangleright V < V' \iff V \leq V' \land V \neq V'$$

the relation < defines a partial order</p>

Ú



Ú







Ú



Ú

# **Vector Timestamps: Example**



➡ Among others, we have here:

- $\blacktriangleright c \rightarrow k \text{ and } V(c) < V(k)$
- ▶  $g \not\rightarrow l$  and  $V(g) \not< V(l)$ , as well as  $l \not\rightarrow g$  and  $V(l) \not< V(g)$ 
  - ► V(l) and V(g) not comparable  $\Leftrightarrow l$  and g concurrent



# **A Motivating Example**

- Scenario: peer-to-peer application, processes send requests to each other
- Question: when can the application terminate?
- Answer: when no process is processing a request



## **A Motivating Example**

- Scenario: peer-to-peer application, processes send requests to each other
- Question: when can the application terminate?
- Wrong answer: when no process is processing a request
  - reason: requests can still be on the way in messages!



Other applications: distributed garbage collection, distributed deadlock detection, ...

Ú

- How can we determine the overall state of a distributed process system?
  - naïvely: union of the states of all processes (wrong!)
- ➡ Two aspects have to be considered:
  - messages that are still in transit
    - must be included in the state
  - lack of global time
    - $\blacktriangleright$  a global state at time t cannot be defined!
    - process states always refer to local (and thus different) times
    - question: condition on local times?  $\Rightarrow$  consistent cuts



# **Consistent Cuts**

- Objective: build a meaningful global state from local states (which are not determined simultaneously)
- Processes are modeled by sequences of events:





# **Consistent Cuts**

- Objective: build a meaningful global state from local states (which are not determined simultaneously)
- Processes are modeled by sequences of events:



**Cut**: consider a **prefix** of the event sequence in each process



# **Consistent Cuts**

- Objective: build a meaningful global state from local states (which are not determined simultaneously)
- Processes are modeled by sequences of events:



Cut: consider a prefix of the event sequence in each process

# Consistent cut:

if the cut contains the reception of a message, it also contains the sending of this message



# The Snapshot Algorithm of Chandy and Lamport

- Determines online a "snapshot" of the global state
  - i.e.: a consistent cut
- ➡ The global state consists of:
  - the local states of all processes
  - the status of all communication connections
    - ➡ i.e. the messages in transmission
- Assumptions / properties:
  - reliable message channels with sequence retention
  - process graph is strongly connected
  - each process can trigger a snapshot at any time
  - the processes are not blocked during the algorithm



# The Snapshot Algorithm of Chandy and Lamport ...

- → When a process wants to initiate a snapshot:
  - process first saves its local state
  - then it sends a marker message over each outgoing channel
- ➡ When a process receives a marker message:
  - ➡ if it has not yet saved its local state:
    - it saves its local state
    - and sends a marker over each outgoing channel
  - ➡ else:
    - for the channel where the marker was received, it saves all messages that have been received since the local state was saved
    - i.e., it records the status of the channel



# The Snapshot Algorithm of Chandy and Lamport ...

- The algorithm terminates when each process has received a marker message on each channel
  - the determined consistent section is then (initially) stored in a distributed way









1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers





P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers





P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
P2 receives and processes a





- 1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
- 2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
- 3. P2 receives and processes a
  - P2 receives the marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers





- 1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
- 2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
- 3. P2 receives and processes a
  - P2 receives the marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
- 4. P1, P2, P3 save the incoming messages, until all markers are received





- 1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
- 2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
- 3. P2 receives and processes a
  - P2 receives the marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
- 4. P1, P2, P3 save the incoming messages, until all markers are received



#### **Sequence in the Example and Selected Cut**





#### **Sequence in the Example and Selected Cut**



consistent cut determined by the algorithm

The cut consists of the local states of P1, P2, P3 and the messages b, c, d, e



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

# 7 Coordination

# 7 Coordination ...

# Contents

- Election algorithms
- Mutual exclusion
- Group communication (multicast)
- Transactions

### Literature

- ➡ Tanenbaum, van Steen: Kap. 5.4-5.6
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Kap. 11, 12
- ➡ Stallings: Kap 14.3



# 7 Coordination ...

# 7.1 Election Algorithms



#### [Tanenbaum/Steen, 5.4]

- In many distributed algorithms one arbitrary process must play an exceptional role
  - 🗢 e.g. central coordinator, initiator, ...
- Question: how to choose this process unambiguously?
  - processes must be distinguishable, e.g. via a unique ID.
  - then select e.g. the process with the highest ID
- Prerequisites / requirements:
  - election can be initiated by multiple processes concurrently
    - e.g. after failure or recovery of a process
  - after the election all processes must have the same result
  - each process knows the IDs of all other processes, but does not know whether they are running or not



# **The Bully Algorithm**

- $\blacktriangleright$  A process *P* holds an election as follows:
  - P sends an ELECTION message to all processes with a larger ID
  - ➡ if none of the processes reacts, P wins the election
  - ➡ if a process responds: P loses the election
- → When a process receives an ELECTION message:
  - (message comes from a process with a lower ID)
  - return an OK message
  - hold an election of your own
- At some point, there is only one process left
  - this wins the election and sends the result to all others









Process 4 holds an election





Process 4 holds an election

Processes 5 and 6 reply, Process 4 terminates its election





Process 4 holds an election

Processes 5 and 6 reply, Process 4 terminates its election

Processes 5 and 6 simultaneously hold an election





Process 4 holds an election

Processes 5 and 6 reply, Process 4 terminates its election

Processes 5 and 6 simultaneously hold an election

Process 6 replies to 5 Process 5 terminates its election


#### **Bully Algorithm: Example**



Process 4 holds an election

Processes 5 and 6 reply, Process 4 terminates its election

Processes 5 and 6 simultaneously hold an election

Process 6 replies to 5 Process 5 terminates its election

Noone replied to the election of process 6, thus, this process wins the election and communicates the result to all others



## **A Ring Algorithm**

- Assumption: processes form a logical ring, i.e. each process knows its successors in the ring
- Messages are sent along the ring as follows:
  - a process tries to send the message to its direct successor
  - if this process is not active, the message will be sent to the next process in the ring, etc.
- ELECTION messages contain a list of process IDs



## A Ring Algorithm ...

- A process that initiates the election sends an ELECTION message with its own ID along the ring
- ➡ When an ELECTION message is received by a process:
  - ➡ if its own ID is not in the list of IDs:
    - append the own ID to the list
    - continue sending message along the ring
  - else (message came back to the initiator):
    - determine highest ID in the list
    - send this ID in a COORDINATOR message along the ring











Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election





Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election





Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election





Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election

Eventually both processes get their ELECTION messages back and send a COORDINATOR message (with identical contents!)

## 7 Coordination ...



## 7.2 Mutual Exclusion

- → Here mainly: use / allocation of exclusive resources
- Requirements:
  - safety: the resource is not used concurrently by more than one process
  - liveness: any process that requests the resource will eventually get it
  - ➡ fairness: access to resources in 'FIFO' order
- Solution approaches:
  - centralized server
  - distributed algorithm with Lamport clock
  - token ring algorithm



## **Distributed Systems**

## Winter Term 2024/25

05.12.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



## **Evaluation**

- Sie haben alle den Link für die Evaluation der Vorlesung erhalten
- Bitte füllen Sie den Fragebogen jetzt aus!
  - bitte nur die Vorlesung evaluieren, die Übung wird separat evaluiert



## **Centralized Server**

- An special coordinator process manages the resource and a queue for waiting processes
  - determined e.g. via an election algorithm
- Resource is requested by sending a message to the coordinator
  - ➡ if resource is free: coordinator answers with OK
  - otherwise: coordinator does not answer
    - requesting process is blocked (waiting for reply)
- Resource is released by sending a message to the coordinator
  - ➡ if processes wait: coordinator sends an OK to one of them
- Problem: processes cannot detect failure of the coordinator
  - this could be done using negative replies and polling



## A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala)

- Idea: a process that wants to have a resource asks all other processes for their OK
  - ➡ a process replies with OK, if
    - it does not want the resource, or
    - it wants the resource, but the other process has requested it "earlier"
- Requires total order of request events
  - order must be consistent with causality
  - realizable e.g. via a time stamp (Lamport time, process ID) with lexicographic order
    - in the example of slide 207 this results in the event order:
      b, c, a, e, g, d, j, f, l, h, i, k



## A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala) ...

- To request a resource, a process sends the following message to all other processes:
  - ➡ resource ID
  - $\blacktriangleright$  time stamp T of the request
    - pair: (current Lamport time, own process ID)

(the message must be delivered reliably)

- The process then waits until it receives an OK message from all other processes
- After that it can use the resource (exclusively)



## A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala) ...

- Each process responds to request messages as follows:
  - resource is not used and not requested by the process:
    - return OK message
  - resource is used by the process:
    - do not send a reply
    - put the request in a queue
  - Resource id not used, but requested by the process:
    - if T(incoming message) < T(own request):
      - return OK message
    - ➡ or else:
      - do not send a reply
      - put the request in a queue



## A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala) ...

- → When a process releases the resource:
  - ► send an OK message to **all** processes in the queue
  - delete the queue



**Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala** 





#### **Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala**

1. P1 sends request to all others





#### **Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala**



```
2. P3 sends request to all others
```



#### **Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala**



- 1. P1 sends request to all others
- 2. P3 sends request to all others
- 3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn,t want the resource

#### **Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala**



- 1. P1 sends request to all others
- 2. P3 sends request to all others
- 3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn,t want the resource
- 4. P1 doesn't send an OK to P3, since (12,3) > (8,1).P1 adds P3 to its queue

#### **Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala**



- 1. P1 sends request to all others
- 2. P3 sends request to all others
- 3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn,t want the resource
- 4. P1 doesn't send an OK to P3, since (12,3) > (8,1).P1 adds P3 to its queue
- 5. P3 sends OK to P1, since (8,1) < (12,3)

## **Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala**





Both P1 and P3 want the resource

- 1. P1 sends request to all others
- 2. P3 sends request to all others
- 3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn,t want the resource
- 4. P1 doesn't send an OK to P3, since (12,3) > (8,1).P1 adds P3 to its queue
- 5. P3 sends OK to P1, since (8,1) < (12,3)
- 6. P1 received all OKs and uses the resource

2

## **Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala**



- 1. P1 sends request to all others
- 2. P3 sends request to all others
- 3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn,t want the resource
- 4. P1 doesn't send an OK to P3, since (12,3) > (8,1).P1 adds P3 to its queue
- 5. P3 sends OK to P1, since (8,1) < (12,3)
- 6. P1 received all OKs and uses the resource
- 7. P1 releases the resource and sends an OK to P3



## A Token Ring Algorithm

- ➡ The processes form a logical ring
- A token circles in the ring
  - authorization for (exclusive) use of the resource
  - token is initially generated by one of the processes
- On arrival of the token: process checks whether it wants the resource
  - ➡ if so:
    - use the resource
    - after releasing the resource:
      - pass token to successor in the ring
  - → else:
    - pass token immediately to successor in the ring



## **Comparison of algorithms**

- Centralized server:
  - server is single point of failure and may be a performance bottleneck
  - clients cannot distinguish (without additional measures) between server failure and occupied resource
  - only little communication necessary
- → Distributed algorithm:
  - ➡ failure of **any** node is problematic
  - any node can become a performance bottleneck
  - high communication effort
  - → just a proof that a distributed, symmetrical algorithm is possible



## **Comparison of algorithms ...**

- ➡ Token ring algorithm:
  - problem: loss of the token (detection, re-creation)
  - ➡ failure of nodes is problematic
  - communication, even if resource is not used

Algorithm	Messages per allocation	Delay before allocation	Problems
centralized	3	2	server failure
distributed	2(n-1)	2(n-1)	failure of any process
token ring	$1\infty$	0 n - 1	lost token,
			failure of any process

7 Coordination ...



#### [Coulouris, 4.5, 11.4]

## 7.3 Group Communication (Multicast)

- In distributed systems, communication with a group of processes (multicast) is often also important, e.g. for:
  - fault tolerance based on replicated services
    - service realized by group of servers
    - all servers receive and process the requests
  - finding of services (especially discovery / name services)
    - multicast is a possible approach for this
  - better performance through replicated data
    - changes must be sent to all copies
  - sending event notifications
    - all subscribers receive the event

#### **Questions / Problems**

- Addressing the recipients
  - explicit list of all recipients
  - addressing a process group
    - static / dynamic groups
- ➡ Reliability
  - reasonable guarantees that messages will reach their recipients

#### Order

adequate guarantees as to the order in which multicast messages arrive at the various recipients

## Reliability

#### Unreliable multicast:

some processes may not receive the message (e.g. due to packet loss)

## Reliable multicast:

apart from network and process failures, the message is delivered to all processes in the group

#### Atomic multicast:

- the message is (under all circumstances) received either by all processes of the group or by none of them
- required if all processes in the group must be kept consistent (e.g., operations on replicated data)



#### Order

## Unordered

 receiving order is undefined and can be different in different processes

## FIFO order

- messages from the same sender are received by all processes in FIFO order
- i.e. introduction of sequence numbers local to the sender





#### Order

## Unordered

 receiving order is undefined and can be different in different processes

#### FIFO order

- messages from the same sender are received by all processes in FIFO order
- i.e. introduction of sequence numbers local to the sender



## Causal order

- ➡ if message m' can causally depend on m ( $m \rightarrow m'$ ), then all processes receive m before m'
- i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

## Total order

- all messages are received by all processes in the same order
- i.e. introduction of global sequence numbers





## Causal order

- ➡ if message m' can causally depend on m ( $m \rightarrow m'$ ), then all processes receive m before m'
- i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

## Total order

- all messages are received by all processes in the same order
- i.e. introduction of global sequence numbers





## Causal order

- ➡ if message m' can causally depend on m ( $m \rightarrow m'$ ), then all processes receive m before m'
- i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

## Total order

- all messages are received by all processes in the same order
- i.e. introduction of global sequence numbers



**Distributed Systems (9/15)** 



## Causal order

- ➡ if message m' can causally depend on m ( $m \rightarrow m'$ ), then all processes receive m before m'
- i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

## Total order

- all messages are received by all processes in the same order
- i.e. introduction of global sequence numbers






### 7.4 Transactions

- Combining a sequence of atomic actions into a single unit
  - atomic actions: read, change, write data
- Example: seat reservation



Used not only in database systems



## **Properties of Transactions: ACID**

# ➡ <u>A</u>tomicity

 all-or-nothing principle: either all atomic actions are executed (correctly) or none at all

# <u>Consistency</u>

a transaction always transfers a consistent state back to consistent state

# ➡ Isolation

concurrent transactions do not affect each other; the result is the same as with sequential execution

# ➡ <u>D</u>urability

 at the (successful) end of the transaction all changes are stored permanently

# 7.4 Transactions ...

## **Atomicity**



#### **Isolation**



The result of the concurrent transactions corresponds to one of the two serializations



#### **Isolation Levels**

- Complete isolation of (database) transactions often is too restrictive / too little performant
- → Therefore: SQL99 standard defines four isolation levels
- ➡ Goal: avoidance of unwanted phenomena
  - dirty reads: a transaction can read data of another transaction before they have been committed
  - unrepeatable reads: when reading repeatedly, a transaction can see commited changes of other transactions
  - phantom reads: when reading repeatedly, a transaction can see that other transactions have added or deleted records



#### **Isolation Level According to ANSI/ISO-SQL99**

Phenomenon Isolation level	Dirty Reads	Unrepeatable Reads	Phantom Reads
Read Uncommitted	possible	possible	possible
Read Committed	not possible	possible	possible
Repeatable Read	not possible	not possible	possible
Serializable	not possible	not possible	not possible

Serializable corresponds to complete isolation



### **Nested Transactions**

- → Within a transaction, several subtransactions take place
- Higher-level transaction can run successfully to completion, even if subtransaction was terminated with an error
- Abort of the higher-level transaction results in aborting all subtransactions
- Example: booking of flight and hotel
  - booking of the flight should be maintained, even if hotel booking (in the first attempt) fails
- Nested transaction are supported by only a few transaction services



#### **Flat transaction**



#### **Nested transactions**





#### **Distributed Transactions**

- So far: data is stored at exactly one location
- Distributed transactions: data is stored distributed
- Realization of transactions on the individual data resources (databases) is no longer sufficient
  - distributed transaction management becomes necessary
- There is a generally accepted Open Group model for the management of distributed transactions
  - is implemented by most transaction services
  - most important feature: 2-Phase-Commit









1. Application requests start of a new transaction. TM internally initializes a new transaction.





2. Transaction is active. Application can use the resources.





3. Each RM used by the applilcation registers with the TM for the transactio.n





4. Aplication requires to commit or abort the transaction.





5. TM requires RM to commit the changes:

2-phase commit





5. TM requires RM to commit the changes:

2-phase commit



## **2-Phase Commit**

- Phase 1 (voting phase)
  - TM asks all involved RM, if the commit would be successful ("prepare")
  - each RM that answers "yes" prepares for the commit
- Phase 2 (finalization)
  - ➡ if all RMs answered with "yes":
    - TM sends commit command to all RMs
    - RM ultimately commits the data and sends an acknowlegement to TM
  - ➡ else:
    - TM sends an *abort* command to all RMs
  - RMs acknowledge the receipt of commit/abort



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

8 **Replication and Consistency** 

### Contents

- ➡ Introduction, motivation
- Data-centered consistency models
- Client-centered consistency models
- Distribution protocols
- Consistency protocols

#### Literature





# 8 Replication and Consistency ...

# Ú

### 8.1 Introduction and Motivation

- Replication: several (identical) copies of data objects are stored in the distributed system
  - processes can access an arbitrary copy
- Reasons for the replication:
  - increase in availability and reliability
    - if a replica is not available, use another one
    - reading multiple replicas with majority vote
  - increase in read performance
    - for large systems: concurrent read access can be serviced by different replicas
    - with systems spread over a large area: access request is sent to a replica in the vicinity



# **Central Problem of Replication: Consistency**

- → When data is changed, **all** replicas must be kept consistent
- Simplest option: all updates are done via totally ordered atomic multicast
  - high overhead when frequent updates occur
    - in some replicas these may actually never be read
  - totally ordered atomic multicast is very expensive with many / widely dispersed replicas
- Strict consistency maintenance of replicas always deteriorates performance and scalability
- Solution: weakened consistency requirements
  - often only very weak demands, e.g. News, Web, ...

# Ú

## **Consistency Models**

- A consistency model determines the order in which the write operations (updates) of the processes are "seen" by the other processes
- Intuitive expectation: a read operation always returns the result of the last write operation (strict consistency)
  - problem: there is no global time
    - pointless to speak of the "last" write operation
  - therefore: other consistency models necessary
- Data-centric consistency models: view of the data storage
- Client-centric consistency models: view of one process
  - assumption: (essentially) no update by multiple processes



# **Distributed Systems**

### Winter Term 2024/25

#### 12.12.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



## 8.2 Data Centric Consistency Models

► Model of a distributed data store:



Distributed data storage

- logical, shared data memory
- physically distributed and replicated across multiple nodes



## **Sequential Consistency**

- A data store is sequentially consistent if the result of each program execution is as if:
  - the (read/write) operations of all processes are executed in a (random) sequential order,
  - in which the operations of each individual process appear in the order specified by the program.
- I.e. the execution of the operations of the individual processes can be interleaved arbitrarily
- Independent of time or clocks



All processes see the (write) accesses in the same order



### **Sequential Consistency: Examples**

Allowed sequence: P1: W(x)a			P1: W(x)a				
P3:	R(x)b	R(x)a	P3:	R(x)b	R(x)a		
P4:	R(x)b R(x)a		P4:	R(>	()a R(x)b		

## ➡ Notation:

- $\rightarrow$  W(x)a : the value 'a' is written into the variable 'x'
- R(x)a : variable 'x' will be read, result is 'a'
- ► A possible sequential order of the left sequence:
  - →  $W_2(x)b$ ,  $R_3(x)b$ ,  $R_4(x)b$ ,  $W_1(x)a$ ,  $R_3(x)a$ ,  $R_4(x)a$



### **Sequential Consistency: Examples**

#### **Allowed sequence:**

#### **Forbidden Sequence:**

			$D1 \cdot M/(x)$					
	. vv(x)a			PT. VV(X)a				
P2: W	/(x)b		P2:	W(x)b				
P3:	R(x)b	R(x)a	P3:	R(x)b	R(x)a			
P4:	P4: R(x)b R(x)a		P4:	R(x	()a R(x)b			

## ➡ Notation:

- $\blacktriangleright$  W(x)a : the value 'a' is written into the variable 'x'
- R(x)a : variable 'x' will be read, result is 'a'
- ► A possible sequential order of the left sequence:
  - →  $W_2(x)b, R_3(x)b, R_4(x)b, W_1(x)a, R_3(x)a, R_4(x)a$

#### Linearizability

- Stronger than sequential consistency
- Assumption: the nodes (processes) have synchronized clocks
  - ➡ i.e. an approximation of a global time
- Operations have time stamps based on these clocks
- In comparison with sequential consistency additionally required:
  - the sequential order of operations is consistent with their timestamps
- Complex implementation
- Used for formal verification of concurrent algorithms

#### **Causal Consistency**

- Weakening of sequential consistency
- (Only) write operations that are potentially causally dependent must be visible to all processes in the same order

#### Causally, but not seq. consistent:

Not causally consistent:

P1:	1: W(x)a W(x)c		P1: W(	(x)a	
P2:	R(x)a W(x)b			P2:	R(x)a W(x)b
P3:	R(x)a	R(x)c	R(x)b	P3:	R(x)b R(x)a
P4:	R(x)a	R(x)b	R(x)c	P4:	R(x)a R(x)b

# Ú

#### Weak Consistency

- In practice: access to shared resources is coordinated via synchronization variables (SV)
- → Then: weaker consistency requirements are sufficient:
  - accesses to SVs are sequentially consistent
  - an operation on a SV is not allowed until all previous write accesses to data have been completed everywhere
  - no operation on data is allowed before all previous operations on SVs have been completed

#### **Allowed event sequence:**

Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme

P1:	W(x)a	W(x)b	S				
P2:				S	R(	x)b	
P3:				R()	k)a	R(x)b	S
P4:				R()	()b	R(x)a	S

#### **Invalid event sequence:**

P1:	W(x)a	W(x)b	S		
P2:				S	R(x)a



#### **Release Consistency (***Freigabe-Konsistenz***)**

- Idea as with weak consistency, but distinction between acquire and release operations (mutual exclusion!)
  - before an operation on the data is performed all *acquire*operations of the process must be completed
  - before the end of a *release* operation all operations of the process on the data must be completed
  - acquire / release operations of a process are seen everywhere in the same order

#### **Allowed event sequence:**

P1:	acq(L) W(x)a	W(x)b	rel(L)				
P2:				acq(L)	R(x)b	rel(L)	
P3:							R(x)a

# Ú

### **Comparison of models**

Strict	Absolute time sequence of all shared accesses (physically not useful!)
Linearization	All processes see all (write) accesses in the same order. Accesses are sorted by a (non-unique) global timestamp.
Sequential	All processes see all (write) accesses in the same order. Accesses sre not sorted by time.
Causal	All processes see causally linked (write) accesses in the same order.
Weak	Data is only reliably consistent after a synchro- nization has been performed.
Release	Data is made consistent when leaving the critical region.

# 8 Replication and Consistency ...



# 8.3 Client Centric Consistency Models

## ➡ In practice:

- clients are usually independent from each other
- changes to the data are mostly rare
- because of partitioning often no write/write conflicts
  - ► e.g., DNS, WWW (Caches), ...
- Eventual consistency: all replicas will eventually become consistent if no updates take place for a long time
- Problem if a client changes the replica it is accessing
  - updates may not have arrived there yet
  - client detects inconsistent behavior
- Solution: client-centric consistency models
  - guarantee consistency for an individual client
  - but not for concurrent accesses by multiple clients



## Illustration of the problem



# Ú

#### **Monotonic Read**

- Example for a client centric consistency model
  - ➡ more: see Tanenbaum / van Steen, Ch. 6.3
- Rule: When a process reads the value of a variable x, every subsequent read operation for x returns the same or a more recent value
- Example: access to a mailbox at different locations

With monotonic read			Witho	ut monotoni	c read:			
L1: W	L1: $WS(x_1)$ $R(x_1)$		L1: WS(x <sub>1</sub> ) $R(x_1)$					
L2:	WS(x <sub>1</sub> ;x <sub>2</sub> )	R(x <sub>2</sub> )	L2:	WS(x <sub>2</sub> )	R(x <sub>2</sub> )	WS(x <sub>1</sub> ;x <sub>2</sub> )		
L1/L2: local copies WS() set of write operations			V	Vrite operations re now executed	to x in L1 · d on x in L	2		
Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme			Distributed Systems (10/15)					



#### **8.4 Distribution Protocols**

- Question: where, when and by whom are replicas placed?
  - permanent replicas
  - server initiated replicas
  - client initiated replicas
- Question: how are updates distributed (regardless of consistency protocol, 1878 8.5)?
  - sending invalidations, status or operations
  - pull or push protocols
  - unicast or multicast


#### **Placing the Replicas**



→ All three types can occur simultaneously

#### **Permanent Replicas**

- Initial set of replicas, static, mostly small
- Examples:
  - replicated web site (transparent to client)
  - mirroring (client deliberately chooses a replica)

### **Server Initiated Replicas**

- Server creates additional replicas on demand (*Push-Cache*)
  - ➡ e.g., for web hosting services
- Difficult: deciding when and where replicas will be created
  - ➡ usually access counter for each file, additional information about the origin of the requests (→ nearest server)



#### **Client initiated Replicas**

- ➡ Other term: Client Cache
- Client cache locally stores (frequently) used data
- Goal: improving access time
- Management of the cache is completely left to the client
  - server doesn't care about consistency
- Data is usually kept in the cache for a limited time only
  - prevents use of extremely obsolete data
- Cache usually placed on client machines, or shared cache for multiple clients in their proximity
  - e.g., Web proxy caches



#### Forwarding Updates: What's Being Sent?

- ➡ The new value of the data object
  - good with high read/update ratio
- The update operation (active replication)
  - saves bandwidth (operation with parameters is usually small)
  - but more computing power required
- → Just a notification (invalidation protocols)
  - notification makes the copy of the data object invalid
    - on next access a new copy will be requested
  - requires very little network bandwidth
  - good at low read/update ratio

#### **Pull and Push Protocols**

- Push: updates are distributed on the initiative of the server that made the change
  - replicas don't have to request updates
  - common in permanent and server-initiated replicas
  - when a relatively high degree of consistency is required
  - at high read/update ratio
  - problem: server must know all replicas
- Pull: replicas actively request data updates
  - common with client caches
  - at low read/update ratio
  - disadvantage: higher response time for cache access

Leases: mixed form: first push for some time, then pull later

#### **Unicast vs. Multicast**

- Unicast: send update individually to each replica server
- Multicast: send one message and leave the distribution to the network (e.g. IP multicast)
  - often much more efficient
  - especially in LANs: hardware broadcast possible
- Multicast is useful for push protocols
- Unicast is better with pull protocols
  - only a single client/server requests an update



#### 8.5 Consistency Protocols

- Describe how replica servers coordinate with each other to implement a specific consistency model
- → Here specifically considered:
  - consistency models that serialize operations globally
  - e.g., sequential, weak and release consistency
- ► Two basic approaches:
  - primary-based (primärbasierte) protocols
    - write operations are always coordinated by a special copy (primary copy)
  - replicated-write protocols
    - write operations go to multiple copies



#### **Primary-Based Protocols**

- ► Read operations are possible on arbitrary (local) copies
- Write operations must be handled by the primary copy
  - e.g., to realize a sequential consistency:
    - the primary copy updates all other copies and waits for acknowledgements, only then it replies to the client
    - problem: performance

### Remote-write protocols

the writer forwards the operation to a fixed primary copy

### Local-write protocols

- writer must become primary copy before it can do the update
  - ► i.e., the primary copy is migrated between servers
- good model also for mobile users









(1) Write request





(1) Write request is forwarded to primary server





- (1) Write request is forwarded to primary server
- (2) Primary server updates all backups





- (1) Write request is forwarded to primary server
- (2) Primary server updates all backups and waits for acknowledgements



- (1) Write request is forwarded to primary server
- (2) Primary server updates all backups and waits for acknowledgements(3) Acknowledge the end of the write operation





#### Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)



(1) Acquire lock;

#### Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)



(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server

#### Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)



(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server





(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server(2) Acknowledge the end of the write operation





- (1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
- (2) Acknowledge the end of the write operation
- (3) Write operations are executed (only) on the local server





- (1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
- (2) Acknowledge the end of the write operation
- (3) Write operations are executed (only) on the local server
- (4) New primary server updates backups



- (1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
- (2) Acknowledge the end of the write operation
- (3) Write operations are executed (only) on the local server
- (4) New primary server updates backups and waits for acknowledgements

#### **Replicated Write Protocols**

- Allow execution of write operations on (multiple) arbitrary replicas
- ➡ In the following, two approaches:
  - active replication
    - update operations are passed on to all copies
    - requirement: globally unique sequence of operations
      - using totally ordered multicast
      - or via central sequencer process
  - quorum-based protocols
    - only a portion of the replicas needs to be modified
    - however, also multiple copies need to be read



#### **Problem With Replicated Object Calls**

→ What happens when a replicated object calls another?



- Solution: middelware that is aware of replication
  - coordinator of B makes sure that only one call is sent to C and its result is distributed to all replicas of B



#### **Quorum-based Protocols (Sequential Consistency)**

- Clients need the permission of multiple servers for writing and for reading
- $\blacktriangleright$  When writing: send the request to (at least)  $N_W$  copies
  - their servers must agree to the change
  - data gets a new version number when changed
  - condition:  $N_W > N/2$  (N = total number of copies)
    - prevents write/write conflicts
- $\blacktriangleright$  When reading: send the request to (at least)  $N_R$  copies
  - client selects the latest version (highest version number)
  - → condition:  $N_R + N_W > N$ 
    - ensures that in any case the latest version is read

#### **Quorum-based Protocols: Examples**



#### 8.6 Summary

- Replication due to availability and performance
- Problem: consistency of copies
  - strictest model: sequential consistency
  - $\blacktriangleright$  waekenings: causal consistency, weak  $\sim$ , release  $\sim$
  - client-centric consistency models
- Implementation of replication and consistency:
  - replication scheme: static, server initiated, client initiated
  - distribution protocols
    - type of update, push / pull, unicast / multicast
  - consistency protocols
    - primary based / replicated write protocols



### **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

### 9 Distributed File Systems

#### **Contents**

- 🔶 General
- Case study: NFS

#### Literature

- ➡ Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 10
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Ch. 8



### 9 Distributed File Systems ...

#### 9.1 General

[Coulouris, 8.1-8.3]

- Objective: support the sharing of information (files) in an intranet
  - in the Internet: WWW
- Allows applications to access remote files in the same way as local files
  - similar (or even better) performance and reliability
- Allows operation of diskless nodes
- Examples:
  - NFS (standard in the UNIX area)
  - ► AFS (goal: scalability), CIFS (Windows), CODA, xFS, ...



#### **Requirements**

- Transparency: access, location, mobility, performance and scaling transparency
- Concurrent file updates (e.g., locks)
- File replication (often: local caching)
- Heterogeneity of hardware and operating system
- ► Fault tolerance (especially in case of server failure)
  - often: at-least-once semantics + idempotent operations
  - advantageous: stateless server (easy reboot)
- Consistency (188 8)
- Security (access control, authentication, encryption)
- ► Efficiency



### **Distributed Systems**

#### Winter Term 2024/25

#### 19.12.2024

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



#### **Model Architecture of a Distributed File System**



- Tasks of the client module:
  - emulation of the file interface of the local OS
  - ➡ if necessary, caching of files or file sections



#### Model Architecture of a Distributed File System ...

- → Flat file service:
  - provides idempotent access operations to files
    - e.g., *read*, *write*, *create*, *remove*, *getAttributes*, *setAttributes*
    - no open / close, no implicit file pointer
  - ➡ files are identified by UFIDs (Unique File IDs)
    - (long) integer IDs, can serve as capabilities
- Directory service:
  - maps file or path names to UFIDs
    - if necessary first authenticates the client and verifies its access rights
  - services for creating, deleting and modifying directories

9 Distributed File Systems ...

#### 9.2 Case Study: NFS

- Introduced in 1984 by Sun
- Open, OS independent protocol
- Architecture:



Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme



#### **Access Control and Authentication**

- ➡ NFS server is stateless (up to and including NFS3)
- UFID (file handle): essentially just the file system ID and i-node
  not a capability
- Thus, access rights are checked with each request
  - by the RPC protocol
- Authentication usually only via user and group ID
  - extremely insecure!
- ➡ More possibilities in NFS3:
  - Diffie-Hellman key exchange (insecure)
  - ➡ Kerberos
- ► NFS4: secure RPC (RPCSEC\_GSS)
#### **Mount Service**

→ An NFS file system can be mounted in the local directory tree



- Collaboration of mount command in the client with the mount service of the NFS server
  - on request, the mount service provides file handles of the exported directories (for name resolution)

#### **Translation of Pathnames**

- ► Iteratively (NFS3): for each directory one request to NFS server
  - necessary because path can cross mount points
  - inefficiency is mitigated by client caching

#### **Automounter**

- Goal: set up an NFS mount only when it is accessed
  - better fault tolerance, load balancing is possible
- Automounter is local NFS server
  - thereby it sees the *lookup()*-requests of the client
- On request: set up the NFS mount and create a symbolic link to the mount point
- After prolonged inactivity: release the mount



#### **Server Caching**

- → Traditional file caching in UNIX:
  - buffer in main memory for most recently used disk blocks
  - read ahead: sequential blocks are loaded into cache beforehand
  - delayed write: modified blocks only written back when space is needed; additionally every 30s by sync
- Server caching in NFS: two modes
  - write through: write requests are executed in the server cache and immediately also on disk
    - advantage: no data loss in case of server crash
  - delayed write: modified data will remain in the cache until a commit operation is executed (i.e. file is closed)
    - advantage: better performance if many write operations



#### **Client Caching**

- NFS client buffers the results of (among other things) read / write and lookup operations in a local cache
  - leads to consistency issues, since now multiple copies
- Client is responsible for maintaining consistency
- Timeliness of the cache entry is checked with each access
  - for that: compare whether the modification timestamp in the cache matches the modification timestamp on the server
  - ➡ in case of negative validation: cache entry is deleted
  - if validation is successful: cache entry is considered current for a certain time (3 - 30 s) without further checks
    - i.e. changes only become visible after a few seconds
    - compromise between consistency and efficiency



#### **Client Caching ...**

- Treatment of write operations:
  - file block is marked as *dirty* in the cache
  - marked blocks are sent asynchronously to the server:
    - when closing the file
    - ► at a sync operation on client machine
    - possibly more often by block-input/output-demons
- Demons also realize asynchronous operations for read ahead and delayed write
  - for performance optimization
- ► NFS does not guarantee real consistency of client caches



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

## **10 Distributed Shared Memory**

#### Contents

- Introduction
- Design alternatives

#### Literature





- ➡ Goal: shared memory in distributed systems
- Basic technique considered here:
  - page-based memory management on the nodes
  - on demand: loading pages over the network
  - if necessary replication of pages to increase performance
- Differentiation:



#### **Shared Virtual Memory**



Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme

Distributed Systems (11/15)

Middleware

- Structure of the shared memory:
  - byte-oriented (distributed shared memory pages)
  - object-oriented (distributed shared objects)
    - 🗢 e.g., Orca
  - immutable data (distributed shared container)
    - operations: read, add, remove
    - e.g., Linda Tuple Space, JavaSpaces
- Granularity (for page-based methods):
  - when changing a byte: transmission of entire page
  - with large pages: more efficient communication, less administrative effort, more false sharing

- Consistency model: mostly sequential or release consistency
- Consistency protocol: usually local write protocol
  - ➡ i.e., writable memory page migrated to accessing process
  - with or without replication for read accesses
    - client initiated replication, i.e., reader requests copy
  - usually only one writer per page
  - mostly invalidation protocols (with push model)
  - update protocols only if write accesses can be buffered (e.g. with release consistency)



- Management of copies
  - mostly: at any time either multiple readers or one writer
  - each page has an owner
    - writer or one of the readers (last writer)
    - manages a list of processes with copies of the page
  - before write access: process requests current copy
- Finding the owner of a page:
  - 🗢 central manager
    - manages owners, forwards requests
  - fixed distribution
    - → fixed mapping: page  $\rightarrow$  manager



- ➡ Finding the owner of a page ...:
  - multicast instead of manager
    - problem: concurrent requests
    - solution: totally ordered multicast, vector time stamps
  - dynamically distributed manager
    - every process knows a likely owner
    - this node forwards the request if necessary
    - the likely owner is updated,
      - when a process transfers the ownership property
      - upon receipt of an invalidation message
      - upon receipt of a requested read-only page
      - when a request is forwarded (to the requestor)



- Problems: e.g., thrashing, especially due to false sharing
  - simple remedy:
    - a page can be migrated again only after a certain period of time
  - TreadMarks: multiple writer protocol
    - release consistency; when released, only the changed parts of the page are transferred
    - changes are then "merged"
    - in case of conflicts: result is non-deterministic



# **Distributed Systems**

#### Winter Term 2024/25

09.01.2025

Roland Wismüller Universität Siegen roland.wismueller@uni-siegen.de Tel.: 0271/740-4050, Büro: H-B 8404

Stand: January 9, 2025



# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

### **11 Fault Tolerance**

#### **Contents**

- Introduction
- Process elasticity
- Reliable communication
- Recovery

#### Literature





### Concepts

- Failure: external incorrect behavior (system no longer keeps its promises)
- **Error**: (unobserved) incorrect internal state
- Fault: physical defect (in HW or SW) causing the error
  - fault can be transient, periodic or permanent
- **Fault tolerance**: system does not fail despite a fault
- Requirement for reliable systems:
  - $\rightarrow$  availability: p(system is working at time t)
  - **reliability**:  $p(system is working in time interval <math>\Delta t)$
  - safety: no major damage if system fails
  - maintainability: effort for "repair" after a failure

#### **Failure models**

Crash failure	Server halts
Omission failure	Server is not responding to requests
Receive omission	Server doesn't receive incoming requests
Send omission	Server doesn't send messages
Timing failure	Response time is outside the specification
Response failure	Server's response is incorrect
Value failure	Only the value of the answer is wrong
State transition f.	Incorrect control flow in server
Byzantine failure	Random answers at arbitrary time

#### Further distinction: can the client detect the failure or not?

### Failure masking through redundancy

- ► Fault tolerant system must hide faults from other processes
- Most important technique: redundancy
  - ➡ information redundancy: additional "check bits" (e.g., CRC)
  - time redundancy: repetition of faulty actions
  - physical redundancy: important components are provided multiple times
- Example: TMR, triple modular redundancy
  - components are replicated three times
  - majority decision for the results
  - protects against (Byzantine) failure of a single replicated component



#### **Example for TMR**

#### Without redundancy



#### With TMR





#### **Example for TMR**

#### Without redundancy



#### With TMR



#### **Objective: Protection Against Process Failure**

- ► By replicating processes in groups
  - message to the group is received by all members
    - usually with totally ordered multicast
- Questions:
  - organization of the groups?
    - flat (symmetrical) vs. hierarchical (central coordinator)
    - group administration, synchronous join / exit
  - necessary number of replicas?
    - $\blacktriangleright$  k fault tolerant: failure of k processes can be tolerated
    - ► for silent failures:  $\geq k + 1$  Processes
    - ➡ for Byzantine failures: ≥ 2k + 1 processes
  - agreement in faulty systems?



#### **Agreement in faulty systems**

► Agreement is impossible with unreliable communication

- two army problem
- Agreement of faulty processes with reliable communication
  - → Byzantine agreement problem (*byzantinische Generäle*)
  - $\Rightarrow$  agreement only possible if  $> \frac{2}{3}$  of the processes work correctly



Roland Wismüller Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme



#### **Objective: Protection Against Communication Failures**

- ➡ Point-to-point communication (<sup>INST</sup> RN\_I)
  - TCP masks omission failures, but not crash failures
- Client/server communication (<sup>12</sup> 2.1)
  - possible failures:
    - server not found
    - lost request
    - server crash while processing the request
    - Iost reply
    - client crash after sending the request
- ➡ Group communication (<sup>INST</sup> 7.3)
- Distributed commit (188 7.4)

### 11.4 Recovery



#### **Objective: System Recovery After an Error**

- ► Forward error recovery: go to a correct new state
- Backward error recovery: go to a correct earlier state
  - i.e. reset to a consistent cut
  - regular backup to stable storage (*checkpointing*)
- Independent checkpointing
  - processes save their state independently of each other
  - problem: domino effect



## 11.4 Recovery ...



- Coordinated checkpoints
  - ➡ Chandy/Lamport algorithm (<sup>IISF</sup> 6.4)
  - alternatively: blocking 2 phase protocol
  - problem: requires to reset all processes
- Local checkpoints with message logging
  - goal: restore the crashed process to a state consistent with the current state of the other processes
  - reset to last checkpoint and restore the received messages





# **Distributed Systems**

Winter Term 2024/25

## **12 Summary, Important Topics**

#### 1. Introduction

Definition of a distributed system

#### Features / challenges of distributed systems

Architecture models: client/server, n-tier

#### 2. Middleware

- ➡ Tasks of the middleware
- Communication-oriented and application-oriented middleware
- Implementation of remote calls (proxy pattern)
- 3. Distributed Programming with Java RMI
  - Approach to create an RMI application
  - Programming of server and client

#### 4. Name Services

- **5. Process Management** 
  - → Graph partitioning, list scheduling, code migration
- 6. Time and Global State
  - Synchronization of physical clocks
  - Lamport's happended-before relation (causality relation)
  - Lamport and vector clocks
  - Consistent cuts, Chandy/Lamport algorithm

#### 7. Coordination

- Election algorithms
- Mutual exclusion (centralized, Ricart/Agrawala, ring)
- Multicast (reliability, order)
- Transactions
- 8. Replication and Consistency
  - Sequential consistency, release consistency
  - Distribution protocols
  - Consistency protocols (primary-based, quorum-based)

### 12 Summary, Important Topics ...

- 9. Distributed File Systems
- **10. Distributed Shared Memory**
- **11. Fault Tolerance** 
  - 🔶 Failure models
  - Physical redundancy, agreement
  - Recovery

