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Teaching

Lectures/Labs

- Rechnernetze I, 5 LP (every summer term)
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Teaching ...

Project Groups

- e.g., recording and analyzing car sensor data
- e.g., outlier detection in car sensor data

Theses (Bachelor, Master)

- Topic areas: secure virtual machine, parallel computing, pattern recognition in sensor data, e-assessment, ...

Seminars

- Topic areas: IT security, programming languages, pattern recognition in sensor data, ...
- Procedure: block seminar
  - 30 min. talk, 5000 word seminar paper
About the Lecture

**Lecture:**
- digital: screen casts at moodle
- Q&A: Mon., 12:00 - 12:30 (or longer, if needed) via zoom

**Exercises:**
- 2 hours (digital)
  - Tue., 10:15-11:45, via zoom, starting 20.04.
    - this zoom meeting will be recorded!
  - includes programming exercises using Java

**Links to zoom meetings: see moodle**
About the Lecture ...

Information, Slides and Announcements

➡️ http://www.bs.informatik.uni-siegen.de/lehre/vs

➡️ For printing: use print service of the Student Council!

➡️ If necessary, updates/supplements shortly before the lecture
   ➡️ look at the date!

➡️ Exercise sheets will be put online as PDF
   ➡️ please print and process them yourself!
Examination

- Oral examination
  - duration about 30 minutes

- Registration:
  - first register at the campus management system (unisono)
    - at least 1 week before the exam date
  - then fix a date with my secretary
    - at least 1 week before the exam date
    - Mrs. Syska, regina.syska@uni-...
  - cancellation is possible up to 7 days before the exam
    - via unisono
    - please inform me, too!
Contents of the Lecture

- Introduction
- Middleware
- Distributed programming with Java RMI
- Name services
- Process management
- Time and global state
- Coordination
- Replication and consistency
- Distributed file systems
- Fault tolerance
Learning targets

- Understand the properties of distributed systems
  - absence of a global state
  - problems with synchronization and with consistency of replicated data

- Understand the approaches to solve the problems and be able to apply them to given challenges

- Distinguish architecture models for distributed systems as well as different types and tasks of middleware and be able to assess their usability for given problems

- Be able to develop simple distributed programs with Java RMI
Literature
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1 Introduction
1 Introduction ...

Contents

- What makes a distributed system?
- Software architecture
- Architecture models
- Cluster

Literature

- Hammerschall: 1
- Tanenbaum, van Steen: 1
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: 1, 2
- Stallings: 13.4
1.1 What makes a distributed system?

In a distributed system, components located on different computers work together to coordinate their actions by exchanging messages.

G. Coulouris

A distributed system is a set of independent computers that appear to the user as a single, coherent system.

A. Tanenbaum

A distributed system is a collection of processors that neither share main memory nor a clock.

A. Silberschatz

A distributed system is one on which I can’t do any work because some machine I’ve never heard of has crashed.

L. Lamport
1.1 What makes a distributed system? ...

- A distributed system is a system
  - in which **hardware and software components** are based on **networked computers**, and
  - communicate and coordinate their actions only via the **exchange of messages**.

- The boundaries of the distributed system are defined by a common application

- Best known example: Internet
  - communication via the standardized Internet protocols
    - IP and TCP / UDP (lecture Computer Networks)
  - users can use services / applications, regardless of the present location
1.1 What makes a distributed system? ...

What is a distributed application?

- Application that uses a distributed system to create a self-contained functionality
- Application logic distributed among several, largely independent components
- Components often executed on different machines
- Examples:
  - simple internet applications (e.g. WWW, FTP, email)
  - distributed information systems (e.g. flight booking)
    - SW intensive, data centered, interactive, highly concurrent
  - distributed embedded systems (e.g. in the car)
  - distributed mobile applications (e.g. for handhelds)
1.1 What makes a distributed system? ...

A typical distributed system

Mail server
Desktop
LAN
WWW server
Application server
Internet
Print server
Data base server
LAN
1.1 What makes a distributed system? ...

Why distribution?

- Central, non-distributed applications are
  - generally safer and more reliable
  - generally more performant

- Main reason for distribution: sharing of resources
  - Hardware resources (printer, scanner, ...)
    - cost saving
  - Data and information (file server, database, ...)
    - information exchange, data consistency
  - Functionality (centralization)
    - error avoidance, reuse
1.2 Characteristics of distributed systems

- Resources (e.g. computers, data, users, ...) are distributed
  - sometimes worldwide

- Cooperation via message exchange

- Concurrency
  - but: parallel processing of a single request is not the primary goal

- No global clock (more precisely: no global time)

- Distributed status information
  - no uniquely determined global state

- Partial errors are possible (independent failures)
1.2 Characteristics of distributed systems ...

Parallel vs. distributed systems

➡ Parallel system:
   ➡ motivation: higher performance through parallel execution
   ➡ multiple tasks (processes/threads) working on one job
   ➡ tasks are fine-grained: frequent communication
   ➡ tasks work simultaneously (parallel)
   ➡ homogeneous hardware / OSs, regular network structure

➡ Distributed system:
   ➡ motivation: distributed resources (computers, data, users)
   ➡ multiple tasks (processes/threads) working on one or many jobs
   ➡ tasks are coarse grained: communication less frequent
   ➡ tasks work synchronized (usually one after the other)
   ➡ inhomogeneous (processors, networks, OSs, ...)

[Image: Diagram showing comparison between parallel and distributed systems]
1.3 Challenges and Goals of Distributed Systems

- **Heterogeneity**: computer hardware, networks, OSs, programming languages, implementations by different developers, ...
  - solution: **middleware**
    - software layer that hides heterogeneity by providing a unified programming model
    - e.g. CORBA: distributed objects, remote method invocation
    - e.g. web services: remote procedure calls (services)

- **Openness**: easy extensibility (with new services)
  - requirements:
    - key interfaces are published / standardized
    - uniform communication mechanisms / protocols
    - components must conform to standards

[Coulouris, 1.4]
1.3 Challenges and Goals of Distributed Systems...

- **Security**
  - information: confidentiality, integrity, availability
  - esp. with mobile code
  - users: authentication, authorization

- **Scalability**: number of resources or users can grow without negative impact on performance and cost

- **Error handling** (partial errors)
  - error detection (e.g. checksums)
  - error masking (e.g. retransmission of a message)
  - error tolerance (e.g. browser: “server not available”)
  - recovery (of data) after errors
  - redundancy (of hardware and software)
1.3 Challenges and Goals of Distributed Systems ...

- **Concurrency**
  - synchronization, consistency of replicated data

- **Transparency**
  - access\(\sim\): local and remote accesses identical
  - location\(\sim\): no need to know the location
  - mobility\(\sim\): transparent relocation of resources
  - replication\(\sim\): transparent replication of resources
  - concurrency\(\sim\): shared use of resources without disruptions
  - error\(\sim\): hiding errors due to component failure
  - performance\(\sim\): performance is largely independent of the load
  - scaling\(\sim\): system scales without negative impact on users

\(\sim\): network
1.4 Software Architecture

Types of Operating Systems for Distributed Systems

Network operating system:
- traditional OS, extended by support for network applications (API for sockets, RPC, ...)
- each computer has its own OS, but can use services of other computers (file system, email, ssh, ...)
- the existence of the other computers is visible

Distributed operating system:
- uniform OS for a network of computers
- transparent for the user
- requires cooperation of the OS kernels
- so far mainly research projects
1.4 Software Architecture ...

Typical layers in a distributed system

- Applications
- Services (generic or application specific)
- Middleware
- (Network) Operating system
- Computer and network hardware

[Couloris, 2.2.1]
Middleware

Tasks:

- hiding of distribution and heterogeneity
- providing a common programming model / API
- provision of general services

Functions e.g.:

- communication services: remote method calls, group communication, event notifications
- replication of shared data
- security services

Examples: CORBA, EJB, .NET, Axis2 (Web Services), ...

(Lecture Client/Server Programming)
1.5 Architectural Models

- An architecture model characterizes:
  - roles of an application component within the distributed application
  - relationships between application components

- Role defined by the type of process the component is running in:
  - client process
    - short-lived (for the duration of use by the user)
    - acts as initiator of interprocess communication (IPC)
  - server process
    - lives 'unlimited'
    - acts as a service provider for an IPC
  - peer process
    - short-lived (for the duration of use by the user)
    - acts as initiator and service provider
Peer-to-Peer Model

- Collaboration of peer processes for a distributed activity
  - each process manages a local part of the resources
  - distributed coordination and synchronization of actions at application level

E.g.: file sharing applications, routers, video conferences, ...
1.5 Architectural Models ...

Client/Server Model

- Asymmetric model: Servers provide services that can be used by (multiple) clients.
- Servers usually manage resources (centralized)

Most common model for distributed applications (ca. 80 %)
1.5 Architectural Models ...

Client/Server Model ...

- Usually concurrent requests from several client processes to the server process

- Examples: file server, web server, database server, DNS server, ...

![Diagram of Client/Server Model]

- Start
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Client/Server Model ...

- Usually concurrent requests from several client processes to the server process

- Examples: file server, web server, database server, DNS server, ...

![Client/Server Model Diagram]
1.5 Architectural Models ...

**Variants of the client/server model**

- Cooperating servers
  - Network of servers transparently processes a request
  - Example: Domain Name Server (DNS)
    - if server cannot determine address: request is transparently forwarded to another server

- Replicated servers
  - replicas of server processes are provided
    - transparent replicas (often in clusters)
      - requests are automatically distributed to the servers
    - public replicas (e.g. mirror servers)
  - goals: better performance, reliability
1.5 Architectural Models ...

Variants of the client/server model ...

- Proxy-Server / Caches
  - proxy is a delegate for the server
  - task often is caching of data / results
  - e.g. web proxy

- Mobile code
  - executable server code migrates to client on request
  - code is executed by the client
  - best-known example: JavaScript / Java applets in the WWW

- Mobile agents
  - agent contains code and data, moves through the network and performs actions on local resources
1.5 Architectural Models ...

n-Tier Architectures

- Refinements of Client/Server Architecture
- Models for distributing an application to the nodes of a distributed system
- Mainly used in information systems

- Tier (german: Schicht/Stufe) denotes an independent process space within a distributed application
  - process space can, but does not have to, correspond to a physical host
  - several process spaces on one computer are possible
The Tier Model

- Typical tasks in an information system:
  - presentation – interface to the user
  - application logic – actual functionality
  - data storage – storage of data in a database

- The tier model determines:
  - assignment of tasks to application components
  - distribution of application components on tiers

- Architectures:
  - 2-tier architectures
  - 3-tier architectures
  - 4-or-more-tier architectures
2-Tier Architecture

- Client and server tier
- No own tier for the application logic

- Advantage: simple, high performance
- Disadvantage: difficult to maintain, poorly scalable
3-Tier Architecture

- **Client tier**: Presentation
- **Middle tier**: Application logic
- **Server tier**: Data storage

- Standard distribution model for simple web applications:
  - client tier: web browser for display
  - middle tier: web server with servlets / JSP / ASP
  - server tier: database server

- Advantages: Application logic centrally administrable, scalable
4-or-more-Tier Architectures

- Difference to 3-tier architecture:
  - application logic distributed across multiple tiers

- Motivation:
  - minimization of complexity (divide and conquer)
  - better protection of individual application parts
  - reusability of components

- Many distributed information systems have 4-or-more-tier architectures
1.5 Architectural Models ...

Example: Typical Internet Application

Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3 Tier 4

Web client

Internet

Web server
Application server
Data base server
Example: Typical Internet Application

Tier 1

Web client

Tier 2

Web server

DMZ

Tier 3

Application server

Intranet

Tier 4

Database server
Thin and fat clients

- Charaterizes complexity of the application component on the client tier

- Ultra-thin client
  - client tier only for presentation: pure display of dialogs
  - presentation component: web browser
  - only possible with 3-or-more-tier architectures

- Thin client
  - client tier for presentation only: display of dialogs, preparation of data for display

- Fat client
  - parts of the application logic on the client tier
  - usually with 2-tier architectures
Distinction from Enterprise Application Integration (EAI)

- EAI: integration of different applications
  - communication, exchange of data

- Goals similar to distributed applications / middleware
  - middleware is often used for EAI as well

- Differences:
  - distributed applications: application components, high degree of coupling, usually little heterogeneity
  - EAI: complete applications, low degree of coupling, mostly great heterogeneity (different technologies, systems, programming languages, ...)

1.5 Architectural Models ...
1.6 Cluster

- Cluster: group of networked computers that acts as a unified computing resource
  - i.e. multicomputer system
  - nodes usually standard PCs or blade server

- Application mainly as high performance server

- Motivation:
  - (step-by-step) scalability
  - high availability
  - good price/performance ratio

[Stallings, 13.4]
Uses for Clusters

- High availability (HA) clusters
  - improved reliability
  - when a node is faulty: services are migrated to other nodes (failover)
- Load balancing cluster
  - incoming requests are distributed to different nodes of the cluster
    - usually by a (redundant) central instance
  - frequently with WWW or email servers
- High performance computing cluster
  - cluster as parallel computer
Cluster configurations

- Passive standby (no actual cluster)
  - processing of all requests by primary server
  - secondary server takes over tasks (only) in case of failure

- Active standby
  - all servers process requests
  - enables load balancing and improved reliability
  - problem: access to data of other / failed server
  - alternatives:
    - replication of data (a lot of communication)
    - shared hard disk system (usually mirrored disks or RAID system for fail-safe operation)
Active Standby Configurations

- Separate servers with data replication
  - separate disks, data is continuously copied to secondary servers

- Server with shared hard disks
  - shared nothing cluster
    - separate partitions for each server
    - in case of server failure: reconfiguration of the partitions
  - shared disc cluster
    - simultaneous use by all servers
    - requires lock manager software to lock files or records
1.7 Summary

- Distributed system
  - HW and SW components on networked computers
  - no shared memory, no global time
  - motivation: use of distributed resources

- Challenges
  - heterogeneity, openness, security, scalability
  - error handling, concurrency, transparency

- Software architecture: middleware

- Architectural models:
  - peer-to-peer, client/server
  - n-tier models

- Cluster: high availability, load balancing
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2 Middleware
2 Middleware ...

Content

- Communication in distributed systems
- Communication-oriented middleware
- Application-oriented middleware

Literature

- Hammerschall: Ch. 2, 6
- Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 2
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Ch. 4.4
DA uses DS for communication between its components

DSs generally only offer simple communication services
- direct use: network programming

Middleware offers more intelligent interfaces
- hides details of network programming
2 Middleware ...

- DA uses DS for communication between its components
- DSs generally only offer simple communication services
  - direct use: *network programming*
- **Middleware** offers more intelligent interfaces
  - hides details of network programming
Middleware is the interface between distributed application and distributed system

Goal: hide distribution aspects from application
  - transparency (☞ 1.3)

Middleware can also provide additional services for applications
  - huge differences in existing middleware

Distinction:
  - **communication-oriented middleware** (☞ 2.2)
    - (only) abstraction from network programming
  - **application-oriented middleware** (☞ 2.3)
    - besides communication, the focus is on support of distributed applications
2 Middleware ...

2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems

➤ Basis: **interprocess communication (IPC)**
  ➤ exchange of messages between processes (☞ **BS_I: 3.2**)
    ➤ on the same or on different nodes
    ➤ e.g. via ports, mailboxes, streams, ...

➤ For distribution: network protocols (☞ **RN_I**)
  ➤ relevant topics etc: addressing, reliability, guaranteed ordering, timeouts, acknowledgements, marshalling

➤ Interface for network programming: sockets (☞ **RN_II**)
  ➤ datagrams (UDP) and streams (TCP)
2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems ...

**Synchronous Communication**

- Sender and receiver block when calling a send or receive operation
  - receiver is waiting for a request
  - sender is waiting for the reply

- Tight coupling between sender and receivers
  - advantage: easy to understand model
  - disadvantage: strong dependency, especially in case of error

- Prerequisites:
  - reliable and fast network connection
  - receiver process is available
Asynchronous Communication

- Sender is not blocked, can continue immediately after sending the message
- Incoming messages are buffered at the receiver
- Answers are optional
  - receiver can reply asynchronously to the sender
- More complex implementation and use as with synchronous communication, but usually more efficient
- Only loose coupling between the processes
  - receiver does not have to be ready for reception
  - less dependent in case of errors
2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems...

Client/Server Communication

- Mostly synchronous: client blocked until response arrives
- Variants: asynchronous (non blocking), one way (without answer)
Client/Server Communication

- Mostly synchronous: client blocked until response arrives
- Variants: asynchronous (non blocking), one way (without answer)
2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems ...

Client/Server Communication: Request/Response Protocol

Typical operations:

- `doOperation()` – send request and wait for result
- `getRequest()` – wait for request
- `sendReply()` – send result

Typical message structure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>messageType</th>
<th>request / reply ?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>requestID</td>
<td>unique ID of request (usually int)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectReference</td>
<td>reference to remote object (if needed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methodID</td>
<td>method to be called (int / String)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arguments</td>
<td>arguments (usually as Byte array)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- request ID + sender ID result in unique message ID
  - e.g. to map an answer to its query
2.1 Communication in Distributed Systems ...

Client/Server Communication: Error Handling

- Request and/or response messages may be lost
- Client sets a timeout when sending a request
  - after expiration, request is usually sent again
  - after a few repetitions: termination with exception
- Server discards duplicate requests if request has already been / is still being processed
- For lost response messages:
  - idempotent operations can be executed again
  - otherwise: save results of operations in a history
    - for repeated request: only resend the result
    - delete history entries when next request arrives; if necessary confirmations for results can also be used
2.2 Communication-oriented Middleware

- Focus: provision of a communication infrastructure for distributed applications

- Tasks:
  - communication
  - dealing with heterogeneity
  - error handling

![Diagram]

- Application
- Communication oriented middleware
- Operating system / distributed system
### 2.2.1 Tasks of the Middleware

**Communication**

- Provision of a middleware protocol
- Localization and identification of communication partners
- Integration with process and thread management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middleware protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport protocol (e.g. TCP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower layers of the protocol stack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.1 Tasks of the Middleware ...

Heterogeneity

- Problem with data transmission:
  - heterogeneity in distributed systems

- Heterogeneous hardware and operating systems
  - different byte order
    - little endian vs. big endian
  - different character encoding
    - e.g., ASCII / Unicode / UTF-8 / EBCDIC (IBM Mainframes)

- Heterogeneous programming languages
  - different representation of simple and complex data types in the main memory
2.2.1 Tasks of the Middleware ...

**Heterogeneity: Solutions**

- Use of generic, standardized data formats
  - known to all communication partners and middleware
  - platform-specific formats for middleware (e.g. CDR for CORBA) or external formats, e.g. XML

- Heterogeneity of hardware and operating system
  - is handled transparently for the applications by the middleware

- Heterogeneity of programming languages
  - applications need to convert data to higher-level format and back (*marshaling* / *unmarshaling*)
    - necessary code is usually generated automatically
      - client stub / server skeleton
2.2.1 Tasks of the Middleware ...

Error Handling

- Possible errors due to distribution
  - incorrect transmission (incl. loss of messages)
    - handled by the protocols of the distributed system:
      - checksums, CRC
      - retransmission of packets (e.g. TCP)
  - failure of components (network, hardware, software)
    - handled by middleware or application:
      - acceptance of the error
      - retransmission of messages
      - replication of components (error avoidance)
      - controlled termination of the application
2.2 Communication-oriented Middleware ...

2.2.2 Programming Models

- Programming model defines two concepts:
  - communication model
    - synchronous vs. asynchronous
  - programming paradigm
    - object-oriented vs. procedural

- Three common programming models for middleware:
  - message-oriented model (asynchronous / arbitrary)
  - remote procedure call (synchronous / procedural)
  - remote method invocation (synchronous / object-oriented)
2.2.2 Programming Models...

**Message-Oriented Model**

- Sender puts message in receiver’s queue
- Receiver accepts message as soon as he is ready
- Extensive decoupling of transmitter and receiver
- No method or procedure calls
  - data is packed and sent by the application
  - no automatic reply message
2.2.2 Programming Models ...

Remote Procedure Call (RPC)

- Allows a client to call a procedure in a remote server process

\[ y = P(x); \]

- Communication according to request/response principle

Remote Method Invocation (RMI)

- Allows an object to call methods of a remote object
- In principle very similar to RPC
Common Basic Concepts of Remote Calls

- Client and server are decoupled by interface definition
  - defines names of calls, parameters and return values

- Introduction of client stubs and server skeletons as an access interface
  - are automatically generated from interface definition
    - IDL compiler (IDL = interface definition language)
  - are responsible for marshaling / unmarshaling as well as for the actual communication
  - realize access and location transparency
2.2.2 Programming Models ...

How Client Stub and Server Skeleton Work (RPC)

Client process

Client stub

\[
y = P(x) \rightarrow P(a) \{
\]
pack argument \( a \) into message
send(Server, m1);
receive(Server, m2);
unpack result \( b \) from message
return \( b \);
\]

Server process

Server skeleton

\[
while (true) \{
receive(m1);
client = sender(m1);
unpack argument \( x \) from message
y = P(x);
pack result \( y \) into message
send(Client, m2);
\}
\]

Client stub:

- \( y = P(x) \)
- \( P(a) \) with the following steps:
  - pack argument \( a \) into message
  - send to Server
  - receive from Server
  - unpack result \( b \)
  - return \( b \)

Server skeleton:

- \( y = P(x) \)
- \( P(a) \) with the following steps:
  - receive message
  - unpack argument \( x \)
  - compute \( y = P(x) \)
  - pack result \( y \)
  - send to Client
2.2.2 Programming Models ...

Basis of RMI: The Proxy Pattern

- Client works with a deputy object (proxy) of the actual server object
  - proxy and server object implement the same interface
  - client only knows / uses this interface
Flow of a Remote Method Call

Client node

1. Client calls a method
2. Proxy calls the same method on the object

Server node

3. Skeleton calls the same method on the object
4. Same interface as real object
5. Packed request is sent over the network (object ID, method name, parameters)

Network
Creation of a Client/Server Program

- Server procedures
- Interface description
- Client program
- IDL compiler
- Server skel.
- Client stubs
- Compiler
- RPC/RMI Runtime library
- Compiler
- Server
- Client

→ Applies in principle to all realizations of remote calls
2.2 Communication-oriented Middleware ...

2.2.3 Middleware Technologies

- Realize (at least) one of the programming models
  - rely on open standards / standardized interfaces

- Remote procedure call
  - SUN RPC, DCE RPC, Web Services (☞ CSP: 7), ...

- Remote method invocation
  - Java RMI (☞ 3), CORBA (☞ CSP: 3), ...

- Message-oriented middleware technologies
  - MOM: message oriented middleware, messaging systems
  - mainly for EAI
  - Java Message Service, WebSphereMQ (MQSeries), ...
2.2.4 Message Oriented Middleware (MOM)

- Middleware technology for the message-oriented model
- In addition to message exchange also other services, especially queue management
2.2.4 Message Oriented Middleware (MOM) ...

Message Queue Infrastructure

- Access to queues is only possible locally
  - local: same computer or same subnet
- Transport of messages across subnet boundaries by queue administrators (routers)
2.2.4 Message Oriented Middleware (MOM) ...

Variants of message exchange

- **Point-to-point communication**
  - communication between two defined processes
  - simplest model: asynchronous communication
  - enhancement: request/reply model
    - enables synchronous communication via asynchronous middleware

- **Broadcast communication**
  - Message is sent to all reachable receivers
  - one implementation: publish/subscribe model
    - publishers publish messages/news on a topic
    - subscribers subscribe to certain topics
    - mediation via a broker
Example: Java Message Service

- Part of the Java Enterprise Edition (Java EE)
- Unified Java interface for MOM services
- Distinguishes two roles:
  - JMS provider: the respective MOM server
  - JMS client: sender or receiver of messages
- JMS supports:
  - asynchronous point-to-point communication
  - request/reply model
  - publish/subscribe model
- JMS defines corresponding access objects and methods
2.2 Communication-oriented Middleware ...

2.2.5 Summary

➤ Tasks: Communication, dealing with heterogeneity, error handling

➤ Programming models:
  ➤ message-oriented model (asynchronous)
    ➤ basis: message queues
    ➤ refinements:
      - request/reply model (synchronous)
      - publish/subscribe model (broadcast)
  ➤ remote procedure or method calls
    ➤ synchronous: request and response
    ➤ generated stubs for (un-)marshaling
2.3 Application-oriented Middleware

- Based on communication-oriented middleware
- Extends it by:
  - runtime environment
  - services
  - component model
2.3.1 Runtime environment

- Based on node operating systems of the distributed system
  - Operating system (OS) manages processes, memory, I/O, ...
  - provides basic functionality
    - starting / stopping processes, scheduling, ...
    - interprocess communication, synchronization, ...

- Runtime environment extends functionality of the OS:
  - improved resource management
    - e.g. concurrency, connection management
  - improved availability
  - improved security mechanisms
2.3.1 Runtime environment ...

**Resource management**

- Middleware goes beyond simple OS functionality
  - e.g. independently managed main memory areas with individual security criteria
  - pooling of processes, threads, connections
    - are created for stock and made available as required
  - possible, since middleware is specific to certain classes of applications
- Goal: improved performance, scalability and availability
Concurrency

- Concurrency in this context:
  - isolated parallel processing of requests

- Concurrency can be implemented via processes or threads
  - threads (lightweight processes): concurrent activities within processes
    - threads in the same process share all resources
  - advantages and disadvantages:
    - processes: high resource requirements, not well scalable, good protection, with low concurrency
    - threads: well scalable, no mutual protection, with high concurrency
Concurrency ...

- Middleware takes over automatic generation / administration of threads in the case of concurrent orders, e.g.
  - *single-threaded*
    - only one thread, sequential processing
  - *thread-per-request*
    - a new thread is created for each request
  - *thread-per-session*
    - a new thread is created for each session (client)
  - *thread pool*
    - fixed number of threads, incoming requests are distributed automatically
      - saves thread generation costs
      - limits resource consumption
Connection management

- Connection here means: endpoints of communication channels
- occur at tier boundaries (between process spaces)
  - e.g. client/server interface, database access
- are assigned to a process/thread, if in the active state
- require resources (memory, processor time)
- opening and closing connections is costly

- To save resources: pooling of connections
  - connections are initialized to stock and placed in pool
  - each thread/process receives a connection on demand
  - after use: return connection to pool
2.3.1 Runtime environment ...

Availability

- Requirement to the application, but mainly implemented by the runtime environment

- Downtimes are caused by
  - failure of a hardware or software component
  - overload of a hardware or software component
  - maintenance of a hardware or software component

- Frequent technology for ensuring availability: cluster
  - replication of hardware and software
  - cluster appears externally as one unit
  - two types: fail-over cluster / load-balancing cluster
2.3.1 Runtime environment ...

Security

- Distributed applications are vulnerable due to their distribution
- Middleware supports different security models

Security requirements:

- **authentication:**
  - proves the identity of the user / a component
  - e.g. by password query (for users) or cryptographic techniques and certificates (for components)

- **authorization:**
  - definition of access rights for users to specific services
    - or more fine grained: methods and attributes
  - requires secure authentication
Security ...

- Security requirements ...
  - confidentiality
    - information cannot be intercepted during transmission in the network
    - technique: encryption
  - integrity
    - transmitted data cannot be changed without being noticed
    - techniques: cryptographic checksum (message digest, fingerprint), digital signature
      - digital signature also ensures authenticity of the sender
Security ...

- Security mechanisms:
  - encryption
    - symmetric (e.g. IDEA, AES)
      - same key for encryption and decryption
    - asymmetric (public key algorithms, e.g. RSA)
      - public key for encryption
      - private key for decrypting
  - digital signature
    - ensures integrity of a message and authenticity of the sender as well as nonrepudiation
  - certificate
    - certifies that public key and person (or component) belong together
2.3.2 Services

Name service (directory service) (☞ 4)

- Publication of available services
  - in the intranet or Internet

- Assignment of names to references (addresses)
  - name serves as a unique / unchangeable identifier
  - the client can request the address of a service via its name
    - address can change e.g. at restart
  - goal: decoupling of client and server

- Examples: JNDI, RMI registry, CORBA interoperable naming service, UDDI registry, LDAP server, ...
Session management

- In interactive systems: each instance of a client is assigned its own session
  - deleted when logging out or closing the client

- Session stores all relevant data (in main memory)
  - e.g. identification of the user, browser type, "shopping cart", ...
  - data stored in the server or in the client
  - transient data: deleted at the end of the session
  - persistent data: is written to a data carrier (database) at the end of the session.

- Middleware implements/supports the assignment of requests to sessions (often transparent)
  - e.g. cookies, HTTP-sessions, session beans, ...
2.3.2 Services ...

**Transaction management (☞ 7.4)**

- Service for interactive, data-centric applications
  - consistency / integrity of data is important
  - this means that the entire (maybe distributed) dataset must represent a valid state in itself

- Typical sequence in applications:
  1. client requests data
  2. client changes the data
  3. client requests that the data be rewritten

- problem: steps 1-3 could be performed by two clients at the same time

- Transaction management allows execution of a sequence of actions as an atomic unit
### Persistence service

- Persistence: all measures for the permanent storage of main memory data

- Persistence service: intelligent interface to the database
  - integrated in middleware or as an independent component
  - most important service for data-centered applications besides transaction management

- Most common type: object-relational mapper (OR-Mapper)
  - maps objects in the main memory to tables in a relational database
  - mapping rules are defined by application developers
2.3.2 Services ...

Persistence service ...

- Object A
  - Var1
  - Var2
  - Var3

- Object B
  - Var1
  - Var2

- Object C
  - Var1
  - Var2

Main memory

- OR mapper

Data base

- Table A
  - Var1 | Var2 | Var3 | Var4
  - ------ | ------ | ------ | ------

- Table B
  - Var1 | Var2 | Var3 | Var4 | Var5
  - ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------
2.3.3 Component model

- Components: “large” objects for structuring applications
- A component model defines:
  - the term “component”
    - structure and properties of the components
    - mandatory and optional interfaces
  - interface contracts
    - how do components interact with each other and with the runtime environment?
  - component runtime environment
    - management of the life cycle of components
    - implicit provision of services: component only specifies its requirements (e.g. persistence)
2.3.4 Middleware Technologies

- Object request broker (ORB)
  - distributed objects, remote method calls
  - variety of services, only basic runtime environment
  - example: CORBA

- Application server
  - focus: support of application logic (middle tier)
  - services, runtime environment, and component model
  - today only as part of a middleware platform

- Middleware platforms
  - extension of application servers: support of all tiers
    - distributed applications as well as EAI
  - examples: Java EE/EJB, .NET/COM, CORBA 3.0/CCM
2.3.5 Summary

Application-oriented middleware

- Runtime environment
  - resource management, availability, security

- Services
  - name service, session management, transaction management, persistence service

- Component model
  - definition of components, interface contracts, runtime environment
3 Distributed Programming with Java RMI
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Content

- Introduction
- Hello World with RMI
- RMI in detail
  - classes and interfaces, stubs, name service, parameter passing, factories, callbacks, ...
- Deployment: loading remote classes
  - Java remote class loader and security manager
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3.1 Introduction

- Java RMI is an integral part of Java
  - allows use of remote objects

- Elements of Java RMI:
  - remote object implementations
  - client interfaces (stubs) to remote objects
  - server skeletons for remote object implementations
  - name service to locate objects in the network
  - service for automatically creating (activating) objects
  - communication protocol

- Java interfaces for the first five elements
  - in the package `java.rmi` and its subpackages
Java RMI requires that all objects (i.e., client and server) are programmed in Java.

- in contrast to, e.g., CORBA

Advantage: seamless integration into the language

- use of remote objects is (almost!) identical to local objects
- including distributed garbage collection

Integration of objects in other programming languages:

- “wrapping” in Java code via Java Native Interface (JNI)
- use of RMI/IIOP: interoperability with CORBA
  - direct communication between RMI and CORBA objects
Distributed Objects

- Remote references can be used just like local references
- Objects can occur in client and server roles
Distributed Objects

Remote references can be used just like local references.

Objects can occur in client and server roles.
3.1 Introduction ...

3.1.1 RMI Architecture

```
Client

Stub

Stub / skeleton layer

Remote reference manager

Remote reference layer

RMI transport layer

Skeleton

Remote reference manager
```

Roland Wismüller
Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme

Distributed Systems (1/13)
3.1.1 RMI Architecture ...

**Stub/Skeleton Layer**

- **Stub**: local proxy object for the remote object
- **Skeleton**: receives calls and forwards them to the correct object
- **Stub and skeleton classes are automatically generated from an interface definition (Java interface)**

- **As of JDK 1.2**: skeleton class is generic
  - skeleton uses reflection mechanism of Java to call methods of server object
  - reflection allows you to query the method definitions of a class and to generically call methods at runtime

- **As of JDK 1.5**: stub classes are created at runtime
  - with the Java class **Proxy**
Remote Reference Layer

- Defines call semantics of RMI
  - in JDK 1.1: unicast only, point-to-point
    - call is routed to exactly one existing object
  - as of JDK 1.2 also activatable objects
    - object will be (re-)activated first, if necessary
      - new object, state is restored from hard disk
  - also possible: multicast semantics
    - proxy sends request to a set of objects and returns the first response
- Also: connection management, distributed garbage collection
3.1.1 RMI Architecture ...

**Transport Layer**

- Connections between JVMs
  - basis: TCP/IP streams

- Proprietary protocol: Java Remote Method Protocol (JRMP)
  - allows tunneling the connection via HTTP (due to firewalls)
  - allows you to define your own socket factory, e.g. to use Transport Layer Security (TLS or SSL)

- As of JKD 1.3 also RMI-IIOP
  - uses IIOP (Internet Inter-ORB Protocol) from CORBA
  - thus: direct interoperability with CORBA objects
3.1.2 RMI Services

**Name service: RMI Registry**

- registers remote references to RMI objects under freely selectable unique names
- a client can then get the corresponding reference for a name
  - technical: registry sends serialized proxy object (client stub) to the client.
- the location of the required class files may also be transferred (see 3.4.1)

- RMI can also be used with other naming services, e.g. via JNDI (Java Naming and Directory Interface)
3.1.2 RMI Services ...

- **Object Activation Service**
  - usually: remote reference to RMI object is only valid as long as the object exists
    - if the server or the server JVM crashes: object references become invalid
      - references change on restart!
  - RMI Activation Service introduced with JDK 1.2
  - starts server objects on request of a client
    - server object must register an activation method with the RMI Activation Daemon
3.1.2 RMI Services ...

- **Distributed Garbage Collection**
  - automatic garbage collection of Java also works for remote objects
  - server-side JVM manages a list of remote references to objects
  - references are “leased” for a certain time
  - reference counter of the object is decremented, if
    - client deletes the reference (e.g., end of the lifetime of the reference variable), or
    - client does not renew the lease in time
      - reason: remote reference layer cannot explicitly “log off” an object, if the client crashes
      - default setting: 10 min.
3.2 Hello World with Java RMI

Structure:

Client JVM

```
class HelloClient {
    ...
    Hello h;
    ...
    s = h.sayHello();
    ...
}
```

Server JVM

```
interface Hello {
    String sayHello();
}
```

```
class HelloServer implements Hello {
    String sayHello() {
        return "Hello World";
    }
    ...
}
```
3.2 *Hello World* with Java RMI...

**Development Process:**

1. Design the interface for the server object
2. Implement the server class
3. Develop the server application to include the server object
4. Develop the client application with calls to the server object
5. Compile and start the system
3.2 *Hello World* with Java RMI...

**Designing the Interface for the Server Object**

- Specified as normal Java interface
- Must extend `java.rmi.Remote`
  - no inheritance of operations, only marking as remote interface
- Each method must declare to raise the exception `java.rmi.RemoteException` (or a base class of it)
  - base class for all errors that may occur
    - in the client, during transmission, in the server
- No restrictions compared to local interfaces
  - but: semantic differences (parameter passing!)
3.2 *Hello World* with Java RMI...

### Hello-World Interface

```java
import java.rmi.Remote;
import java.rmi.RemoteException;

public interface Hello extends Remote {
    String sayHello() throws RemoteException;
}
```

- **RemoteException** indicates error in the remote object or during communication.
- **Marker interface** contains no methods, marks interface as RMI interface.
Implementing the Server Class

- A class that is to be usable remotely must:
  - implement a given remote interface
  - usually extend `java.rmi.server.UnicastRemoteObject`
    - defines call semantics: point-to-point
  - have a constructor that declares to throw a `RemoteException`
    - creation of object must be done in a `try-catch` block
- Methods usually do not need to specify `throws RemoteException`
  - because they don’t throw the exception themselves
3.2 Hello World with Java RMI ...

Hello-World Server (1)

```java
import java.rmi.*;
import java.rmi.server.UnicastRemoteObject;

public class HelloServer extends UnicastRemoteObject implements Hello {
    public HelloServer() throws RemoteException {
        super();
    }
    public String sayHello() {
        return "Hello World!";
    }
```

Remote method
Development of the Server Application to Include the Server Object

Tasks:

- creating a server object
- registering the object with the name service
  - under a specified public name

Typically not a new class, but `main` method of the server class
Hello-World Server (2)

```java
public static void main(String args[]) {
    try {
        HelloServer obj = new HelloServer();
        Naming.rebind("rmi://localhost/Hello−Server", obj);
    } catch (Exception e) {
        System.out.println("Error: " + e.getMessage());
        e.printStackTrace();
    }
}
```

Create the server object

Register the server object under the name "Hello−Server" with the name server (RMI registry, local host, port 1099)
Development of the Client Application with Calls to the Server Object

- Client must first use the name service to get a reference to the server object from the name service
  - type cast to the correct type required
- Then: any method can be called
  - no syntactical differences to local calls
- Note: client can get remote references in other ways as well
  - e.g. as return value of a remote method
import java.rmi.*;

public class HelloClient {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        try {
            Hello obj = (Hello) Naming.lookup("rmi://bspc02/Hello-Server");
            String message = obj.sayHello();
            System.out.println(message);
        } catch (Exception e) {
            ...
        }
    }
}
Compiling and Starting the System

- Compiling the Java sources
  - source files: Hello.java, HelloServer.java, HelloClient.java
  - invocation: javac *.java
  - creates Hello.class, HelloServer.class, HelloClient.class

- Creating the client stub (proxy object)
  - for clients up to JDK 1.4:
    - invocation: rmic -v1.2 HelloServer
    - creates HelloServer_Slab.class

- as of JDK 1.5: client creates proxy class at runtime
  - using java.lang.reflect.Proxy
3.2 *Hello World* with Java RMI ...

Compiling and Starting the System ...

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Client side

```
- HelloClient.java
  - javac
  - HelloClient.class

- Hello.java
  - javac
  - Hello.class

- HelloServer.java
  - javac
  - Hello.class
```

Server side

```
- HelloServer.class
  - rmic
  - HelloServer_Stub.class
```

up to JDK 1.4
3.2 **Hello World with Java RMI ...**

**Compiling and Starting the System ...**

- Starting the naming service
  - invocation: `rmiregistry [port]`
  - for security reasons, objects can only be registered on the local host
    - i.e. RMI registry must run on server computer
  - standard port: 1099

- Starting the server
  - invocation: `java HelloServer`

- Starting the client
  - invocation: `java HelloClient`
3.2 *Hello World with Java RMI* ...

**Execution of the Example**

```
 rmiregistry
```

- **Client computer**
  - HelloWorldClient

- **Server computer**
  - HelloWorldServer
    - Remote object

```
Test
Foo
```
3.2 **Hello World with Java RMI ...**

### Execution of the Example

**Client computer**

**Server computer**

- **HelloClient**
- **HelloServer**
- **rmiregistry**
  - Name and stub object (serialized)
  - **Naming.rebind(...)**
3.2 *Hello World* with Java RMI ...

**Execution of the Example**

- **HelloClient** (Client computer)
- ** rmiregistry**
  - **Test**
  - **Foo**
  - **Hello server** (Remote object)
- **HelloServer**
- **Naming.rebind(...)**
- **Name and stub object (serialized)**
3.2 **Hello World with Java RMI ...**

### Execution of the Example

```java
Naming.lookup(...)
```

Client computer  Server computer

```
HelloClient
```

```
HelloServer
```

```java
Remote object
```

```java
Test
```

```java
Foo
```

```java
Hello server
```

```java
rmiregistry
```
3.2 **Hello World with Java RMI** ...

**Execution of the Example**

```plaintext
Naming.lookup(...)  

HelloClient  

HelloServer  

Client computer  

Server computer  

Name  

stub object (serialized)

rmiregistry

Test  

Foo  

Hello server
```

Remote object
3.2 **Hello World with Java RMI** ...

**Execution of the Example**

```java
HelloClient

Stub object

Naming.lookup(...)

HelloServer

Remote object

Server computer

stub object (serialized)

Name

Client computer

rmiregistry

Test

Foo

Hello server
```
3.2 **Hello World with Java RMI ...**

**Execution of the Example**

Client computer $\rightarrow$ Server computer

```
obj.sayHello()
```

**Diagram:**
- `HelloClient`
  - `Stub object`
  - `obj.sayHello()`
- `HelloServer`
  - `Remote object`
- `rmiregistry`
  - `Test`
  - `Foo`
  - `Hello server`
3.3 RMI in Detail

3.3.1 Classes and Interfaces

```
uses
java.lang
Object

<<interface>>
Remote

RemoteObject

RemoteStub

HelloServer

RemoteServer

java.io
IOException

RemoteException

Naming

RemoteObject

UnicastRemoteObject

HelloClient

hello.server

uses
```
### 3.3.1 Classes and Interfaces ...

**Interface** Remote

- Every remote object must implement this interface
- Does not provide methods, serves only as a marker

**Class** RemoteException

- Superclass for all exceptions that can be triggered by the RMI system, for example, with
  - communication errors (server not reachable, ...)
  - (un-)marshalling errors
  - protocol errors
- Each remote method must specify RemoteException (or a base class of it) in the `throws` clause
3.3.1 Classes and Interfaces ...

**Class** RemoteObject

- Base class for all remote objects
- Redefines the methods `equals`, `hashCode`, and `toString`
- `toStub()` returns a reference to the stub object
- `getRef()` returns remote reference (= Java class)
  - used by the stub to call methods

**Class** RemoteServer

- Base class for all server implementations
  - `UnicastRemoteObject`, `Activatable`
- Method `getClientHost()`: host address of the client of the current RMI call
- `setLog()` and `getLog()`: logging of RMI calls
3.3.1 Classes and Interfaces ...

**Class** UnicastRemoteObject

- Implements remote object with the following properties:
  - references to the object are only valid as long as server process (JVM) is still running
  - client call is routed to exactly one object (via TCP connection), no replication
- Constructor allows definition of port and socket factories
  - so that e.g. connections via TLS/SSL can be realized
- Static method `exportObject()` makes object available via RMI
- Static method `unexportObject()` cancels availability

**Class** RemoteStub

- Base class for all client stubs
Class Naming

- Allows easy access to RMI registry

- Important methods:
  - `bind()` / `rebind()`: registers object under given name
  - `lookup()`: get object reference to a name

- Names are given in URL format
  - also define the host and port of the RMI registry.

- Structure of the URL:

  ```
  rmi:// bspc02:1234/Hello
  ```

  - `Protocol (always rmi)`
  - `Host of RMI registry`
  - `Port of the RMI registry`
  - `Name of the registered Object`
3.3.2 Special Features of Remote Classes

- Comparison of remote objects
  - Comparison with `==` refers only to the stub objects
    - Result is `false`, even if both stubs refer to the same remote object
  - Comparison with `equals()` returns true if both stubs refer to the same remote object

![Diagram showing comparison of remote objects](image)
3.3.2 Special Features of Remote Classes ...

- Method `hashCode()`
  - used by container classes `HashMap`, `HashSet` and others
  - Hash code is calculated only from the object identifier of the remote object
    - same remote object $\Rightarrow$ same hash code
    - but the content of the object is ignored
  - consistent with behavior of `equals()`

- Cloning objects
  - cloning of the remote object is not possible by calling `clone()` on the stub
  - cloning of stubs neither necessary nor meaningful
3.3.3 Parameter Passing

- Parameters passed to remote methods
  - either via *call-by-value*
  - or via *call-by-reference*

- The mechanism used depends on the type of the parameter

- Final decision may only be made at runtime!

- The return of the result follows the same rules as for parameter passing
3.3.3 Parameter Passing ...

Parameter Passing for Local Methods

- Java supports two kinds of types:
  - **value types**: simple data types
    - boolean, byte, char, short, int, long, float, double
    - are passed to local methods *by value*
    - that is, the method receives a copy of the value
  - **reference types**: classes (incl. String and arrays)
    - are passed to local methods *by reference*
    - that is, the method works on the original object and can also change object if required
3.3.3 Parameter Passing ...

Parameter Passing for Remote Methods

- Value types: are always passed by value
- Reference types: dependent on the concrete object
  - object can be serialized: call-by-value
  - object belongs to a class that implements the Remote interface: call-by-reference
  - neither: error (java.rmi.MarshalException)
  - both: ??! (this case is to be avoided!)
- decision is made only at runtime
Call-by-Value (Serializable Objects)

- Class must implement interface `java.io.Serializable`
- Serializable objects can be transferred over a network
  - only the data is transferred, the code (class file) must be available at the receiver!
- Default serialization of Java:
  - all attributes of the object are serialized and transferred
  - recursive procedure!
  - prerequisite: all attributes and all base classes can be serialized
- Application specific serialization is possible:
  - implement the methods `writeObject` and `readObject`
3.3.3 Parameter Passing ...

Passing a Serializable Object

- **Original**
  - Client object
  - param
  - Stub object
  - op(param)
  - serialize param
  - Network connection

- **Skeleton**
  - <<create>>
  - deserialize param

- **Server object**
  - Independent copy
  - param
  - op(param)
  - m()
### Call-by-Reference (Remote Objects)

- Class of the parameter object must implement an interface that extends `Remote`
  - parameter type must be this interface
  - class is typically derived from `UnicastRemoteObject`
- A serialized stub object is transferred
  - from JDK 1.5: stub class is created dynamically
  - (up to JDK 1.4 stub class must be generated by `rmic` and be available at the server)
- If the server calls methods on the parameter object:
  - calls are routed to the original object using RMI
3.3.3 Parameter Passing ...

Passing a Remote Object

Client object → param → param stub → Stub object

op(param) → toStub(param) → paramStub

serialize paramStub → Network connection

Skeleton

Server object

 deserialize paramStub <<create>>

op(paramStub) → m()
Examples

See WWW:

- *Hello-World* with *call-by-value* parameter
- *Hello-World* with *call-by-reference* parameter
3.3.3 Parameter Passing ... 

Arrays and Container Objects

- Arrays and container objects (from the Java Collection Framework, `java.util`) can be serialized
  - i.e., they will be reinstantiated at the receiver

- To the elements of the array / container the same rules apply as to simple parameters
  - for mixed content: elements are passed *by value* or *by reference* depending on their actual class
3.3.4 Remote Object References as Results

- Frequently: via RMI registry, the client receives a reference to a remote object, which provides references to other objects.
- The remote object may also create these objects on demand (this is called *factory object* or *factory class*).

- Example: server for bank accounts
  - Registration of all account objects with RMI registry not useful.
  - Instead: registration of a manager object that returns the reference to the account object for a given account number.
  - If necessary, it can create a new object (from a database).

- Note: RMI does not allow remote object creation.
  - Client cannot create objects on a remote host.
3.3.5 Client Callbacks

- Frequently: server wants to make calls in the client
  - e.g. progress bar, queries, ...

- For this: client object must be an RMI object
  - pass this reference to the server method

- In some cases, you cannot inherit from UnicastRemoteObject, e.g. for applets
  - then: export the object using
    UnicastRemoteObject.exportObject(obj,0);
  - attention: when calling exportObject(obj), no dynamic stub is created, even with JDK 1.5 and later

- Example code: see WWW (Hello-World with callback)
3.3.6 RMI and Threads

- RMI does not specify how many threads are provided on the server side for method calls
  - only one thread, one thread per call, ..

- This means that several server methods can be active at the same time
  - requires correct synchronization (synchronized)!

- Client-side locking of a remote object using a synchronized block is not possible
  - only local stub is locked
  - a lock must be implemented using methods of the remote object if necessary
3.4 Deployment

**Deployment**: distribution, transfer and installation of the components of a distributed application

- specifically for RMI: which class file has to go where?

**Server, RMI registry** and **client** need the **class** files for:

- the remote interface of the server
- all classes or interfaces that are used in the server interface (recursively)
- (up to JDK 1.4 also the stub classes for all used remote interfaces)
3.4 Deployment ...

- **Client** and **server** additionally need the class files for:
  - their own implementation
  - all classes of serializable objects that they receive
    - as a parameter or a result of method calls
  - (up to JDK 1.4 also the stub classes for all remote objects they receive)

- Problems with static installation of **class** files for serialized objects (and stubs):
  - dependency between client and server
    - method parameters, result objects
  - change of classes requires new installation
    - nullifies an advantage of distributed applications
3.4.1 Remote Class Loading in Java RMI

Class loader

- Class loaders are used for loading classes (and interfaces) at runtime
  - more exactly: for loading class files
- Each class is loaded only once
- Class loaders are Java objects themselves
  - base class: java.lang.ClassLoader
- RMI uses its own class loader
  - java.rmi.RMIClassLoader
Remote Loading of Classes

- RMIClassLoader allows to load classes also from remote computers
  - via HTTP (web server) or FTP
  - URL is defined via `codebase` property when the JVM is started
- Allows central storage of the necessary files
  - “automatic” deployment
- Restrictions:
  - all classes named in the client code must be available locally
  - client must define its own security manager
3.4.1 Remote Class Loading in Java RMI ...

Example

- The class files for `BankServer`, `Account` and `Entry` must be available locally at the client (`BankClient`).

- `EntryImpl` can be remotely loaded by client.

```java
main()

<<interface>>
BankServer

getDate(): ...
getAmount(): ...
getText(): ...

Unicast RemoteObject

Serializable

<<interface>>
BankServer

getAccount(...): Account

<<interface>>
Account

getStatement(...): Entry

<<interface>>
Entry

getAccount(...): Entry

Serializable

<<interface>>
EntryImpl

getAccount(...): Account

Serializable

AccountImpl

getAccount(...): Entry

Serializable

BankServerImpl
```
Local and Remote Loadable Classes

- Local loading (via `CLASSPATH`) must be possible (for client and server) for:
  - all classes (and interfaces) named in the client/server code,
  - all classes mentioned by name in those classes, ..
  - i.e. everything that is needed to compile the code

- Remotely loadable:
  - stub classes of remote objects
  - subclasses accessed only via polymorphism
    - i.e. the code only uses a superclass or interface
  - The RMI registry can load all required classes remotely
Example: *Hello-World* with Callback and Result Object

=> Interfaces (see WWW):

```java
public interface Hello extends Remote {
    HelloObj getHello(AskUser ask) throws RemoteException;
}
```

```java
public interface AskUser extends Remote {
    boolean ask(String question) throws RemoteException;
}
```

```java
public interface HelloObj {
    void sayIt();
}
```
Example: How are the Classes Loaded?

- Interfaces Hello.class, AskUser.class, HelloObj.class
  - must be available locally at the client
  - can be loaded remotely by the RMI registry

- Implementation HelloObjImpl.class of HelloObj
  - can be loaded remotely by the client
  - is not required by RMI registry

- Stub classes for the two remote interfaces
  - are usually generated dynamically (not loaded) as of JDK 1.5
  - but can also be loaded remotely
Example: Necessary Changes in the Client

- Using the RMI security manager:

  ```java
  public static void main(String args[]) {
    System.setSecurityManager(new RMISecurityManager());
  }
  ```

- Definition of security policy: *policy file*:

  ```java
  grant {
    permission java.net.SocketPermission "myserver:1024-", "connect,accept";
    permission java.net.SocketPermission "www.bsvs.de:80", "connect";
  }
  ```

  grants local classes (client!) the following permissions:

  - connection to/from myserver on non-privileged ports:
    - RMI registry (1099), server and callback object (dyn.)
  - connection to the web server (port 80)
3.4.1 Remote Class Loading in Java RMI ...

**Example: Deployment**

- All classes to be loaded remotely are packed into one archive
- The archive is made available via a web server
- Start the server with a `codebase`, e.g:
  - `java -Djava.rmi.server.codebase="http://www.bsvs.de/jars/HelloServer.jar" HelloServer`
  - the `codebase` property specifies the URL to the JVM under which the classes are to be loaded
  - server passes `codebase` to RMI registry when registering the server object
  - RMI registry passes codebase to client
- Start of the client with specification of the policy file, e.g:
  - `java -Djava.security.policy=policy HelloClient`
3.4.1 Remote Class Loading in Java RMI ...

Procedure for Transferring Objects

Send object

1. Serialize object
2. Determine class loader
3. If class available locally, go to Send codebase.
   - If not, go to Receive serialized object.

Receive serialized object

1. Class in RAM?
   - If true, use loaded class.
   - If false, go to Codebase available?
2. Codebase available?
   - If true, load class from codebase.
   - If false, go to ClassNotFound Exception.
3. Deserialize object

ClassNotFound Exception

* must be specified explicitly as of JDK 1.7
JVM can be equipped with a security manager if required
  ➡️ for Java applications: `System.setSecurityManager()`
  ➡️ for Java applets: by default

Security manager checks, among other things, whether the application is allowed to
  ➡️ access a local file,
  ➡️ establish a network connection,
  ➡️ stop the JVM,
  ➡️ create a class loader,
  ➡️ read AWT events, ...

Permissions are specified in a security policy
  ➡️ if the specifications are violated: exception
### Security Policy

- Assigns permissions to codes from specific sources

- Code source can be described by two properties:
  - code location: URL where the code was loaded from
  - certificates (for signed code)

- Permissions allow access to certain resources
  - permissions are modeled by objects, but are usually specified in the policy file

- e.g. `FilePermission p =
      new FilePermission("/tmp/*", "read,write");`

- Or `permission java.io.FilePermission "/tmp/*", "read,write";`
3.4.2 Java Security Manager...

Hierarchy of Permission Classes (JDK 1.2)

Use only for testing!!!
3.4.2 Java Security Manager ...

Policy File

grant {
    permission java.net.SocketPermission "www.bsvs.de:80", "connect";
};

grant codebase "file:" {
    permission java.io.FilePermission "/home/tom/-", "read, write";
    permission java.io.FilePermission "/bin/*", "execute";
};

grant codebase "http://www.bsvs.de/jars/HelloServer.jar" {
    permission java.net.SocketPermission "localhost:1024-", "listen, accept, connect";
};
3.4.2 Java Security Manager ...

Policy File ...

- All classes are allowed to:
  - establish connections to www.bsvs.de, port 80

- Locally loaded classes may:
  - read and write files in /home/tom or (recursively) a subdirectory of it
  - execute files in the /bin directory

- Classes loaded from http://www.bsvs.de/jars/HelloServer.jar are allowed to:
  - accept/establish network connections on/to the local computer via non-privileged ports (1024 or higher)
Further Documentation

- General information on policy files:
  
  http://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/technotes/guides/security/PolicyFiles.html

- Overview of the permission classes:
  
  http://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/technotes/guides/security/permissions.html

- Java API documentation:
  
  http://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/api/
3 Distributed Programming with Java RMI ...

3.5 Summary

- RMI allows access to remote objects
  - transparent, via proxy objects
  - proxy classes are generated automatically
    - usually at runtime

- Parameter passing semantics
  - by value, if parameter object can be serialized
  - by reference, if parameter object is an RMI object

- Classes can also be loaded remotely (security manager!)

- Name service: RMI registry

- Security: RMI over SSL is possible, but not ideal
Distributed Systems

Summer Term 2021

4 Name Services
Content

- Basics
- Example: JNDI

Literature

- Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 4.1
- Farley, Crawford, Flanagan: Ch. 7
- http://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/jndi/overview
Names, Addresses and IDs

- **Name**: character or bit sequence that refers to a unit
  - unit: e.g. computer, printer, file, user, website, ...

- **Address**: name of the entry point of a unit
  - entry point allows access to the unit
  - several entry points per unit are possible
  - entry point may change over time

- A **position-independent name** identifies a unit independently from its entry point

- **ID**: name with the following properties:
  - ID refers to at most one unit, unit has at most one ID
  - ID always refers to the same unit (not reused)
4.1 Basics ...

Namespaces

- represented by directed, labelled graph
- leaf node: named unit, with information / status if required
- inner node: directory node
- edges are labeled with names

- Units are named by paths in the graph:
  - **Start node**: `< Label-1, Label-2, ... >`
  - absolute path: starting from root (of namespace)
  - relative path: starting from any node

- Example: names in the UNIX file system
4.1 Basics ...

Aliasing and Linking

- **Alias**: alternative name for the same unit

- Possibilities for the realization of aliases:
  - allow several absolute pathnames for one unit
    - e.g. *hard link* in Unix
  - a (special) leaf node stores pathname of the unit
    - e.g. *symbolic link* in Unix

- Transparent linking of different namespaces:
  - a (special) directory node stores the ID of a directory node in another namespace
    - e.g. *mounted* file system in Unix
4.1 Basics ...

Name Resolution

- Finding the node (or information) that corresponds to a name
  - start at the start node
  - look up first label in directory table
    ⇒ ID of the next node
  - etc., until the path is completely processed

- Conclusion mechanism: determination of the start node
  - usually implicit

- Global names: resolution independent of specific context

- Local names: resolution is context-dependent
  - e.g. pathname relative to working directory in Unix
4.1 Basics ...

Implementation of Naming Services

- Typical operations:
  - bind(name, address, attributes)
  - lookup(name, attributes) ⇒ address, attributes
  - unbind(name, address)

- In distributed systems:
  - namespace is stored distributed (usually hierarchically)
  - for high availability: additionally replicated storage

- Name resolution can be iterative or recursive
  - iterative: Server responds with address of next server
  - recursive: server requests even at next server

- Example: Domain Name System (RNJ, 11.1)
4.2 Example: JNDI

⇒ JNDI: *Java Naming and Directory Interface*

⇒ API for access to different name and directory services

⇒ directory service also stores attributes of objects

---

**Java application**

**JNDI API**

**JNDI naming manager**

**JNDI SPI**

- RMI
  - RMI registry
- CORBA
  - CORBA naming service
- LDAP
  - LDAP server
- DNS
  - DNS server

Service provider
4.2 Example: JNDI ...

- JDNI supports compound namespaces
  - managed by various name or directory services

Directories are called “contexts”
  - objects are bound to names within a context
4.2 Example: JNDI ...

The Interface `javax.naming.Context` for Naming Contexts

- Important methods:
  - `bind()`, `rebind()`: bind objects to names
    - `bind()` throws exception if name already exists
  - `unbind()`: remove names
  - `rename()`: rename
  - `lookup()`: resolve name to object
  - `listBindings()`: list of all bindings
  - `createSubcontext()`: create sub-context
  - `destroySubcontext()`: delete sub-context
4.2 Example: JNDI ...

The Interface `javax.naming.Context` for Naming Contexts ...

- Implementation class `InitialContext`
  - for initial context (depending on the concrete name service)
    - `Context ic = new InitialContext(properties);`
  - configuration via `Properties` object (`Hashtable`), among others:
    - "java.naming.factory.initial" - factory for `InitialContext`
    - "java.naming.provider.url" - contact information for service provider
    - "java.naming.security.principal" and "java.naming.security.credentials" - user name and password for authentication
Example: Accessing the RMI Registry

```java
import javax.naming.*;
...

Properties props = new Properties();
props.put("java.naming.factory.initial",
    "com.sun.jndi.rmi.registry.RegistryContextFactory");
props.put("java.naming.provider.url",
    "rmi://localhost:1099");
Context ctx = new InitialContext(props);

obj = (Hello)ctx.lookup("Hello-Server");

message = obj.sayHello();
```
4.2 Example: JNDI ...

Example: Accessing a Local File System

```java
import javax.naming.*;
...

Properties props = new Properties();
props.put("java.naming.factory.initial",
    "com.sun.jndi.fscontext.RefFSContextFactory");
Context ctx = new InitialContext(props);

for (int i=0; i<args.length-1; i++)
    ctx = (Context)ctx.lookup(args[i]);
NamingEnumeration<Binding> list = ctx.listBindings(args[args.length-1]);
while (list.hasMore()) {
    Binding b = list.next();
    System.out.println(b.getName()+": " + b.getClassName());
}
```
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5 Process Management
5 Process Management...

Contents

- Distributed process scheduling
- Code migration

Literature

- Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 3
- Stallings: Ch. 14.1
5.1 Distributed Process Scheduling

- Typical: middleware component that
  - decides on which node a process is executed
  - and probably migrates processes between nodes

- Goals:
  - balance the load between nodes
  - maximize the system performance (average response time)
    - also: minimize the communication between nodes
  - meet special hardware / resource requirements

- Load: typically the length of the process queue (ready queue)
  - sometimes resource consumption and communication volume are considered, too
5.1 Distributed Process Scheduling...

Approaches to distributed scheduling

- Static scheduling
  - mapping of processes to nodes is defined before execution
  - NP-complete, therefore heuristic methods

- Dynamic load balancing, two variants:
  - execution location of a process is defined during creation and is not changed later
  - execution location of a process can be changed at runtime (several times, if necessary)
    - preemptive dynamic load balancing, process migration
5.1 Distributed Process Scheduling ...

5.1.1 Static Scheduling

- Procedure dependent on the structure / the modelling of a job
  - jobs always consist of several processes
  - differences in communication structure

- Examples:
  - communicating processes: graph partitioning
  - non-communicating tasks with dependencies: list scheduling
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

Scheduling through graph partitioning

- Given: process system with
  - CPU / memory requirements
  - specification of communication load between each pair of processes
    usually represented as a graph

- Wanted: partitioning of the graph in such a way that
  - CPU and memory requirements are met for each node
  - partitions are about the same size (load balancing)
  - weighted sum of cut edges is minimal
    - i.e. as little communication as possible between nodes

- NP-complete, therefore many heuristic procedures
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

**Scheduling through graph partitioning**

- Given: process system with
  - CPU / memory requirements
  - specification of communication load between each pair of processes
    usually represented as a graph

- Wanted: partitioning of the graph in such a way that
  - CPU and memory requirements are met for each node
  - partitions are about the same size (load balancing)
  - weighted sum of cut edges is minimal
    - i.e. as little communication as possible between nodes

- NP-complete, therefore many heuristic procedures
Scheduling through graph partitioning

Given: process system with
- CPU / memory requirements
- specification of communication load between each pair of processes
usually represented as a graph

Wanted: partitioning of the graph in such a way that
- CPU and memory requirements are met for each node
- partitions are about the same size (load balancing)
- weighted sum of cut edges is minimal
  - i.e. as little communication as possible between nodes

NP-complete, therefore many heuristic procedures
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

List scheduling

- Tasks with dependencies, but without communication during execution
  - tasks work on results of other tasks

- Modelling
  - program represented as a DAG
  - nodes: tasks with execution times
  - edges: communication with transfer time
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

Method

- Create prioritized list of all tasks
  - many different heuristics to determine the priorities, e.g. according to:
    - length of the longest path (without communication) from the node to the end of the DAG (*High Level First with Estimated Time*, HLFET).
    - earliest possible start time (*Earliest Task First*, ETF)
  - Process the list as follows:
    - assign the first task to the node that allows the earliest start time
    - remove the task from the list
  - Creation and processing of the list can also be interleaved
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Static level (without comm.):
6+5+4 = 15
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Static level (without comm.):
6+5+4 = 15  6+6+4 = 16
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

List: A C B E D F G
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

List:

A  C  B  E  D  F  G

Schedule with 3 nodes:

1
2
3
0  2  4  6  8  10  12  14  16
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

List:

E D F G

Schedule with 3 nodes:

1
A 6

2
C 4

3
B 4

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
5.1.1 Static Scheduling...

Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

List:

E D F G

Schedule with 3 nodes:

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Schedule with 3 nodes:

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Schedule with 3 nodes:

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
5.1.1 Static Scheduling ...

Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
Example: List Scheduling with HLFET

Schedule with 3 nodes:

Assumption: local communication does not cost any time
5.1 Distributed Process Scheduling ...

5.1.2 Dynamic Load Balancing

- Components of a load balancing system
  - *Information policy* – when is load balancing triggered?
    - on demand, periodically, in case of state changes, ...
  - *Transfer policy* – under which condition is load shifted?
    - often: decision with the help of threshold values
  - *Location policy* – how is the receiver (or sender) found?
    - polling of some nodes, broadcast, ...
  - *Selection policy* – which tasks are moved?
    - new tasks, long tasks, location-independent tasks, ...
5.1.2 Dynamic Load Balancing ...

**Typical approaches to dynamic load balancing**

- **Sender initiated load balancing**
  - new process usually start on the local node
  - if node is overloaded: determine load of other nodes and start process on low-loaded node
    - e.g. ask randomly selected nodes for their load, send process if load $\leq$ threshold, otherwise: next node
  - disadvantage: additional work for already overloaded node!

- **Receiver initiated load balancing**
  - when scheduling a process: check whether the node has still enough work (processes)
  - if not: ask other nodes for work

- **Similar also for preemptive dynamic load balancing**
5.2 Code Migration

- In distributed systems, in addition to data also programs are transferred between nodes
  - partly also during their execution

- Motivation: performance and flexibility
  - preemptive dynamic load balancing
  - optimization of communication (move code to data or highly interactive code to client)
  - increased availability (migration before system maintenance)
  - use of special HW or SW resources
  - use / evacuation of unused workstation computers
  - avoid code installation on client machines (dynamic loading of code from server)
Models for Code Migration

Conceptual model: a process consists of three “segments”:

- code segment
  - the executable program code of the process
- execution segment
  - complete execution status of the process
    - virtual address space (data, heap, stack)
    - processor register (incl. instruction counter)
    - process / thread control block
- resource segment
  - contains references to external resources required by the process
    - e.g. files, devices, other processes, mailboxes, ...
5.2 Code Migration ...

Models for Code Migration ...

- **Weak mobility**
  - only the code segment is transferred
    - including initialization data if necessary
  - program is always started from initial state
  - examples: Java applets, loading remote classes in Java

- **Strong mobility**
  - code and execution segment are transferred
  - migration of a process in execution
  - examples: process migration, agents

- **Sender- or receiver-initiated migration**
5.2 Code Migration ...

Code Migration Issues and Solutions

- Security: target computer executes unknown code (e.g. applet)
  - restricted environment (sandbox)
  - signed code

- Heterogeneity: code and execution segment depend on CPU and operating system
  - use of virtual machines (e.g. JVM, XEN)
  - migration points at which state can be stored and read in a portable way (possibly supported by compiler)

- Access to (local) resources
  - remote access with a global reference
  - move or copy the resource
  - new binding to resource of the same type
5.2 Code Migration ...

**Process migration**

- Migration of a process that is already running
  - triggered by OS or the process itself
  - mostly for dynamic load balancing

- Sometimes combined with *checkpoint/restart* function
  - instead of transferring the status of the process, it can also be stored persistently

- Design goals of migration procedures:
  - low communication effort
  - only short blocking of the migrated process
  - no dependency on source computer after migration
5.2 Code Migration ...

Process Flow of a Process Migration

- Creating a new process on the target system

- Transfer the code and execution segment (process address space, process control block), initialization of the target process
  - required: identical CPU and OS or virtual machine

- Update all connections to other processes
  - communication links, signals, ...
  - during migration: buffering at source
  - then: forwarding to target computer

- Delete the original process
  - if necessary, retain a “shadow process” for redirected system calls, e.g. file accesses
5.2 Code Migration ...

**Transferring the process address space**

- **Eager (all):** transfer the entire address space
  - no traces of the process remain on source nodes
  - very expensive for large address space (especially if not all pages are used)
  - often together with checkpoint/restart function

- **Precopy:** process continues to run on source node during transfer
  - to minimize time in which the process is blocked
  - pages modified while the migration is in progress must be sent again
5.2 Code Migration ...

Transferring the process address space ...

- **Eager (dirty):** transfer only modified pages that are in main memory
  - all other pages are only transferred when accessed
    - integration with virtual memory management
  - motivation: quickly “flush” main memory of the source node
  - source node may remain involved until the end of the process

- **Copy-on-reference:** transfer each page only when accessed
  - variation of *eager (dirty)*
  - lowest initial costs

- **Flushing:** move all pages to disk before migration
  - after that: *copy-on-reference*
  - advantage: main memory of the source node is relieved
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6 Time and Global State
Synchronization of physical clocks

Lamport’s happened before relation

Logical clocks

Global state

**Literature**

- Tanenbaum, van Steen: Kap. 5.1-5.3
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Kap. 10
- Stallings: Kap 14.2
6 Time and Global State ...

What is the difference between a distributed system and a single/multiprocessor system?

➥ **Single or multiprocessor system:**
   - concurrent processes: pseudo-parallel by time sharing or truely parallel
   - global time: all events in the processes can be ordered unambiguously in terms of time
   - global state: at any time a unique state of the system can be determined

➥ **Distributed system**
   - true parallelism
   - no global time
   - no unique global state
Concurrency vs. (true) parallelism

Example: 4 processes

**Sequential**
- A --- B --- C --- D

One time line, processes are not interrupted.

**Concurrent**
- A --- B --- C --- D --- A --- B --- D --- A --- B --- A --- D --- C --- D

One time line, processes can be interrupted by others at any time: interleaved execution.

**Parallel**
- A
- B
- C
- D

Each node / process has its own time line! Events in different processes can truly happen simultaneously.
Global Time

In a single/multiprocessor system
- each event can (at least theoretically) be assigned a unique time stamp of the same local clock
- for multiprocessor systems: synchronization at the shared memory

In distributed systems:
- many local clocks (one per node)
- exact synchronization of clocks is (on principle!) not possible
  \[\Rightarrow\] the sequence of events on different nodes can not (always) be determined uniquely
- (cf. special theory of relativity)
An effect of distribution

- Preliminary remark: events in distributed systems

- Scenario: two processes observe two other processes
6 Time and Global State ...

An effect of distribution ...

⇒ The observers may see the events in different order!

⇒ Problem e.g., if the observers are replicated databases and the events are database updates
  ⇒ replicas are no longer consistent!

⇒ Even from time stamps of (local) clocks it is not possible to determine the order of events in a meaningful way

⇒ Hence, in such cases:
  ⇒ events with timestamps of **logical clocks** (☞ 6.3)
  ⇒ logical clocks allow conclusions to be made about causal order
6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks

- Physical clock shows ’real’ time
  - based on UTC (Universal Time Coordinated)

- Each computer has its own (physical) clock
  - quartz oscillator with counter in HW and if necessary in SW

- Clocks usually differ from each other (offset)
  - Offset changes over time: clock drift
    - typ. $10^{-6}$ for quartz crystals, $10^{-13}$ for atomic clocks

- Goal of clock synchronization:
  - keep the offset of the clocks under a given limit
  - clock skew: maximum allowed deviation

[Coulouris, 10.3]
6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks ...

Cristian’s Method

> **Assumption:** \( A \) and \( B \) want to synchronize their clocks with each other

> \( B \) can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)

> **Protocol:**

1. \( A \) sends request to \( B \)

\[ t_0 \]

\( A \)

\( B \)
6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks ...

**Cristian’s Method**

- Assumption: \( A \) and \( B \) want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - \( B \) can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)

- Protocol:
  1. \( A \) sends request to \( B \)
  2. \( B \) reads time \( t \) and sends it to \( A \)

\[ \text{estimate: runtime} = \frac{t_1 - t_0}{2} \]
6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks ...

**Cristian’s Method**

- **Assumption:** $A$ and $B$ want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - $B$ can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)
- **Protocol:**
  1. $A$ sends request to $B$
  2. $B$ reads time $t$ and sends it to $A$
  3. $A$ sets its clock to $t + (t_1 - t_0)/2$

- $A$ must take the runtime of the reply message into account
- estimate: runtime
  - $= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (t_1 - t_0)$
Cristian’s Method

- Assumption: \( A \) and \( B \) want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - \( B \) can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)

- Protocol:
  1. \( A \) sends request to \( B \)
  2. \( B \) reads time \( t \) and sends it to \( A \)
  3. \( A \) sets its clock to \( t + (t_1 - t_0)/2 \)

\( A \) must take the runtime of the reply message into account
estimate: runtime
= half the round trip time
= \( (t_1 - t_0)/2 \)
6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks ...

Cristian’s Method

- Assumption: $A$ and $B$ want to synchronize their clocks with each other
  - $B$ can also be a time server (e.g. with GPS clock)

- Protocol:
  1. $A$ sends request to $B$
  2. $B$ reads time $t$ and sends it to $A$
  3. $A$ sets its clock to $t + (t_1 - t_0)/2$

$A$ must take the runtime of the reply message into account

estimate: runtime

$= \frac{t_1 - t_0}{2}$
6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks ...

Cristian’s Method: Discussion

- Problem: runtimes of both messages may be different
  - systematic differences (different paths / latencies)
  - statistical fluctuations of the transit time

- Accuracy estimate, if minimum transit time \( \text{min} \) is known:
  - \( B \) can have determined \( t \) at the earliest at time \( t_0 + \text{min} \), at the latest at time \( t_1 - \text{min} \) (measured with \( A \)’s clock)
  - thus accuracy \( \pm \left( \frac{(t_1 - t_0)}{2} - \text{min} \right) \)

- To improve accuracy:
  - execute the message exchange multiple times
  - use the one with minimum round trip time
6.1 Synchronizing Physical Clocks ... 

Changing the clock

- Turning back is problematic
  - order / uniqueness of time stamps

- Non-monotonous “jumping” of the time also problematic

- Therefore: clock is generally adjusted slowly
  - runs faster / slower, until clock skew has been compensated

Further protocols

- Berkeley algorithm: server calculates mean value of all clocks

- NTP (Network Time Protocol): hierarchy of time servers in the Internet with periodic synchronization

- IEEE 1588: clock synchronization for automation systems
6 Time and Global State ...

6.2 Lamport’s Happened-Before Relation

In two cases, the order of events can also be determined without a global clock:

- if the events are in the same process, local clock is sufficient
- the sending of a message is always before its reception

Definition of the happened-before causality relation $\rightarrow$ (causality relation)

- if events $a, b$ are in the same process $i$ and $t_i(a) < t_i(b)$ ($t_i$: time stamp with $i$’s clock), then $a \rightarrow b$
- if $a$ is the sending of a message and $b$ its receipt, then $a \rightarrow b$
- if $a \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow c$, then also $a \rightarrow c$ (transitivity)

$a \rightarrow b$ means, that $b$ may causally depend on $a$
### 6.2 Lamport’s Happened-Before Relation ...

#### Examples

**Process 1**

- \( b \rightarrow i \)
- \( a \rightarrow h \) (events in the same process)

**Process 2**

- \( c \rightarrow d \)
- \( e \rightarrow f \) (sending / receiving a message)

**Process 3**

- \( c \rightarrow k \)
- \( a \rightarrow i \) (transitivity)

**Process 4**

- \( g \not\rightarrow l \)
- \( l \not\rightarrow g \): \( l \) and \( g \) are **concurrent** (nebenläufig)

Among others, we have here:

- \( b \rightarrow i \) and \( a \rightarrow h \) (events in the same process)
- \( c \rightarrow d \) and \( e \rightarrow f \) (sending / receiving a message)
- \( c \rightarrow k \) and \( a \rightarrow i \) (transitivity)
- \( g \not\rightarrow l \) and \( l \not\rightarrow g \): \( l \) and \( g \) are **concurrent** (nebenläufig)
6.3 Logical Clocks

- Physical clocks cannot be synchronized exactly
  - therefore: unsuitable for determining the order in which events occurred

- Logical clocks
  - refer to the causal order of events (happened-before relation)
  - no fixed relationship to real time

- In the following:
  - Lamport timestamps
    - are consistent with the happened-before relation
  - vector timestamps
    - allow sorting of events according to causality (i.e. happened-before relation)
### Lamport Timestamps

- Lamport timestamps are natural numbers.
- Each process $i$ has a local counter $L_i$, that is updated as follows:
  - at (more precisely: before) each local event: $L_i = L_i + 1$
  - in each message, the time stamp $L_i$ of the send event is also sent
  - at receipt of a message with time stamp $t$: $L_i = \max(L_i, t + 1)$
- Lamport time stamps are consistent with the causality:
  - $a \rightarrow b \Rightarrow L(a) < L(b)$, where $L$ is the Lamport timestamp in the respective process
- but the reversal does not apply!
Among others, we have here:

- $c \rightarrow k$ and $L(c) < L(k)$
- $g \nrightarrow j$ and $L(g) \nprec L(j)$
- $g \nrightarrow l$, but still $L(g) < L(l)$
Lamport Timestamps: Example

Among others, we have here:

$\rightarrow c \rightarrow k$ and $L(c) < L(k)$

$\rightarrow g \not\rightarrow j$ and $L(g) \not< L(j)$

$g \not\rightarrow l$, but still $L(g) < L(l)$

$L_3 = \max(2, 1+1)$
Lamport Timestamps: Example

Among others, we have here:

- \( c \rightarrow k \) and \( L(c) < L(k) \)
- \( g \not\rightarrow j \) and \( L(g) \neq L(j) \)
- \( g \not\rightarrow l \), but still \( L(g) < L(l) \)

\[ L_3 = \max(3, 1+1) \]
Among others, we have here:

- \( c \rightarrow k \) and \( L(c) < L(k) \)
- \( g \not\rightarrow j \) and \( L(g) \not< L(j) \)
- \( g \not\rightarrow l \), but still \( L(g) < L(l) \)

Lamport Timestamps: Example

\[ L_1 = \max(2, 4+1) \]
Among others, we have here:

- $c \rightarrow k$ and $L(c) < L(k)$
- $g \notightarrow j$ and $L(g) \not< L(j)$
- $g \notightarrow l$, but still $L(g) < L(l)$
Vector Timestamps

- Objective: timestamps that characterize causality
  - \( a \rightarrow b \iff V(a) < V(b) \), where \( V \) is the vector timestamp in the respective process

- A vector clock in a system with \( N \) processes is a vector of \( N \) integers
  - each process has its own vector \( V_i \)
  - \( V_i[i] \): number of events that have occurred so far in process \( i \)
  - \( V_i[j], j \neq i \): number of events in process \( j \), of which \( i \) knows
    - i.e. by which it could have been causally influenced
6.3 Logical Clocks ...

Vector Timestamps ...

- Update of $V_i$ in process $i$:
  - before any local event: $V_i[i] = V_i[i] + 1$
  - $V_i$ is included in every message sent
  - when receiving a message with timestamp $t$:
    $V_i[j] = \max(V_i[j], t[j])$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$

- Comparison of vector timestamps:
  - $V = V'$ $\iff$ $V[j] = V'[j]$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$
  - $V \leq V'$ $\iff$ $V[j] \leq V'[j]$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$
  - $V < V'$ $\iff$ $V \leq V' \land V \neq V'$
  - the relation $<$ defines a partial order
Vector Timestamps: Example

Among others, we have here:

- $c \rightarrow k$ and $V(c) < V(k)$
- $g \not\rightarrow l$ and $V(g) \not< V(l)$, as well as $l \not\rightarrow g$ and $V(l) \not< V(g)$, which implies $V(l) \not< V(g)$ and $l$ and $g$ are concurrent.
Vector Timestamps: Example

Process 1
- "c" (0,1,0,0)
- "h" (0,2,0,0)

Process 2
- "f" (0,1,0,0)
- "g" (0,2,0,0)

Process 3
- "a" (0,0,1,0)
- "j" (0,1,3,1)
- "i" (2,1,4,1)

Process 4
- "e" (0,0,0,1)
- "j" (0,0,0,2)
- "l" (0,0,0,3)

$V_2 = \max((0,0,2,0), (0,1,0,0))$

Among others, we have here:
- "c" $\rightarrow$ "k" and $V(c) < V(k)$
- "g" $\not\rightarrow$ "l" and $V(g) \not< V(l)$
- "l" $\not\rightarrow$ "g" and $V(l) \not< V(g)$

$V(l)$ and $V(g)$ not comparable $\iff$ l and g concurrent
Vector Timestamps: Example

Among others, we have here:

- \( c \rightarrow k \) and \( V(c) < V(k) \),
- \( g \not\rightarrow l \) and \( V(g) \not< V(l) \), as well as

\( V(l) \) and \( V(g) \) not comparable. 

\( V_2 = \max((0,1,3,0), (0,0,0,1)) = (0,1,3,1) \)
Vector Timestamps: Example

Process 1

Process 2

Process 3

Process 4

\[ V_0 = \max((2,0,0,0), (0,1,4,1)) \]

Among others, we have here:

- \( c \rightarrow k \) and \( V(c) < V(k) \)
- \( g \not\rightarrow l \) and \( V(g) \not< V(l) \), as well as
- \( l \not\rightarrow g \) and \( V(l) \not< V(g) \)

\( V \) not comparable \( \iff \) \( l \) and \( g \) concurrent
Among others, we have here:

- \( c \rightarrow k \) and \( V(c) < V(k) \)
- \( g \nL l \) and \( V(g) \nL V(l) \), as well as \( l \nL g \) and \( V(l) \nL V(g) \)
- \( V(l) \) and \( V(g) \) not comparable \( \Leftrightarrow l \) and \( g \) concurrent
A Motivating Example

- Scenario: peer-to-peer application, processes send requests to each other
- Question: when can the application terminate?
- Answer: when no process is processing a request
A Motivating Example

- Scenario: peer-to-peer application, processes send requests to each other
- Question: when can the application terminate?
- **Wrong** answer: when no process is processing a request
  - reason: requests can still be on the way in messages!

Other applications: distributed garbage collection, distributed deadlock detection, ...

![Diagram showing processes and request.]
How can we determine the overall state of a distributed process system?

- naively: union of the states of all processes (wrong!)

Two aspects have to be considered:

- messages that are still in transit
  - must be included in the state
- lack of global time
  - a global state at time $t$ cannot be defined!
  - process states always refer to local (and thus different) times
- question: condition on local times? $\Rightarrow$ consistent cuts
**Consistent Cuts**

- **Objective:** build a meaningful global state from local states (which are not determined simultaneously)

- **Processes are modeled by sequences of events:**

  - Process 1
  - Process 2
  - Process 3
Consistent Cuts

- Objective: build a meaningful global state from local states (which are not determined simultaneously)

- Processes are modeled by sequences of events:

- Cut: consider a prefix of the event sequence in each process
6.4 Global State ...

**Consistent Cuts**

- **Objective:** build a meaningful global state from local states (which are not determined simultaneously)

- **Processes are modeled by sequences of events:**
  
  Process 1  
  Process 2  
  Process 3  

  ![Diagram showing consistent and inconsistent cuts]

- **Cut:** consider a **prefix** of the event sequence in each process

- **Consistent cut:**
  - if the cut contains the reception of a message, it also contains the sending of this message
The Snapshot Algorithm of Chandy and Lamport

- Determines online a “snapshot” of the global state
  - i.e.: a consistent cut

- The global state consists of:
  - the local states of all processes
  - the status of all communication connections
    - i.e. the messages in transmission

- Assumptions / properties:
  - reliable message channels with sequence retention
  - process graph is strongly connected
  - each process can trigger a snapshot at any time
  - the processes are not blocked during the algorithm
The Snapshot Algorithm of Chandy and Lamport ...

- When a process wants to initiate a snapshot:
  - process first saves its local state
  - then it sends a marker message over each outgoing channel

- When a process receives a marker message:
  - if it has not yet saved its local state:
    - it saves its local state
    - and sends a marker over each outgoing channel
  - else:
    - for the channel where the marker was received, it saves all messages that have been received since the local state was saved
    - i.e., it records the status of the channel
The Snapshot Algorithm of Chandy and Lamport...

- The algorithm terminates when each process has received a marker message on each channel.
  - The determined consistent section is then (initially) stored in a distributed way.
Example for the algorithm of Chandy/Lamport
Example for the algorithm of Chandy/Lamport

1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
Example for the algorithm of Chandy/Lamport

1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
3. P2 receives and processes a
Example for the algorithm of Chandy/Lamport

1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
3. P2 receives and processes a
   P2 receives the marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
Example for the algorithm of Chandy/Lamport

1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
3. P2 receives and processes a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
4. P1, P2, P3 save the incoming messages, until all markers are received
Example for the algorithm of Chandy/Lamport

1. P1 initiates a snapshot, saves its state, and sends markers
2. P3 receives a marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
3. P2 receives and processes a
   P2 receives the marker from P1, saves its state, and sends markers
4. P1, P2, P3 save the incoming messages, until all markers are received
6.4 Global State ...

Sequence in the Example and Selected Cut

displayed initial state

P1

P2

P3

The cut consists of the local states of P1, P2, P3 and the messages b, c, d, e.
The cut consists of the local states of P1, P2, P3 and the messages b, c, d, e.
7 Coordination
7 Coordination ...

Contents

- Election algorithms
- Mutual exclusion
- Group communication (multicast)
- Transactions

Literature

- Tanenbaum, van Steen: Kap. 5.4-5.6
- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Kap. 11, 12
- Stallings: Kap 14.3
7 Coordination ...

7.1 Election Algorithms

- In many distributed algorithms **one** arbitrary process must play an exceptional role
  - e.g. central coordinator, initiator, ...

- Question: how to choose this process unambiguously?
  - processes must be distinguishable, e.g. via a unique ID.
  - then select e.g. the process with the highest ID

- Prerequisites / requirements:
  - election can be initiated by multiple processes simultaneously
    - e.g. after failure or recovery of a process
  - after the election all processes must have the same result
  - each process knows the IDs of all other processes, but does not know whether they are running or not
The Bully Algorithm

A process $P$ holds an election as follows:
- $P$ sends an ELECTION message to all processes with a larger ID
- if none of the processes reacts, $P$ wins the election
- if a process responds: $P$ loses the election

When a process receives an ELECTION message:
- (message comes from a process with a lower ID)
- return an OK message
- hold an election of your own

At some point, there is only one process left
- this wins the election and sends the result to all others
7.1 Election Algorithms ...

Bully Algorithm: Example

Previous Coordinator has crashed
Bully Algorithm: Example

Process 4 holds an election

Previous Coordinator has crashed
7.1 Election Algorithms ...

Bully Algorithm: Example

Process 4 holds an election
Processes 5 and 6 reply,
Process 4 terminates its election

Previous Coordinator
has crashed
Bully Algorithm: Example

Process 4 holds an election
Processes 5 and 6 reply,
Process 4 terminates its election
Processes 5 and 6 simultaneously
hold an election

Previous Coordinator has crashed
7.1 Election Algorithms ... 

Bully Algorithm: Example

- Process 4 holds an election
- Processes 5 and 6 reply, Process 4 terminates its election
- Processes 5 and 6 simultaneously hold an election
- Process 6 replies to 5
- Process 5 terminates its election

Previous Coordinator has crashed
Bully Algorithm: Example

Previous Coordinator has crashed

Process 4 holds an election
Processes 5 and 6 reply, Process 4 terminates its election
Processes 5 and 6 simultaneously hold an election
Process 6 replies to 5, Process 5 terminates its election
Noone replied to the election of process 6, thus, this process wins the election and communicates the result to all others
A Ring Algorithm

- Assumption: processes form a logical ring, i.e. each process knows its successors in the ring
- Messages are sent along the ring as follows:
  - a process tries to send the message to its direct successor
  - if this process is not active, the message will be sent to the next process in the ring, etc.
- ELECTION messages contain a list of process IDs
7.1 Election Algorithms...

A Ring Algorithm...

- A process that initiates the election sends an ELECTION message with its own ID along the ring.

- When an ELECTION message is received by a process:
  - if its own ID is not in the list of IDs:
    - append the own ID to the list
    - continue sending message along the ring
  - else (message came back to the initiator):
    - determine highest ID in the list
    - send this ID in a COORDINATOR message along the ring
Ring Algorithm: Example

Previous coordinator has crashed
Ring Algorithm: Example

Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election

Previous coordinator has crashed
7.1 Election Algorithms ...

Ring Algorithm: Example

Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election.

Previous coordinator has crashed.
7.1 Election Algorithms ... 

Ring Algorithm: Example

Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election

Previous coordinator has crashed
Ring Algorithm: Example

Processes 2 and 5 concurrently initiate an election.

Eventually both processes get their ELECTION messages back and send a COORDINATOR message (with identical contents!)

Previous coordinator has crashed.
7.2 Mutual Exclusion

- Here mainly: use / allocation of exclusive resources

- Requirements:
  - safety: only one process can use the resource at any one time
  - liveness: any process that requests the resource will eventually get it
  - fairness: access to resources in ’FIFO’ order

- Solution approaches:
  - centralized server
  - distributed algorithm with Lamport clock
  - token ring algorithm
Centralized server

- An special coordinator process manages the resource and a queue for waiting processes
  - determined e.g. via an election algorithm

- Resource is requested by sending a message to the coordinator
  - if resource is free: coordinator answers with OK
  - otherwise: coordinator does not answer
    - requesting process is blocked (waiting for reply)

- Resource is released by sending a message to the coordinator
  - if processes wait: coordinator sends an OK to one of them

- Problem: processes cannot detect failure of the coordinator
  - this could be done using negative replies and polling
7.2 Mutual Exclusion ...

A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala)

- Idea: a process that wants to have a resource asks all other processes for their OK
  - a process replies with OK, if
    - it does not want the resource, or
    - it wants the resource, but the other process has requested it “earlier”

- Requires **total** order of request events
  - order must be consistent with causality
  - realizable e.g. via a time stamp (Lamport time, process ID) with lexicographic order
    - in the example of slide 206 this results in the event order: $b, c, a, e, g, d, j, f, l, h, i, k$
A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala) ...

To request a resource, a process sends the following message to all other processes:

- resource ID
- time stamp $T$ of the request
  - pair: (current Lamport time, own process ID)

(the message must be delivered reliably)

The process then waits until it receives an OK message from all other processes

After that it can use the resource (exclusively)
A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala) ...

- Each process responds to request messages as follows:
  - resource is not used and not requested by the process:
    - return OK message
  - resource is used by the process:
    - do not send a reply
    - put the request in a queue
  - Resource id not used, but requested by the process:
    - if $T$(incoming message) < $T$(own request):
      - return OK message
    - or else:
      - do not send a reply
      - put the request in a queue
A Distributed Algorithm (Ricart / Agrawala) ...

- When a process releases the resource:
  - send an OK message to **all** processes in the queue
  - delete the queue
7.2 Mutual Exclusion ...

Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

Both P1 and P3 want the resource
Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

1. P1 sends request to all others

Both P1 and P3 want the resource
Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

1. P1 sends request to all others
2. P3 sends request to all others

Both P1 and P3 want the resource
Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

1. P1 sends request to all others
2. P3 sends request to all others
3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn't want the resource

Both P1 and P3 want the resource
7.2 Mutual Exclusion ...

Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

1. $P_1$ sends request to all others
2. $P_3$ sends request to all others
3. $P_2$ sends OK to $P_1$ and $P_3$, since it doesn't want the resource
4. $P_1$ doesn't send an OK to $P_3$, since $(12,3) > (8,1)$. $P_1$ adds $P_3$ to its queue

Both $P_1$ and $P_3$ want the resource
7.2 Mutual Exclusion ...

Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

1. P1 sends request to all others
2. P3 sends request to all others
3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn’t want the resource
4. P1 doesn’t send an OK to P3, since \((12,3) > (8,1)\).
P1 adds P3 to its queue
5. P3 sends OK to P1, since \((8,1) < (12,3)\)

Both P1 and P3 want the resource
Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

1. P1 sends request to all others
2. P3 sends request to all others
3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn’t want the resource
4. P1 doesn’t send an OK to P3, since (12,3) > (8,1).
   P1 adds P3 to its queue
5. P3 sends OK to P1, since (8,1) < (12,3)
6. P1 received all OKs and uses the resource

Both P1 and P3 want the resource
Example for the Algorithm of Ricart / Agrawala

1. P1 sends request to all others
2. P3 sends request to all others
3. P2 sends OK to P1 and P3, since it doesn't want the resource
4. P1 doesn't send an OK to P3, since \((12,3) > (8,1)\).
   P1 adds P3 to its queue
5. P3 sends OK to P1, since \((8,1) < (12,3)\)
6. P1 received all OKs and uses the resource
7. P1 releases the resource and sends an OK to P3
A Token Ring Algorithm

- The processes form a logical ring
- A **token** circles in the ring
  - authorization for (exclusive) use of the resource
  - token is initially generated by one of the processes
- On arrival of the token: process checks whether it wants the resource
  - if so:
    - use the resource
    - after releasing the resource:
      - pass token to successor in the ring
  - else:
    - pass token immediately to successor in the ring
7.2 Mutual Exclusion ... 

Comparison of algorithms

- Centralized server:
  - server is *single point of failure* and may be a performance bottleneck
  - clients cannot distinguish (without additional measures) between server failure and occupied resource
  - only little communication necessary

- Distributed algorithm:
  - failure of *any* node is problematic
  - any node can become a performance bottleneck
  - high communication effort
  - just a proof that a distributed, symmetrical algorithm is possible
7.2 Mutual Exclusion ...

Comparison of algorithms ...

-Token ring algorithm:
  - problem: loss of the token (detection, re-creation)
  - failure of nodes is problematic
  - communication, even if resource is not used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Messages per allocation</th>
<th>Delay before allocation</th>
<th>Problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>centralized</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>server failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distributed</td>
<td>$2(n - 1)$</td>
<td>$2(n - 1)$</td>
<td>failure of any process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>token ring</td>
<td>$1 \ldots \infty$</td>
<td>$0 \ldots n - 1$</td>
<td>lost token, failure of any process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3 Group Communication (Multicast)

In distributed systems, communication with a group of processes (multicast) is often also important, e.g. for:

- fault tolerance based on replicated services
  - service realized by group of servers
  - all servers receive and process the requests
- finding of services (especially discovery / name services)
  - multicast is a possible approach for this
- better performance through replicated data
  - changes must be sent to all copies
- sending event notifications
  - all subscribers receive the event
7.3 Group Communication (Multicast) ...

Questions / Problems

- Addressing the recipients
  - explicit list of all recipients
  - addressing a process group
    - static / dynamic groups

- Reliability
  - reasonable guarantees that messages will reach their recipients

- Order
  - adequate guarantees as to the order in which multicast messages arrive at the various recipients
Reliability

- **Unreliable multicast:**
  - some processes may not receive the message (e.g. due to packet loss)

- **Reliable multicast:**
  - apart from network and process failures, the message is delivered to all processes in the group

- **Atomic multicast:**
  - the message is (under all circumstances) received either by all processes of the group or by none of them
  - required if all processes in the group must be kept consistent (e.g., operations on replicated data)
7.3 Group Communication (Multicast) ...

Order

 ➤ **Unordered**
   ➤ receiving order is undefined and can be different in different processes

 ➤ **FIFO order**
   ➤ messages from the same sender are received by all processes in FIFO order
   ➤ i.e. introduction of sequence numbers local to the sender
7.3 Group Communication (Multicast) ...

Order

- **Unordered**
  - receiving order is undefined and can be different in different processes

- **FIFO order**
  - messages from the **same** sender are received by all processes in FIFO order
  - i.e. introduction of sequence numbers **local to the sender**
7.3 Group Communication (Multicast) ...

Order ...

- **Causal order**
  - if message \( m' \) can causally depend on \( m \) (\( m \rightarrow m' \)), then all processes receive \( m \) before \( m' \)
  - i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

- **Total order**
  - all messages are received by all processes in the same order
  - i.e. introduction of global sequence numbers
7.3 Group Communication (Multicast) ...

Order ...

- **Causal order**
  - if message $m'$ can causally depend on $m$ ($m \rightarrow m'$), then all processes receive $m$ before $m'$
  - i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

- **Total order**
  - all messages are received by all processes in the same order
  - i.e. introduction of *global* sequence numbers
7.3 Group Communication (Multicast) ...

Order ...

- **Causal order**
  - if message $m'$ can causally depend on $m$ ($m \rightarrow m'$), then all processes receive $m$ before $m'$
  - i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

- **Total order**
  - all messages are received by all processes in the same order
  - i.e. introduction of **global** sequence numbers
Order ...

- **Causal order**
  - if message $m'$ can causally depend on $m$ ($m \rightarrow m'$), then all processes receive $m$ before $m'$
  - i.e. introduction of vector time stamps

- **Total order**
  - all messages are received by all processes in the same order
  - i.e. introduction of global sequence numbers
7 Coordination ...

7.4 Transactions

- Combining a sequence of atomic actions into a single unit
  - atomic actions: read, change, write data

- Example: seat reservation

- Used not only in database systems
7.4 Transactions ...

**Properties of Transactions: ACID**

- **Atomicity**
  - all-or-nothing principle: either all atomic actions are executed (correctly) or none at all

- **Consistency**
  - a transaction always transfers a consistent state back to consistent state

- **Isolation**
  - concurrent transactions do not affect each other; the result is the same as with sequential execution

- **Durability**
  - at the (successful) end of the transaction all changes are stored permanently
7.4 Transactions ...

Atomicity

Transaction

Begin Transaction

Commit

All changes are stored permanently

Begin Transaction

Transaction

Crash

Rollback

All changes are undone
7.4 Transactions ...

Isolation

Two concurrent transactions

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ & A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \\
& X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z
\}
\]

Permitted serializations

\[
\begin{align*}
& A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \\
& X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z
\end{align*}
\]

or

\[
\begin{align*}
& X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \\
& A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C
\end{align*}
\]

→ The result of the concurrent transactions corresponds to one of the two serializations
Isolation Levels

- Complete isolation of (database) transactions often is too restrictive / too little performant
- Therefore: SQL99 standard defines four isolation levels
- Goal: avoidance of unwanted phenomena
  - **dirty reads**: a transaction can read data of another transaction before they have been committed
  - **unrepeatable reads**: when reading repeatedly, a transaction can see committed changes of other transactions
  - **phantom reads**: when reading repeatedly, a transaction can see that other transactions have added or deleted records
### Isolation Level According to ANSI/ISO-SQL99

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolation Level</th>
<th>Phenomenon</th>
<th>Dirty Reads</th>
<th>Unrepeatable Reads</th>
<th>Phantom Reads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Read Uncommitted</td>
<td></td>
<td>possible</td>
<td>possible</td>
<td>possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read Committed</td>
<td></td>
<td>not possible</td>
<td>possible</td>
<td>possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeatable Read</td>
<td></td>
<td>not possible</td>
<td>not possible</td>
<td>possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serializable</td>
<td></td>
<td>not possible</td>
<td>not possible</td>
<td>not possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

> **Serializable** corresponds to complete isolation
7.4 Transactions ...

Nested Transactions

- Within a transaction, several subtransactions take place
- Higher-level transaction can run successfully to completion, even if subtransaction was terminated with an error
- Abort of the higher-level transaction results in aborting all subtransactions
- Example: booking of flight and hotel
  - booking of the flight should be maintained, even if hotel booking (in the first attempt) fails
- Nested transaction are supported by only a few transaction services
7.4 Transactions ...

Flat transaction

Begin

Book flight

Crash

Abort

Book hotel

Nested transactions

Begin

Call subtransaction

Book flight

Tentative commit

Begin

Call subtransaction

Book hotel

Abort

Begin

Call subtransaction

Book hotel

Tentative commit

Commit
Distributed Transactions

- So far: data is stored at exactly one location
- Distributed transactions: data is stored distributed
- Realization of transactions on the individual data resources (databases) is no longer sufficient
  - distributed transaction management becomes necessary
- There is a generally accepted Open Group model for the management of distributed transactions
  - is implemented by most transaction services
  - most important feature: 2-Phase-Commit
Model for Managing Distributed Transactions

- **Application**
- **Resource manager (RM)**
- **Transaction manager (TM)**

Management of distributed transaction across resource boundaries

Transaction management within the individual data resources
Model for Managing Distributed Transactions

1. Application requests start of a new transaction. TM internally initializes a new transaction.
2. Transaction is active.
Application can use the resources.
3. Each RM used by the application registers with the TM for the transaction.

Model for Managing Distributed Transactions

1. begin
2.
3. join
7.4 Transactions ...

Model for Managing Distributed Transactions

4. Application requires to commit or abort the transaction.
5. TM requires RM to commit the changes: 2-phase commit
7.4 Transactions ...

Model for Managing Distributed Transactions

5. TM requires RM to commit the changes:
   2–phase commit
2-Phase Commit

- Phase 1 (voting phase)
  - TM asks all involved RM, if the commit would be successful ("prepare")
  - each RM that answers "yes" prepares for the commit

- Phase 2 (finalization)
  - if all RMs answered with "yes":
    - TM sends *commit* command to all RMs
    - RM ultimately commits the data and sends an acknowledgment to TM
  - else:
    - TM sends an *abort* command to all RMs
Distributed Systems
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8.1 Introduction and Motivation

Replication: several (identical) copies of data objects are stored in the distributed system

- processes can access an arbitrary copy

Reasons for the replication:

- increase in availability and reliability
  - if a replica is not available, use another one
  - reading multiple replicas with majority vote

- increase in read performance
  - for large systems: concurrent read access can be serviced by different replicas
  - with systems spread over a large area: access request is sent to a replica in the vicinity
8.1 Introduction and Motivation ...

Central Problem of Replication: Consistency

- When data is changed, **all** replicas must be kept consistent

- Simplest option: all updates are done via totally ordered atomic multicast
  - high overhead when frequent updates occur
  - in some replicas these may actually never be read
  - totally ordered atomic multicast is very expensive with many / widely dispersed replicas

- Strict consistency maintenance of replicas always deteriorates performance and scalability

- Solution: weakened consistency requirements
  - often only very weak demands, e.g. News, Web, ...
Consistency Models

- A consistency model determines the order in which the write operations (updates) of the processes are “seen” by the other processes.

- Intuitive expectation: a read operation always returns the result of the last write operation (strict consistency).
  - Problem: there is no global time.
    - Pointless to speak of the “last” write operation.
    - Therefore: other consistency models necessary.

- Data-centric consistency models: view of the data storage.

- Client-centric consistency models: view of one process.
  - Assumption: (essentially) no update by multiple processes.
8 Replication and Consistency ...

8.2 Data Centric Consistency Models

- Model of a distributed data store:

Logical, shared data memory

Physically distributed and replicated across multiple nodes
8.2 Data Centric Consistency Models ...

Sequential Consistency

⇒ A data store is **sequentially consistent** if the result of each program execution is as if:

⇒ the (read/write) operations of all processes are executed in a (random) sequential order,

⇒ in which the operations of each individual process appear in the order specified by the program.

⇒ I.e. the execution of the operations of the individual processes can be interleaved arbitrarily

⇒ Independent of time or clocks

⇒ All processes see the accesses in the same order
8.2 Data Centric Consistency Models...

Sequential Consistency: Examples

**Allowed sequence:**

|---|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|

**Forbidden Sequence:**

|---|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|

**Notation:**

- **W(x)a**: the value ’a’ is written into the variable ’x’
- **R(x)a**: variable ’x’ will be read, result is ’a’

**A possible sequential order of the left sequence:**

- **W_2(x)b, R_3(x)b, R_4(x)b, W_1(x)a, R_3(x)a, R_4(x)a**
### Sequential Consistency: Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allowed sequence</th>
<th>Forbidden Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1: W(x)a</td>
<td>P1: W(x)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2: W(x)b</td>
<td>P2: W(x)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3: R(x)b, R(x)a</td>
<td>P3: R(x)b, R(x)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4: R(x)b, R(x)a</td>
<td>P4: R(x)a, R(x)b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Notation:**
  - W(x)a: the value 'a' is written into the variable 'x'
  - R(x)a: variable 'x' will be read, result is 'a'

- **A possible sequential order of the left sequence:**
  - W_2(x)b, R_3(x)b, R_4(x)b, W_1(x)a, R_3(x)a, R_4(x)a
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Linearizability

- Stronger than sequential consistency
- Assumption: the nodes (processes) have synchronized clocks
  - i.e. an approximation of a global time
- Operations have time stamps based on these clocks
- In comparison with sequential consistency additionally required:
  - the sequential order of operations is consistent with their timestamps
- Complex implementation
- Used for formal verification of concurrent algorithms
8.2 Data Centric Consistency Models ...

Causal Consistency

► Weakening of sequential consistency

► (Only) write operations that are potentially causally dependent must be visible to all processes in the same order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causally, but not seq. consistent:</th>
<th>Not causally consistent:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1: ( W(x)a )</td>
<td>P1: ( W(x)a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2: ( R(x)a ) ( W(x)b )</td>
<td>P2: ( R(x)a ) ( W(x)b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3: ( R(x)a ) ( R(x)c ) ( R(x)b )</td>
<td>P3: ( R(x)b ) ( R(x)a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4: ( R(x)a ) ( R(x)b ) ( R(x)c )</td>
<td>P4: ( R(x)a ) ( R(x)b )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Roland Wismüller
Betriebssysteme / verteilte Systeme
Weak Consistency

In practice: access to shared resources is coordinated via synchronization variables (SV)

Then: weaker consistency requirements are sufficient:
- accesses to SVs are sequentially consistent
- an operation on a SV is not allowed until all previous write accesses to data have been completed everywhere
- no operation on data is allowed before all previous operations on SVs have been completed

Allowed event sequence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1</th>
<th>W(x)a</th>
<th>W(x)b</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td></td>
<td>R(x)a</td>
<td>R(x)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td></td>
<td>R(x)b</td>
<td>R(x)a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Invalid event sequence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1</th>
<th>W(x)a</th>
<th>W(x)b</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P4:
8.2 Data Centric Consistency Models ...

**Release Consistency (Freigabe-Konsistenz)**

- Idea as with weak consistency, but distinction between *acquire* and *release* operations (mutual exclusion!)

- before an operation on the data is performed all *acquire*-operations of the process must be completed

- before the end of a *release* operation all operations of the process on the data must be completed

- *acquire* / *release* operations of a process are seen everywhere in the same order

**Allowed event sequence:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1:</th>
<th>acq(L) W(x)a W(x)b rel(L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 8.2 Data Centric Consistency Models ...

### Comparison of models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strict</strong></td>
<td>Absolute time sequence of all shared accesses (physically not useful!)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linearization</strong></td>
<td>All processes see all accesses in the same order. Accesses are sorted by a (non-unique) global timestamp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sequential</strong></td>
<td>All processes see all accesses in the same order. Accesses are not sorted by time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Causal</strong></td>
<td>All processes see causally linked accesses in the same order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weak</strong></td>
<td>Data is only reliably consistent after a synchronization has been performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Release</strong></td>
<td>Data is made consistent when leaving the critical region.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8.3 Client Centric Consistency Models

In practice:

- clients are usually independent from each other
- changes to the data are mostly rare
- because of partitioning often no write/write conflicts
  - e.g., DNS, WWW (Caches), ... 

**Eventual consistency**: all replicas will eventually become consistent if no updates take place for a long time

Problem if a client changes the replica it is accessing

- updates may not have arrived there yet
- client detects inconsistent behavior

Solution: client-centric consistency models

- guarantee consistency for an **individual** client
- but not for concurrent accesses by multiple clients
Illustration of the problem

The client moves to another location and (transparently) creates a connection to another replica.

Replicas must retain client centric consistency.

Mobile computer

Read and write operations

Wide area network

Distributed and replicated data base
8.3 Client Centric Consistency Models ...

Monotonic Read

- Example for a client centric consistency model
  - more: see Tanenbaum / van Steen, Ch. 6.3

- Rule: When a process reads the value of a variable $x$, every subsequent read operation for $x$ returns the same or a more recent value

- Example: access to a mailbox at different locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With monotonic read</th>
<th>Without monotonic read:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1: $WS(x_1)$</td>
<td>L1: $WS(x_1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R($x_1$)</td>
<td>R($x_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2: $WS(x_1;x_2)$</td>
<td>L2: $WS(x_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R($x_2$)</td>
<td>R($x_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WS($x_1;x_2$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L1/L2: local copies
WS(...) set of write operations

Write operations to $x$ in L1 are now executed on $x$ in L2
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8.4 Distribution Protocols

Question: where, when and by whom are replicas placed?
- permanent replicas
- server initiated replicas
- client initiated replicas

Question: how are updates distributed (regardless of consistency protocol, ☞ 8.5)?
- sending invalidations, status or operations
- pull or push protocols
- unicast or multicast
8.4 Distribution Protocols ...

Placing the Replicas

- Server initiated replicas
- Client initiated replicas

Permanent replicas
Server initiated replicas
Client initiated replicas
Clients

→ All three types can occur simultaneously
8.4 Distribution Protocols ...

**Permanent Replicas**

- Initial set of replicas, static, mostly small
- Examples:
  - replicated web site (transparent to client)
  - mirroring (*Mirroring*, client deliberately chooses a replica)

**Server Initiated Replicas**

- Server creates additional replicas on demand (*Push-Cache*)
  - e.g., for web hosting services
- Difficult: deciding when and where replicas will be created
  - usually access counter for each file, additional information about the origin of the requests (→ nearest server)
Client initiated Replicas

- Other term: *Client Cache*

- Client cache locally stores (frequently) used data

- Goal: improving access time

- Management of the cache is completely left to the client
  - server doesn’t care about consistency

- Data is usually kept in the cache for a limited time only
  - prevents use of extremely obsolete data

- Cache usually placed on client machines, or shared cache for multiple clients in their proximity
  - e.g., Web proxy caches
8.4 Distribution Protocols ...

Forwarding Updates: What’s Being Sent?

- The new value of the data object
  - good with high read/update ratio

- The update operation (active replication)
  - saves bandwidth (operation with parameters is usually small)
  - but more computing power required

- Just a notification (invalidation protocols)
  - notification makes the copy of the data object invalid
    - on next access a new copy will be requested
  - requires very little network bandwidth
  - good at low read/update ratio
8.4 Distribution Protocols ...

Pull and Push Protocols

**Push**: updates are distributed on the initiative of the server that made the change

- replicas don’t have to request updates
- common in permanent and server-initiated replicas
- when a relatively high degree of consistency is required
- at high read/update ratio
- problem: server must know all replicas

**Pull**: replicas actively request data updates

- common with client caches
- at low read/update ratio
- disadvantage: higher response time for cache access

**Leases**: mixed form: first push for some time, then pull later
8.4 Distribution Protocols ...

**Unicast vs. Multicast**

- **Unicast**: send update individually to each replica server
- **Multicast**: send one message and leave the distribution to the network (e.g. IP multicast)
  - often much more efficient
  - especially in LANs: hardware broadcast possible

- Multicast is useful for push protocols
- Unicast is better with pull protocols
  - only a single client/server requests an update
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8.5 Consistency Protocols

- Describe how replica servers coordinate with each other to implement a specific consistency model

- Here specifically considered:
  - consistency models that serialize operations globally
  - e.g., sequential, weak and release consistency

- Two basic approaches:
  - primary-based (primärbasierte) protocols
    - write operations are always performed on a special copy (primary copy)
  - replicated-write protocols
    - write operations go to multiple copies
Primary-Based Protocols

- Read operations are possible on arbitrary (local) copies
- Write operations must be handled by the primary copy
  - e.g., to realize a sequential consistency:
    - the primary copy updates all other copies and waits for
      acknowledgements, only then it replies to the client
    - problem: performance

Remote-write protocols

- the writer forwards the operation to a fixed primary copy

Local-write protocols

- writer must become primary copy before it can do the update
  - i.e., the primary copy is migrated between servers
- good model also for mobile users
Remote Write Protocol: Workflow (Sequential Consistency)

Client

Backup server

Primary server for x

Data storage

Backup server

Client

Backup server

val(x)

read(x)
Remote Write Protocol: Workflow (Sequential Consistency)

1. Write request

Client

write(x)

Backup server

Primary server for x

Backup server

Backup server

Data storage

Client

read(x)  val(x)

Primary server for x

Backup server

Backup server

(1) Write request
Remote Write Protocol: Workflow (Sequential Consistency)

(1) Write request is forwarded to primary server
Remote Write Protocol: Workflow (Sequential Consistency)

(1) Write request is forwarded to primary server
(2) Primary server updates all backups
Remote Write Protocol: Workflow (Sequential Consistency)

(1) Write request is forwarded to primary server
(2) Primary server updates all backups and waits for acknowledgements
Remote Write Protocol: Workflow (Sequential Consistency)

(1) Write request is forwarded to primary server
(2) Primary server updates all backups and waits for acknowledgements
(3) Acknowledge the end of the write operation
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Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)

- Client reads from the primary server for x.
- Backup server also has x.
- Data storage and backup servers.
Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)

(1) Acquire lock;
8.5 Consistency Protocols ...

Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)

(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
8.5 Consistency Protocols ...

Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)

(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)

(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
(2) Acknowledge the end of the write operation
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Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)

(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
(2) Acknowledge the end of the write operation
(3) Write operations are executed (only) on the local server
8.5 Consistency Protocols ...

**Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)**

(1) Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
(2) Acknowledge the end of the write operation
(3) Write operations are executed (only) on the local server
(4) New primary server updates backups
Local Write Protocol: Workflow (Release Consistency)

1. Acquire lock; Move primary copy to new server
2. Acknowledge the end of the write operation
3. Write operations are executed (only) on the local server
4. New primary server updates backups and waits for acknowledgements
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**Replicated Write Protocols**

- Allow execution of write operations on (multiple) arbitrary replicas
- In the following, two approaches:
  - active replication
    - update operations are passed on to all copies
    - requirement: globally unique sequence of operations
      - using totally ordered multicast
      - or via central sequencer process
  - quorum-based protocols
    - only a portion of the replicas needs to be modified
    - however, also multiple copies need to be read
Problem With Replicated Object Calls

- What happens when a replicated object calls another?

Client replicates the method call

Object C receives the same call three times

Replicated object

All replicas see the same call

→ Solution: middleware that is aware of replication

→ coordinator of B makes sure that only one call is sent to C and its result is distributed to all replicas of B
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Quorum-based Protocols

- Clients need the permission of multiple servers for writing and for reading

- When writing: send the request to (at least) \( N_W \) copies
  - their servers must agree to the change
  - data gets a new version number when changed
  - condition: \( N_W > N/2 \) \( (N = \text{total number of copies}) \)
    - prevents write/write conflicts

- When reading: send the request to (at least) \( N_R \) copies
  - client selects the latest version (highest version number)
  - condition: \( N_R + N_W > N \)
    - ensures that in any case the latest version is read
8.5 Consistency Protocols ...

Quorum-based Protocols: Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N_R = 6</td>
<td>N_W = 7</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Write quorum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N_R = 7</td>
<td>N_W = 6</td>
<td>Write/write conflicts are possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

{(N_W < N/2)}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N_R = 1</td>
<td>N_W = 12</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>Read quorum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8.6 Summary

- Replication due to availability and performance
- Problem: consistency of copies
  - strictest model: sequential consistency
  - weakenings: causal consistency, weak ~, release ~
  - client-centric consistency models
- Implementation of replication and consistency:
  - replication scheme: static, server initiated, client initiated
  - distribution protocols
    - type of update, push / pull, unicast / multicast
  - consistency protocols
    - primary based / replicated write protocols
Distributed Systems
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9 Distributed File Systems...

9.1 General

- Objective: support the sharing of information (files) in an *intranet*
  - in the Internet: WWW
- Allows applications to access remote files in the same way as local files
  - similar (or even better) performance and reliability
- Allows operation of diskless nodes
- Examples:
  - NFS (standard in the UNIX area)
  - AFS (goal: scalability), CIFS (Windows), CODA, xFS, ...
9.1 General ...

Requirements

- Transparency: access, location, mobility, performance and scaling transparency
- Concurrent file updates (e.g., locks)
- File replication (often: local caching)
- Heterogeneity of hardware and operating system
- Fault tolerance (especially in case of server failure)
  - often: at-least-once semantics + idempotent operations
  - advantageous: stateless server (easy reboot)
- Consistency (☞ 8)
- Security (access control, authentication, encryption)
- Efficiency
Model Architecture of a Distributed File System

Tasks of the client module:
- emulation of the file interface of the local OS
- if necessary caching of files or file sections
Flat file service:

- provides idempotent access operations to files
  - e.g., read, write, create, remove, getAttributes, setAttributes
  - no open / close, no implicit file pointer
- files are identified by UFIDs (Unique File IDs)
  - (long) integer IDs, can serve as capabilities

Directory service:

- maps file or path names to UFIDs
  - if necessary first authenticates the client and verifies its access rights
- services for creating, deleting and modifying directories
9.2 Case Study: NFS

- Introduced in 1984 by Sun
- Open, OS independent protocol
- Architecture:

![Diagram of NFS architecture](image)

- Client computer
- Server computer
- UNIX system calls
- UNIX kernel
- Application program
- Virtual file system
- UNIX file system
- Other file systems
- NFS Client
- NFS server
- UNIX file system
- Network
- NFS protocol
Access Control and Authentication

- NFS server is stateless (up to and including NFS3)
- UFID (file handle): essentially just the file system ID and i-node
  - not a capability
- Thus, access rights are checked with each request
  - by the RPC protocol
- Authentication usually only via user and group ID
  - extremely insecure!
- More possibilities in NFS3:
  - Diffie-Hellman key exchange (insecure)
  - Kerberos
- NFS4: secure RPC (RPCSEC_GSS)
Mount Service

An NFS file system can be mounted in the local directory tree

Collaboration of `mount` command in the client with the mount service of the NFS server

- on request, the mount service provides file handles of the exported directories (for name resolution)
9.2 Case Study: NFS ...

Translation of Pathnames

- Iteratively (NFS3): for each directory one request to NFS server
  - necessary because path can cross mount points
  - inefficiency is mitigated by client caching

Automounter

- Goal: set up an NFS mount only when it is accessed
  - better fault tolerance, load balancing is possible

- Automounter is local NFS server
  - thereby it sees the `lookup()`-requests of the client

- On request: set up the NFS mount and create a symbolic link to the mount point

- After prolonged inactivity: release the mount
Server Caching

- Traditional file caching in UNIX:
  - buffer in main memory for most recently used disk blocks
  - *read ahead*: sequential blocks are loaded into cache beforehand
  - *delayed write*: modified blocks only written back when space is needed; additionally every 30s by `sync`

- Server caching in NFS: two modes
  - *write through*: write requests are executed in the server cache and immediately also on disk
    - advantage: no data loss in case of server crash
  - *delayed write*: modified data will remain in the cache until a *commit* operation is executed (i.e. file is closed)
    - advantage: better performance if many write operations
Client Caching

- NFS client buffers the results of (among other things) *read* / *write* and *lookup* operations in a local cache
  - leads to consistency issues, since now multiple copies

- Client is responsible for maintaining consistency

- Timeliness of the cache entry is checked with each access
  - for that: compare whether the modification timestamp in the cache matches the modification timestamp on the server
  - in case of negative validation: cache entry is deleted
  - if validation is successful: cache entry is considered current for a certain time (3 - 30 s) without further checks
    - i.e. changes only become visible after a few seconds
  - compromise between consistency and efficiency
9.2 Case Study: NFS ...

Client Caching ...

- Treatment of write operations:
  - file block is marked as *dirty* in the cache
  - marked blocks are sent asynchronously to the server:
    - when closing the file
    - at a `sync` operation on client machine
    - possibly more often by *block-input/output* (bio)-demons

- Bio-demons realize asynchronous operations for *read ahead* and *delayed write*
  - for performance optimization

- NFS does not guarantee real consistency of client caches
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10 Distributed Shared Memory ...

Contents

- Introduction
- Design alternatives

Literature

- Colouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Kap. 16.1-16.3
Goal: shared memory in distributed systems

Basic technique considered here:

- page-based memory management on the nodes
- on demand: loading pages over the network
- if necessary replication of pages to increase performance

Differentiation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hardware DSM: NUMA</th>
<th>Shared Virtual Memory</th>
<th>Middleware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer 1</td>
<td>Computer 2</td>
<td>Computer 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runtime system</td>
<td>Runtime system</td>
<td>Runtime system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating system</td>
<td>Operating system</td>
<td>Operating system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware</td>
<td>Hardware</td>
<td>Hardware</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Computer 1 Computer 2 Computer 1 Computer 2

Application Application Application Application
Runtime system Runtime system Runtime system Runtime system
Operating system Operating system Operating system Operating system
Hardware Hardware Hardware Hardware
Design alternatives

- Structure of the shared memory:
  - byte-oriented (distributed shared memory pages)
  - object-oriented (distributed shared objects)
    - e.g., Orca
  - immutable data (distributed shared container)
    - operations: read, add, remove
    - e.g., Linda Tuple Space, JavaSpaces

- Granularity (for page-based methods):
  - when changing a byte: transmission of entire page
  - with large pages: more efficient communication, less administrative effort, more false sharing
Design alternatives ...

- Consistency model: mostly sequential or release consistency
- Consistency protocol: usually local write protocol
  - i.e., memory page migrated to accessing process
  - with or without replication for read accesses
    - client initiated replication, i.e., reader requests copy
  - usually only one writer per page
  - mostly invalidation protocols (with push model)
  - update protocols only if write accesses can be buffered (e.g. with release consistency)
10 Distributed Shared Memory ...

Design alternatives ...

- Management of copies
  - mostly: at any time either multiple readers or one writer
  - each page has an owner
    - writer or one of the readers (last writer)
    - manages a list of processes with copies of the page
  - before write access: process requests current copy

- Finding the owner of a page:
  - central manager
    - manages owners, forwards requests
  - fixed distribution
    - fixed mapping: page → manager
Design alternatives ...

Finding the owner of a page ...

- multicast instead of manager
  - problem: concurrent requests
  - solution: totally ordered multicast, vector time stamps

- dynamically distributed manager
  - every process knows a likely owner
  - this node forwards the request if necessary
  - the likely owner is updated,
    - when a process transfers the ownership property
    - upon receipt of an invalidation message
    - upon receipt of a requested page
    - when a request is forwarded (to the requestor)
Design alternatives ...

- Problems: e.g., thrashing, especially due to false sharing
  - simple remedy:
    - a page can be migrated again only after a certain period of time
- TreadMarks: multiple writer protocol
  - release consistency; when released, only the changed parts of the page are transferred
  - changes are then “merged”
  - in case of conflicts: result is non-deterministic
Distributed Systems
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11 Fault Tolerance
Contents

- Introduction
- Process elasticity
- Reliable communication
- Recovery

Literature

- Tanenbaum, van Steen: Ch. 7
11.1 Introduction

Concepts

- **Failure**: external incorrect behavior (system no longer keeps its promises)
- **Error**: (unobserved) incorrect internal state
- **Fault**: physical defect (in HW or SW) causing the error
  - fault can be transient, periodic or permanent
- **Fault tolerance**: system does not fail despite a fault

Requirement for reliable systems:

- **Availability**: \( p(\text{system is working at time } t) \)
- **Reliability**: \( p(\text{system is working in time interval } \Delta t) \)
- **Safety**: no major damage if system fails
- **Maintainability**: effort for “repair” after a failure
### Failure models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crash failure</td>
<td>Server halts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omission failure</td>
<td>Server is not responding to requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Server doesn’t receive incoming requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Server doesn’t send messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing failure</td>
<td>Response time is outside the specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response failure</td>
<td>Server’s response is incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value failure</td>
<td>Only the value of the answer is wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State transition f.</td>
<td>Incorrect control flow in server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byzantine failure</td>
<td>Random answers at arbitrary time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further distinction: can the client detect the failure or not?
11.1 Introduction ...

Failure masking through redundancy

⇒ Fault tolerant system must hide faults from other processes

⇒ Most important technique: redundancy
  ➞ information redundancy: additional “check bits” (e.g., CRC)
  ➞ time redundancy: repetition of faulty actions
  ➞ physical redundancy: important components are provided multiple times

⇒ Example: TMR, *triple modular redundancy*
  ➞ components are replicated three times
  ➞ majority decision for the results
  ➞ protects against failure of a replicated component
Example for TMR

Without redundancy

With TMR

Voter
11.1 Introduction ...

Example for TMR

Without redundancy

A   B   C

With TMR

A1 B1 C1

A2 B2 C2

A3 B3 C3

V1 V4 V7

V2 V5 V8

V3 V6 V9

Voter
11.2 Process Elasticity

Objective: Protection Against Process Failure

- By replicating processes in groups
  - message to the group is received by all members
    - usually with totally ordered multicast

- Questions:
  - organization of the groups?
    - flat (symmetrical) vs. hierarchical (central coordinator)
    - group administration, synchronous join / exit
  - necessary number of replicas?
    - \( k \) fault tolerant: failure of \( k \) processes can be tolerated
    - for silent failures: \( \geq k + 1 \) Processes
    - for Byzantine failures: \( \geq 2k + 1 \) processes
  - agreement in faulty systems?
11.2 Process Elasticity ...

Agreement in faulty systems

- Agreement is impossible with unreliable communication
  - two army problem

- Agreement of faulty processes with reliable communication
  - Byzantine agreement problem (*byzantinische Generäle*)
  - agreement only possible if $> \frac{2}{3}$ of the processes work correctly

1. Send information
2. Received information
3. Send received information to all other processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Sent</th>
<th>Received</th>
<th>Received from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1, 2, x, 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1, 2, x, 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1, 2, y, 4)</td>
<td>(1, 2, y, 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(1, 2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>(a, b, c, d)</td>
<td>(e, f, g, h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(1, 2, z, 4)</td>
<td>(1, 2, z, 4)</td>
<td>(1, 2, z, 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.3 Reliable Communication

Objective: Protection Against Communication Failures

- Point-to-point communication (☞ RN_I)
  - TCP masks omission failures, but not crash failures

- Client/server communication (☞ 2.1)
  - possible failures:
    - server not found
    - lost request
    - server crash while processing the request
    - lost reply
    - client crash after sending the request

- Group communication (☞ 7.3)

- Distributed commit (☞ 7.4)
**11.4 Recovery**

**Objective: System Recovery After an Error**

- Forward error recovery: go to a correct new state
- Backward error recovery: go to a correct earlier state
  - i.e. reset to a consistent cut
  - regular backup to stable storage (*checkpointing*)
- Independent checkpointing
  - processes save their state independently of each other
  - problem: domino effect

![Diagram showing system recovery process](image-url)
11.4 Recovery ...

- Coordinated checkpoints
  - Chandy/Lamport algorithm (☞ 6.4)
  - alternatively: blocking 2 phase protocol
  - problem: requires to reset all processes

- Local checkpoints with message logging
  - goal: restore the crashed process to a state consistent with the current state of the other processes
  - reset to last checkpoint and restore the received messages

![Diagram showing the process of recovery with message logging and checkpointing]
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1. Introduction
   ➦ Definition of a distributed system
   ➦ Features / challenges of distributed systems
   ➦ Architecture models: client/server, n-tier

2. Middleware
   ➦ Tasks of the middleware
   ➦ Communication-oriented and application-oriented middleware
   ➦ Implementation of remote calls (proxy pattern)

3. Distributed Programming with Java RMI
   ➦ Approach to create an RMI application
   ➦ Programming of server and client
12 Summary, Important Topics ...

4. Name Services

5. Process Management
   ➡ Graph partitioning, list scheduling, code migration

6. Time and Global State
   ➡ Synchronization of physical clocks
   ➡ Lamport’s happened-before relation (causality relation)
   ➡ Lamport and vector clocks
   ➡ Consistent cuts, Chandy/Lamport algorithm
7. Coordination

- Election algorithms
- Mutual exclusion (centralized, Ricart/Agrawala, ring)
- Multicast (reliability, order)
- Transactions

8. Replication and Consistency

- Concept of consistency
- Sequential consistency, release consistency
- Consistency protocols (primary-based, quorum-based)
12 Summary, Important Topics ...

9. Distributed File Systems

10. Distributed Shared Memory

11. Fault Tolerance

- Failure models
- Physical redundancy, agreement
- Recovery