Fakultät IV Betriebssysteme und verteilte Systeme

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Roland Wismüller

Excercise Sheet 2 Solution

Lecture Parallel Processing Winter Term 2025/26

Exercise 1: Dependence analysis

- a) Correct: S1 writes a [7] in iteration 7, S2 reads a [7] in all following iterations.
- **b)** Correct: S1 reads b[i], S2 writes b[i].
- c) Incorrect: as in each iteration, S2 is executed **after** S1, there can never be a dependence within a single loop iteration from S2 to S1.
- **d)** Correct: e.g. S2 writes b [4] in iteration 4, S1 reads b [4] in iteration 5.
- e) Correct: S1 writes a [7] in iteration 7, S2 reads a [7] in all previous iterations.
- f) Incorrect: S1 writes only a, which is not read by S3. S3 writes only c which is not read by S1.
- g) Correct: e.g. S2 reads c[3] in iteration 3, S3 writes to c[3] in iteration 4.
- **h)** Incorrect: S2 and S3 write to different arrays.
- i) Incorrect: S3 writes to c[i-1] and S2 reads from c[i]. Thus, it we e.g. look at c[5], it is written in iteration 6, but is read in iteration 5, i.e., earlier. Thus, this is an **anti**-dependence.
- j) Correct: each statement writes to a different array.

Exercise 2: Loop parallelization

Here are the correct parallelizations:

```
void loop1()
     #pragma omp parallel for
     for (int i=0; i<N; i++) {</pre>
          a[i] = b[i] + c[0];
          b[i] = a[i] - c[i];
void loop2()
     // True dependence: a[i] (write, i=1) \longrightarrow a[i-1] (read, i=2)
     for (int i=1; i<N; i++) {</pre>
          a[i] = a[i-1];
          b[i] = a[i] + c[i];
     }
}
void loop3()
     // Anti dependence: a[i+2] (read, i=1) --> a[i] (write, i=3)
     // So use renaming. Here, the variable 'a' is renamed as 'aa' when we store into
     // it. Later, we copy 'aa' into 'a' again.
     double aa[N];
     #pragma omp parallel
```

```
#pragma omp for
          for (int i=1; i<N-2; i++) {</pre>
               aa[i] = b[i] + a[i+2];
               c[i] = b[i-1];
          #pragma omp for
          for (int i=1; i<N-2; i++)</pre>
               a[i] = aa[i];
     }
}
void loop4()
    // True dependence (among others): a[i] (write, i=N/2) --> a[N/2] (read, i=N/2+1)
     for (int i=0; i<N; i++) {</pre>
          a[i] = a[i] - 0.9 * a[N/2];
}
void loop5()
     // True dependence: a[i+N/3] (write, i=0) --> a[i] (read, i=N/3)
    for (int i=0; i<N/2; i++) {</pre>
         a[i+N/3] = (c[i] - a[i])/2;
     }
}
void loop6()
     // No dependence, since i \ge N/3 is not possible!
     #pragma omp parallel for
     for (int i=0; i<N/3; i++) {</pre>
          a[i+N/3] = (c[i] - a[i])/2;
}
void loop7()
    // Dependeces cannot be analyzed at compile time.
    // Whether or not we have dependences depends on the contents of map[].
     for (int i=0; i<N; i++) {</pre>
          a[map[i]] = a[i] + b[i];
     }
}
void loop8()
     // The outer loop cannot be parallelized, since it carries dependences.
    // In the inner loop, however, there are no dependences (for a fixed i)!
     for (int i=1; i<M-1; i++) {</pre>
          #pragma omp parallel for
          for (int j=1; j<M-1; j++) {</pre>
              m[i][j] = (m[i-1][j-1] + m[i-1][j+1] + m[i+1][j-1] + m[i+1][j+1]) / 4;
     }
}
```